

An Update on Genomic-guided Therapies for Pediatric Solid Tumors

Journal:	Future Oncology
Manuscript ID	FON-2017-0003
Manuscript Type:	Review
Keywords:	Pediatric solid tumors, Whole-exome sequencing, Clinical trials on targeted therapies



https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/fm-fon

An Update on Genomic-guided Therapies for Pediatric Solid Tumors

Keywords: Pediatric solid tumors; whole-exome sequencing, clinical trials on targeted therapies

Abbreviations: whole-exome sequencing (WES), Pediatric Cancer Genome Project (PCGP); Therapeutically Applicable Research To Generate Effective Treatments (TARGET)

Abstract:

Currently, out of the 82 FDA approved targeted therapies for adult cancer treatments, only 3 are approved for use in children irrespective of their genomic status. Apart from leukemia, only a handful of genomic-based trials involving children with solid tumors are ongoing. Emerging genomic data for pediatric solid tumors may facilitate the development of precision medicine in pediatric patients. Here, we provide an up-to-date review of all reported genomic aberrations in 8 most common pediatric solid tumors with whole-exome sequencing data (from cBioPortal database, Pediatric Cancer Genome Project (PCGP), Therapeutically Applicable Research To Generate Effective Treatments (TARGET)) and additional non-WES studies. Potential druggable events are highlighted and discussed so as to facilitate preclinical and clinical research in this area.

Introduction

The global incidence of pediatric cancers in 2012 is ~13.5 per 100,000 population in patients aged 0-19, with a mortality rate of about 12% [1]. To date, cancer is still the leading cause of death in young adults and children apart from accidents. Among all pediatric cancers, solid tumors account for two-third of all cases, while blood cancers (leukemias) account for the remaining one-third of cases. The most common pediatric solid tumors include cancers of the brain and the central nervous system (CNS), lymphoma, neuroblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, bone cancer, Wilms' tumor as well as germ cell tumors, etc.

There are currently 82 FDA approved targeted therapies for the treatment of adult cancers. The clinical implementation of genomic-guided precision medicine (the use of the right drug for the right patient) based on specific tumor genetic aberrations has unprecedentedly extended the survival of many adult cancer patients, including those with advanced or metastatic diseases, as well as leukemias. Yet, major advances in improving the survival of various pediatric solid tumors are, by far, lacking. The scarcity of genomic data, especially on actionable or druggable gene mutational events presents a major roadblock for the development of precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors. Currently, the main treatment modalities for pediatric solid tumors are still surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Personalized treatment options are limited.

Future Oncology

Here, we aim to provide an up-to-date overview of genomic aberrations found in pediatric solid tumors from the public domain (cBioportal.org [2, 3]; USA, Pediatric Cancer Genome Project (PCGP) [4], Therapeutically Applicable Research To Generate Effective Treatments (TARGET) [5]) as well as additional non-WES studies for the most common pediatric solid tumors. We found that WES data have only been reported in a relatively small number of cases and cancer types. Among 12 most common pediatric solid tumors, including medulloblastoma, glioblastoma multiforme, low grade glioma, neuroblastoma, Wilms' tumor, osteosarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, retinoblastoma, hepatoblastoma, germ cell tumors, Hodgkin's lymphoma, only 8 (the underlined ones) have been whole-exome sequenced as of today. We highlighted some potential druggable targets based on finding in adult tumors. Further, we also comprehensively summarized all current genomic-related clinical trials involving children with these cancers. This review should highlight potential druggable targets and provide insights for future development in precision medicine in pediatric solid tumors.

Exceptional responders in pediatric solid tumors shed hope for precision medicine development

The success of precision medicine requires a good understanding of the genomic aberrations in tumors that will correlate with a good clinical response to a drug therapy. To date, the understanding of pediatric tumor genomics and how these genetic aberrations correlate with clinical outcome is lacking. Yet, scattered reports on pediatric tumor patients showing exceptional responses to some targeted therapies [6, 7]. The

first exceptional response was reported in a *BRAF*(V600E)-mutated pediatric glioblastoma multiforme patient with BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib, whose complete response lasted for 6 months [6], as well as *BRAF*(V600E)-mutated metastatic rhabdoid meningioma treated with a BRAF inhibitor, dabrafenib, whose response was reported to last for 7 months with partial resolution of her tumor mass [7]. These emerging reports of exceptional responders in pediatric patients whose treatment was decided based on their tumor genomic profile do implicate the potential promise of precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors.

