

## PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF PLANETARY NEBULAE WITH WR-TYPE NUCLEI

ASHKBIZ DANEHKAR<sup>1</sup>, ROGER WESSON<sup>2</sup>, AMANDA KARAKAS<sup>3</sup>, QUENTIN A. PARKER<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Macquarie University, Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia

<sup>2</sup>European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Casilla 19001, Santiago, Chile

<sup>3</sup>Research School of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra ACT 2611, Australia

<sup>4</sup>Australian Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 915, North Ryde, NSW 1670, Australia

Received October 31, 2014; accepted November 30, 2014

**Abstract:** We have carried out optical spectroscopic measurements of emission lines for a sample of Galactic planetary nebulae with Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars and weak emission-line stars (*wels*). The plasma diagnostics and elemental abundance analysis have been done using both collisionally excited lines (CELs) and optical recombination lines (ORLs). It is found that the abundance discrepancy factors ( $ADF \equiv ORL/CEL$ ) are closely correlated with the dichotomy between temperatures derived from forbidden lines and those from He I recombination lines, implying the existence of H-deficient materials embedded in the nebula. The  $H\beta$  surface brightness correlations suggest that they might be also related to the nebular evolution.

**Key words:** ISM: abundances – planetary nebulae: general – stars: Wolf-Rayet

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Observations of planetary nebulae (PNe) are used to determine the composition of the interstellar medium (e.g. Kingsburgh & Barlow, 1994), and to probe the physics of AGB stars (e.g. Karakas et al., 2009). Collisionally excited lines (CELs) have been extensively used to derive the abundances of heavy elements (see e.g. Kingsburgh & Barlow, 1994; Liu et al., 2004). Alternatively, optical recombination lines (ORLs) have a much weaker dependence on temperature, thus resulting in more reliable abundance analyses. However, the abundances derived using the ORL method are systematically higher than those derived from CELs in PNe (e.g. Tsamis et al., 2004; Wesson & Liu, 2004; Wesson et al., 2005). Previously, Peimbert (1967) found a dichotomy between [O III] CEL and H I Balmer jump (BJ) temperatures with  $T_e([\text{O III}]) > T_e(\text{BJ})$ . Moreover, Wesson et al. (2005) found that  $T_e([\text{O III}]) > T_e(\text{BJ}) > T_e(\text{He I}) > T_e(\text{O II})$ , which was predicted by the two-phase models (Liu et al., 2004), containing some cold ( $T_e \sim 10^3$  K) H-deficient materials, embedded in the warm ( $T_e \sim 10^4$  K) gas of normal abundances.

For this study, we carried out the optical integral field observations of a sample of PNe (see Danehkar, 2014) using the Wide Field Spectrograph (WiFeS; Dopita et al., 2010) on the ANU 2.3 telescope. Our observations were carried out with the B7000/R7000 grating combination ( $R \sim 7000$ ). We acquired series of bias, dome flat-field frames, twilight sky flats, arc lamp exposures, wire frames, spectrophotometric standard stars for flat-fielding, wavelength calibration, spatial calibration and flux calibration (described in detail by Danehkar et al.,

2013, 2014). Suitable sky windows were selected from the science data for sky subtraction.

### 2. PLASMA DIAGNOSTICS

Nebular electron temperatures  $T_e$  and densities  $N_e$  were obtained from the intrinsic intensities of CELs by solving level populations for an  $n$ -level ( $\geq 5$ ) atomic model using the EQUIB code.

Fig. 1 (top-left panel) shows the logarithmic electron density  $\log N_e([\text{S II}])$  plotted against the logarithmic intrinsic nebular  $H\beta$  surface brightness. The dotted line represents a linear fit to the 18 PNe in our sample, which has a strong linear correlation:  $\log N_e([\text{S II}]) = (4.59 \pm 0.23) + (0.5 \pm 0.1) \log S(H\beta)$ , where  $S(H\beta)$  is the dereddened nebular  $H\beta$  surface brightness. It is seen that  $S(H\beta) \propto N_e^2$ , in agreement with the theoretical relation by O'Dell (1962).

Fig. 1 (top-right panel) plots  $T_e$  versus the excitation class (EC; Dopita & Meatheringham, 1990). A trend of increasing  $T_e$  with increasing EC is seen. A linear fit to  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  and as a function of EC yields:  $T_e([\text{O III}]) = (5997 \pm 592) + (626.84 \pm 100.57) \text{EC}$ . The electron temperatures of high-excitation PNe are typically higher than low-excitation PNe, which can be explained by the radiation from the central stars.