WES studies in pediatric solid tumors reveal several potential druggable targets

As illustrated in adult cancers, whole-exome sequencing (WES) of tumor tissues reveals important druggable targets for treatment and future drug development. The mutational profiles of adult cancer provide a genomic roadmap, prompting both preclinical and clinical development of precision medicine in adult cancers. As for pediatric solid tumors, due to the rarity of the diseases, WES studies are challenging to be conducted with a number of samples. Yet, as of today, out of the 12 most common pediatric solid tumors, there are published genomic data of eight of these tumor types, including medulloblastoma, glioblastoma multiforme, low grade glioma, neuroblastoma, Wilms' tumor, osteosarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma (Table 1; Supplementary Table 1) [8-42]. As for the remaining 4 solid tumor types (retinoblastoma, hepatoblastoma, germ cell tumors, Hodgkin's lymphoma), though no large scale WES

Page 5 of 32

Future Oncology

has been performed, we have included genomic events from other non-WES studies in order to provide a better profile of all 12 pediatric tumor types concerned.

Based on these WES data of pediatric tumors and the existing published drug-response reports from adult patients, several currently druggable targets are highlighted in Table 1. Mutational events of >3% rate of occurrences were summarized (original data are available in the original references). In medulloblastoma, among the 254 whole-exome sequenced cases, there are no immediate actionable or druggable events with >3% rate. Whilst for glioblastoma multiforme (GBM; 606 cases sequenced total, representing the largest tumor cases sequenced among the 12 most common pediatric solid tumors), several prominent drug targets with mutational events have been identified. These include: EGFR, PIK3CA, NF1, IDH1 and IDH2 mutations. However, among the 95 EGFR mutations reported in GBM patients, only one mutation has been previously reported to be associated with gefitinib sensitivity in lung cancer patients [43], indicating the presence of drug-sensitive mutant of EGFR, though in a very number of GBM patients. Further, hotspot and activating mutations of *PIK3CA* (including E542K, E545K, and H1047R) are also present in 9 patient tumors, implicating potential sensitivity to PI3K pathway inhibitors. It remains to be determined if NF1 mutations, which will drive tumorigenesis via the Ras pathway, can be targetable with MAPK pathway inhibitors in pediatric cancers or not, given the conflicting data in melanoma system. Lastly, there are 15 GBM patient tumors (5.2%: 15/290 cases) harboring IDH1 hotspot mutation (R132H/G), which may confer sensitivity to IDH1-mutant specific inhibitor, AG-120, under development in clinical settings. The *IDH1* and *IDH2* genes encode the enzymes isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2, respectively. Normal wildtype IDH enzymes are

responsible to generate energy for cells by breaking down the cell nutrient, aketoglutarate. Recent studies in multiple cancer types reveal that IDH1/2 mutations can serve as new therapeutic targets since IDH1/2 mutations can switch the cancer cell energy programming and produce oncogenic metabolite, 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG), as well as dysregulating cell differentiation. An important glioma study by Rohle et al showed that a mutant specific inhibitor of IDH1 (R132H), namely AGI-5198, which have been identified through a large-scale drug screen, was able to effectively inhibit the mutant IDH1 activity, resulting in marked inhibition of IDH1-mutant glioma cell growth and promoted glioma cell differentiation [44, 45]. Currently, there are several ongoing clinical trials investigating the safety profile and potential clinical efficacies of IDH1mutant specific inhibitors (e.g. AG-120, an oral selective inhibitor that inhibits mutated IDH1 protein) in glioma and other cancers. Results show early promises in glioma patients (however, age of patients have not been disclosed) with some cases of stable disease beyond six months [46]. Similar to IDH1 mutation, clinical trials are ongoing to determine the safety profile and potential efficacy of IDH2 mutant inhibitor (AG-221) in patients with blood cancer (acute myeloid leukemia).

For low grade glioma, mutant *IDH1, IDH2, PIK3CA, NF1, BRAF, and FGFR1* are potential drug targets with a >3% rate (Table 1). Similar to glioblastoma multiforme, *IDH1, IDH2, PIK3CA, NF1* are potentially druggable with *IDH1/2*-mutant specific inhibitors, PI3K pathway inhibitor and MAPK pathway inhibitors, respectively. It is noticeable that 221/289 cases of low grade glioma tumors harbored *IDH1*(R132X) hotspot mutations AG-221, which can be druggable with an IDH1-mutant specific

Future Oncology

inhibitors AG-120. Also, there are 4.2% (12/286 cases) of patients with *IDH2* hotspot mutations (R172X), which can be potentially druggable. Notably, as high as 21.3% cases of low grade glioma harbor *FGFR1* gene duplication or activating gene fusion (*FGFR1-TACC3* fusion) or mutation, implicating this subset of *FGFR1*-altered patients can be potentially sensitive to FGFR inhibition [47]. Further, *BRAF*(V600E) activating mutation occurs in low grade glioma patient at a rate of 0.35% (TCGA, Provisional) which confers sensitivity to vemurafenib or BRAF inhibitors. Lastly, there are 6 cases with hotspot activating mutations of *PIK3CA* (E542K, E545K/A, and H1947R/L) which can also be potentially druggable with PI3K pathway inhibitors, while no drug-sensitive *EGFR* activating mutations have been identified in low grade glioma patients thus far. There are quite a number of druggable mutations to be potentially tested in both preclinical and clinical settings for this tumor type.

In neuroblastoma, though *ALK* genetic aberrations occur in as high as 50% of patients [48] almost all of these aberrations (amplification, gain, deletion, point mutations, etc.) are not related to sensitivity to ALK inhibitors as ALK inhibitor sensitivity is known to be contributed mainly by ALK gene rearrangements as largely reported in lung cancer patients. Rather, a subset of neuroblastoma patients whose tumor harbor the resistant mutation, *ALK*(F1174V) are likely to be resistant to ALK inhibitors.

For retinoblastoma, *RB1* and *RBL2* mutations are the only mutated genes, which are currently undruggable. However, amplification of *MYCN* been reported in some cases of

retinoblastoma and may serve as drug targets for MYCN-Aurora A dual inhibitor, CD532 [49]. WES of Wilm's tumor, thus far, do not reveal any noticeable drug targets, while *MYCN* amplification may serve as a potential druggable event.

No WES have been conducted for hepatoblastoma, however, other non-WES studies revealed that *PIK3CA* mutations (2.1%; 1/47 cases) can potentially be druggable with PI3K pathway inhibitors (e.g. BYL719, BKM 120, everolimus, etc.), which are in later phases of clinical trials in adult cancers. For osteosarcoma, WES did not reveal any apparent drug targets. Yet, non-WES studies indicate that *MYC, MDM2* and *VEGFA* amplifications can potentially be targeted with MYC inhibitors, MDM2 inhibitors, and VEGF or VEGFR inhibitors, respectively.

Two large scale Ewing's sarcoma WES studies reveal a lack of druggable mutations with a >3% occurrence rate. Note that there are ~2% of *PIK3CA* mutations (V344G, K733G), however, it is unclear if these mutations can confer sensitivity for PI3K targeting or not. For rhadomyosarcoma, though genomically aberrations of *NF1*, *PIK3CA* and *FGFR4* genes are potential druggable targets, detailed analysis of the *FGFR4* events (V550L/M mutations in 3 tumors (out of 43 cases sequenced), preclinical prediction suggest that this mutation is likely a gatekeeper mutation that may not confer sensitivity to a FGFR4 inhibitor, BLU9931 [50]. However, new FGFR inhibitors may be developed to overcome such a resistance mechanism in the future.