### 3. ABUNDANCE ANALYSIS

We determined ionic abundances from CELs by solving the statistical equilibrium equations for each ion using the EQUIB code, giving level population and line emissivities for specified  $T_e$  and  $N_e$ . We determined ionic abundances from ORLs for our sample where adequate observed lines were available.

Fig. 1 (bottom-left panel) shows the logarithmic abun-

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: A. Danehkar

<sup>†</sup>E-mail: ashkbiz.danehkar@students.mq.edu.au



**Figure 1.** Top-Left panel: The logarithmic electron density plotted against the logarithmic nebular surface brightness. Top-Right panel: Variation of the electron temperature along the excitation class (EC); the dotted and dashed lines for  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  and  $T_e([\text{O III}])$ . Bottom-Left panel: The difference between the electron temperatures and the helium temperatures plotted against the ADF for  $\text{O}^{2+}$ . Bottom-Right panel: The ADF for  $\text{O}^{2+}$  plotted against the logarithmic nebular surface brightness.

dance discrepancy factor (ADF) for  $\text{O}^{2+}$ , defined as  $\log \text{ADF}(\text{O}^{2+}) \equiv \log(\text{O}^{2+}/\text{H}^+)_{\text{ORL}} - \log(\text{O}^{2+}/\text{H}^+)_{\text{CEL}}$  plotted against the difference between the  $[\text{O III}]$  forbidden-line and the  $\text{He I}$  recombination-line temperatures  $\Delta T_{[\text{O III}]} \equiv T_e([\text{O III}]) - T_e(\text{He I})$ . A linear fit to the 10 PNe plotted in the figure yields:  $\log \text{ADF}(\text{O}^{2+}) = (0.55 \pm 0.18) + (7.0 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{-5} \times \Delta T_{[\text{O III}]} (\text{K})$ .

In Fig. 1 (bottom-right panel) we plot the  $\text{O}^{2+}/\text{H}^+$  ADF as a function of the intrinsic nebular  $\text{H}\beta$  surface brightness  $\log S(\text{H}\beta)$ . A linear fit to the 12 PNe plotted in the figure yields:  $\log \text{ADF}(\text{O}^{2+}) = (0.52 \pm 0.22) - (0.22 \pm 0.10) \log S(\text{H}\beta)$ .

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, there is a dependence of the nebular ORL/CEL ADFs upon the dichotomy between temperatures derived from forbidden lines and those from  $\text{He I}$  recombination lines,  $T_e(\text{CELs}) - T_e(\text{He I})$ , and the intrinsic nebular surface brightness,  $\log S(\text{H}\beta)$ . It has been known that the ADFs are closely correlated with the difference between  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  and  $T_e(\text{BJ})$  (Liu et al., 2004; Tsamis et al., 2004; Wesson et al., 2005). These correlations suggest that the observed ORLs may originate from cold ionized gas located in metal-rich clumps inside the diffuse warm nebula, but the origin of such inclusions is as yet unknown. The correlation between the nebular ADFs and the intrinsic  $\text{H}\beta$  surface brightness found here is consistent with previous results (Liu et al., 2004;

Tsamis et al., 2004). This suggests that the abundance discrepancy might be related to the nebular evolution, and it is higher in old evolved PNe.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AD acknowledges a student travel assistance from the Astronomical Society of Australia (ASA) and a travel grant from the International Astronomical Union (IAU).

#### REFERENCES

- Danehkar A., Parker Q. A., Ercolano B., 2013, MNRAS, 434, 1513  
 Danehkar A., 2014, PhD thesis, Macquarie University  
 Danehkar A., Todt H., Ercolano B., Kniazev A. Y., 2014, MNRAS, 439, 3605  
 Dopita M. A., Meatheringham S. J., 1990, ApJ, 357, 140  
 Dopita M. et al., 2010, Ap&SS, 327, 245  
 Karakas A. I., van Raai M. A., Lugaro M., Sterling N. C., Dinerstein H. L., 2009, ApJ, 690, 1130  
 Kingsburgh R. L., Barlow M. J., 1994, MNRAS, 271, 257  
 Liu Y., Liu X.-W., Barlow M. J., Luo S.-G., 2004, MNRAS, 353, 1251  
 O'Dell C. R., 1962, ApJ, 135, 371  
 Peimbert M., 1967, ApJ, 150, 825  
 Tsamis Y. G., Barlow M. J., Liu X.-W., Storey P. J., Danziger I. J., 2004, MNRAS, 353, 953  
 Wesson R., Liu X.-W., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 1026  
 Wesson R., Liu X.-W., Barlow M. J., 2005, MNRAS, 362, 424