Future Oncology

WES data are available for germ cell tumors (TCGA Provisional, via cbioportal). A prominent drug target is KIT, which is mutated in 18.8% of germ cell tumors. Mutations in exon 11 of KIT (juxtamembrane domain of KIT spanning amino acids 550-591) are known to confer sensitivity for imatinib in GIST and melanoma [51]. In this TCGA cohort of germ cell tumors, a total of 8 exon 11 KIT mutations have been identified, including W557G/C/R (4 patients), and G565 T574delinsA, V560G, L576P, Y578C and K642E (1 patient each). Notably, L576P and K642E have been reported to be associated with durable partial or complete responses to imatinib in melanoma [51], while 18 KIT mutations are associated with imatinib-resistance (D816X), which may be sensitive to other tyrosine kinase inhibitor, such as PKC412 [52] as shown in vitro settings. From this provisional genomic data of germ cell tumors, it appears than other than KIT, there is a paucity of druggable mutations. Though driver gene mutations such as KRAS and NRAS hotspot mutations (G12S/D, Q61X) are common in germ cell tumors, but they are not readily druggable yet. Lastly, no WES data are available for Hodgkin's lymphoma thus far.

These WES data show that some genetic subsets of these pediatric patients may be responsive to some targeted therapies already approved for adult cancers or to agents currently undergoing clinical trials for adult patients. In fact, the two exceptional responders cases [6, 7] demonstrated potential clinical responses in pediatric patients for precision medicine based on their tumor mutational profiles. Thus, it will be important to conduct clinical trials based on their tumor genetics.

Anticipating more WES data for more pediatric solid tumors

It is important to note that several WES projects on pediatric cancers are in progress, which will further inform us the druggable genetic profiles of pediatric solid tumors. These include the Pediatric Cancer Genome Project by St. Jude Children's Research Hospital and Washington University (sequencing 13 types of solid tumors including brain tumors, neuroblastoma, retinoblastoma and Wilms' tumor) [4]. Some of these WES data, including those of medulloblastoma [10], retinoblastoma [18], osteosarcoma [28], adrenocortical tumors [53], low grade neuroepithelial tumor[54], high grade glioma [55] and low grade glioma [14] had been published. Another ongoing effort is that of the TARGET program by the Office of Cancer Genomics of the National Cancer Institute, which is currently sequencing several tumor types (including neuroblastoma, osteosarcoma and kidney tumors including Wilms' tumor, clear cell sarcoma of the kidney, congenital mesoblastic nephromas and rhabdoid tumor) [5]. The program had published WES data on neuroblastoma [17], Wilms' tumor [22], clear cell sarcoma of the kidney [56] and rhabdoid tumor [57]. It is worth noting that most of these WES studies were performed as single studies, primarily involving Caucasian subjects. It is important that additional WES or even whole-genome sequencing (which can effectively identify large gene fusion events potentially missed by WES) studies on pediatric solid tumors derived from other patients of diverse ethnic backgrounds are performed to enhance our understanding of the genomic aberrations associated with these pediatric cancers.

Current Targeted Therapies for Pediatric Solid Tumors

Although there are 82 targeted therapies approved by the US FDA for the treatment of adult cancers, only 3 of these drugs have been approved for use in children (everolimus, dinutuximab and denosumab) irrespective of the genomic status of the tumors. For the 12 pediatric solid tumors shown in Table 1, only everolimus has been approved for subependymal giant cell tumor for both children and adults, dinutuximab for neuroblastoma for both children and adults, and denosumab for giant cell tumor in skeletally mature adolescents and adults (Table 2). Besides children with neuroblastoma and giant cell tumor, pediatric patients with the remaining 11 tumor types listed have no new treatment options other than the conventional therapies. Three additional drugs have been approved for adults with glioblastoma multiforme (bevacizumab), rhabdomyosarcoma (pazopanib) Hodgkin's lymphoma and (brentuximab) but not for children with the same cancer types.

Everolimus is a kinase inhibitor approved for the treatment of subependymal giant cell astrocytoma (SEGA) associated with tuberous sclerosis in children [58]. A phase 3 randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial (EXIST-1) in pediatric and adult patients (N=117; median age 9.5 years) showed 27 out of 78 (35%) patients receiving everolimus had at least 50% reduction in tumor size at 6 months in the absence of new or worsening non-target SEGA lesions, or new or worsening hydrocephalus[59]. A recent long-term follow-up study showed that with 60 months of everolimus' use, 52-60% of patients demonstrated SEGA volume reduction of >30-50% [59].

Dinutuximab, also called Ch14.18, is a GD2-binding monoclonal antibody, which has been recently approved by the FDA as part of the first-line therapy for patients with high-risk neuroblastoma. It has been approved to be used in combination with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interleukin-2 (IL-2) and 13-cis-retinoic acid (RA) for the treatment of pediatric patients with neuroblastoma [60]. Its efficacy is demonstrated in a phase 3 randomized, open-label, multicenter trial (N=226; median age 3.8 years). In patients receiving the dinutuximab regimen (six cycles of isotretinoin and five concomitant cycles of dinutuximab in combination with alternating GM-CSF and interleukin-2) vs isotretinoin treatment alone, the event-free survival and overall survival after 2 years was 66% and 86% (vs. 46% and 75%, respectively) [61].

Denosumab is a monoclonal antibody against RANKL, which is aberrantly overexpressed in giant cell tumor of bone (GCTB) in skeletally mature adolescents[62]. It has been approved by the FDA (under the priority review program) as the first and the only approved drug for GCTB in 2013. The approval was based on the clinical effectiveness and safety revealed from two clinical trials on 305 patients of which 10 were skeletally mature adolescents with GCTB. It showed an overall objective response rate in 2 out of 6 patients (33%) using modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST 1.1) [63].

These 3 FDA approved targeted therapies have proven to be of use in solid tumors unresponsive to standard treatment in children, leading to a significant improvement in survival.

Potential Targeted Therapies for pediatric solid tumors

Gene-based clinical trials in pediatric solid tumor patients are very limited worldwide. Five genetic alterations are being examined in single or combination drug trials involving pediatric patients with genetic aberrations of *BRAF*, *EGFR*, *ALK*, *ROS1* and *MET* in various tumor types (Table 3a). Some of these ongoing clinical trials include young adults aged 16 or above. Most of these clinical trials have not reached phase 3, except for vemurafenib, which is tested in adolescents aged 16 or above. Especially for *EGFR* alterations, it is known in adult non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLS) that only *EGFR*activating mutations will confer sensitivity to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). It remains to be examined in these pediatric drug trials if *EGFR* gene amplification or EGFR overexpression may identify pediatric responders to EGFR inhibitors. Similarly, whilst ALK targeting has been shown to be effective in NSCLC patients with *ALK*-gene rearrangements, it remains to be examined in pediatric drug trials if ALK inhibitors would be effective in *ALK*-altered pediatric tumors. The results of these gene-based clinical trials are highly anticipated as new options for pediatric patients may be identified.

Besides genomic-guided clinical trials, trials addressing the efficacy of specific targeting of the EGFR, IGF1R and PI3K pathways with no specified gene analysis in the trial

designs are also underway (Table 3b). Most trials are in early stages, except for a phase 3 clinical trial of nimotuzumab (a humanized monoclonal antibody against EGFR; NCT00561691) in diffuse pontine glioma. In neuroblastoma, a phase I study (NCT02337309) is testing the use of SF1126, a PI3-kinase inhibitor, in pediatric patients with neuroblastoma. Only after the initial phase I study, the subsequent phase II design will test for the use of SF1126 in patients with tumors such as retinoblastoma with *MYCN* amplification, *MYCN* expression or Myc expression. Besides, a number of early clinical trials are testing IGF1R targeting in pediatric patients. The results of these targeting approaches will reveal the efficacies and related long-term toxicities of targeted therapies in pediatric patients may, in the near future, further guide the identification of related genetic biomarkers of response among potential pediatric responders.

There are documented cases of exceptional responders to targeted therapies. An example is a 12-year-old Caucasian male with *BRAF* V600E mutant glioblastoma multiforme [6] who achieved complete regression of tumor in response to a BRAF inhibitor (vemurafenib). It is anticipated that some of these pathway inhibitors can be clinically effective in pediatric solid tumors with tolerable toxicity profile.

Future Perspectives:

As of today, there are only 8 pediatric solid tumor types with whole-exome sequencing data available. Among those, some of the studies have only very limited number of cases being sequenced. It is anticipated that with additional 3 large scale sequencing projects ongoing, some new druggable genetic events may be uncovered for these often aggressive tumors, which often lack treatment options. Efforts thus far, have revealed a limited number of potential druggable mutations such as *EGFR*, *ALK*, *PIK3CA*, *FGFR1*, *NF1*, *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutations. These findings may help define new clinical trial design, or pediatric basket-type of trials for these patients. Multi-center or international efforts are often required for clinical trials to be conducted with reasonable patient number for the testing of new agents for these rare tumors. Lastly, it is noted that most of these published WES represent the genomic profiles of mostly Western pediatric patients, therefore, additional sequencing efforts in more pediatric cancers from a more diverse ethnicity can be encouraged, which may facilitate a more global development of precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors worldwide.

Executive Summary:

In conclusion, current FDA-approved targeted therapies available for pediatric solid tumors are grossly insufficient. New pediatric gene-based clinical trials are urgently needed to provide the impetus for the development of precision medicine for pediatric solid tumors.

References:

- 1. Ward E, Desantis C, Robbins A, Kohler B, Jemal A. Childhood and adolescent cancer statistics, 2014. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians* 64(2), 83-103 (2014).
- Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U *et al*. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer discovery* 2(5), 401-404 (2012).
- 3. Gao J, Aksoy BA, Dogrusoz U *et al.* Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Science signaling* 6(269), pl1 (2013).
- 4. St. Jude-Washington University Pediatric Cancer Genome Project. https://www.stjude.org/pcgp. Accessed Sept 2016.
- TARGET: Therapeutically Applicable Research To Generate Effective Treatments. National Cancer Institute. https://ocg.cancer.gov/programs/target. Published July 13 2015. Accessed Sept 2016.
- Robinson GW, Orr BA, Gajjar A. Complete clinical regression of a BRAF V600Emutant pediatric glioblastoma multiforme after BRAF inhibitor therapy. *BMC cancer* 14 258 (2014).
- Mordechai O, Postovsky S, Vlodavsky E *et al.* Metastatic rhabdoid meningioma with BRAF V600E mutation and good response to personalized therapy: case report and review of the literature. *Pediatric hematology and oncology* 32(3), 207-211 (2015).

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	8.
9 10 11 12 13	9.
14 15 16 17	10.
18 19 20 21 22	11.
23 24 25 26 27	12.
28 29 30 31 32	13.
33 34 35 36 37	14.
37 38 39 40 41 42	15.
43 44 45 46 47	16.
48 49 50 51 52	17.
53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	

 Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Fulop J *et al*. CBTRUS Statistical Report: Primary Brain and Central Nervous System Tumors Diagnosed in the United States in 2008-2012. *Neuro-oncology* 17 Suppl 4 iv1-iv62 (2015).

- United States Cancer Statistics: 1999–2013 Incidence and Mortality Web-based Report. (2016).
- 10. Pugh TJ, Weeraratne SD, Archer TC *et al*. Medulloblastoma exome sequencing uncovers subtype-specific somatic mutations. *Nature* 488(7409), 106-110 (2012).
- Jones DT, Jager N, Kool M *et al*. Dissecting the genomic complexity underlying medulloblastoma. *Nature* 488(7409), 100-105 (2012).
- 12. Robinson G, Parker M, Kranenburg TA *et al.* Novel mutations target distinct subgroups of medulloblastoma. *Nature* 488(7409), 43-48 (2012).
- 13. Johnson BE, Mazor T, Hong C *et al*. Mutational analysis reveals the origin and therapy-driven evolution of recurrent glioma. *Science* 343(6167), 189-193 (2014).
- 14. Zhang J, Wu G, Miller CP *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing identifies genetic alterations in pediatric low-grade gliomas. *Nature genetics* 45(6), 602-612 (2013).
- Molenaar JJ, Koster J, Zwijnenburg DA *et al.* Sequencing of neuroblastoma identifies chromothripsis and defects in neuritogenesis genes. *Nature* 483(7391), 589-593 (2012).
- 16. Peifer M, Hertwig F, Roels F *et al.* Telomerase activation by genomic rearrangements in high-risk neuroblastoma. *Nature* 526(7575), 700-704 (2015).
- 17. Pugh TJ, Morozova O, Attiyeh EF *et al*. The genetic landscape of high-risk neuroblastoma. *Nature genetics* 45(3), 279-284 (2013).

- 18. Zhang J, Benavente CA, Mcevoy J *et al*. A novel retinoblastoma therapy from genomic and epigenetic analyses. *Nature* 481(7381), 329-334 (2012).
- Chintagumpala M, Chevez-Barrios P, Paysse EA, Plon SE, Hurwitz R. Retinoblastoma: review of current management. *The oncologist* 12(10), 1237-1246 (2007).
- 20. Doz F, Peter M, Schleiermacher G *et al.* N-MYC amplification, loss of heterozygosity on the short arm of chromosome 1 and DNA ploidy in retinoblastoma. *European journal of cancer* 32A(4), 645-649 (1996).
- Theriault BL, Dimaras H, Gallie BL, Corson TW. The genomic landscape of retinoblastoma: a review. *Clinical & experimental ophthalmology* 42(1), 33-52 (2014).
- 22. Walz AL, Ooms A, Gadd S *et al.* Recurrent DGCR8, DROSHA, and SIX homeodomain mutations in favorable histology Wilms tumors. *Cancer cell* 27(2), 286-297 (2015).
- 23. Scott RH, Murray A, Baskcomb L *et al.* Stratification of Wilms tumor by genetic and epigenetic analysis. *Oncotarget* 3(3), 327-335 (2012).
- 24. Maw MA, Grundy PE, Millow LJ *et al*. A third Wilms' tumor locus on chromosome 16q. *Cancer research* 52(11), 3094-3098 (1992).
- 25. Dome JS, Coppes MJ. Recent advances in Wilms tumor genetics. *Current opinion in pediatrics* 14(1), 5-11 (2002).
- 26. Tomlinson GE, Kappler R. Genetics and epigenetics of hepatoblastoma. *Pediatric blood & cancer* 59(5), 785-792 (2012).

Future Oncology

2	
3 4	
4	
5	
6	
5 6 7	
8	
9	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	
21	
22	
23	
20	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
21	
31	
32 33 34	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
44	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

- 27. Cairo S, Armengol C, De Reynies A *et al.* Hepatic stem-like phenotype and interplay of Wnt/beta-catenin and Myc signaling in aggressive childhood liver cancer. *Cancer cell* 14(6), 471-484 (2008).
- Chen X, Bahrami A, Pappo A *et al*. Recurrent somatic structural variations contribute to tumorigenesis in pediatric osteosarcoma. *Cell reports* 7(1), 104-112 (2014).
- 29. Martin JW, Squire JA, Zielenska M. The genetics of osteosarcoma. *Sarcoma* 2012 627254 (2012).
- 30. Crompton BD, Stewart C, Taylor-Weiner A *et al*. The genomic landscape of pediatric Ewing sarcoma. *Cancer discovery* 4(11), 1326-1341 (2014).
- 31. Tirode F, Surdez D, Ma X *et al.* Genomic landscape of Ewing sarcoma defines an aggressive subtype with co-association of STAG2 and TP53 mutations. *Cancer discovery* 4(11), 1342-1353 (2014).
- 32. Shern JF, Chen L, Chmielecki J *et al.* Comprehensive genomic analysis of rhabdomyosarcoma reveals a landscape of alterations affecting a common genetic axis in fusion-positive and fusion-negative tumors. *Cancer discovery* 4(2), 216-231 (2014).
 - 33. Terashima K, Yu A, Chow WY *et al*. Genome-wide analysis of DNA copy number alterations and loss of heterozygosity in intracranial germ cell tumors. *Pediatric blood & cancer* 61(4), 593-600 (2014).
 - 34. Wang L, Yamaguchi S, Burstein MD *et al*. Novel somatic and germline mutations in intracranial germ cell tumours. *Nature* 511(7508), 241-245 (2014).

- 35. Weniger MA, Melzner I, Menz CK *et al.* Mutations of the tumor suppressor gene SOCS-1 in classical Hodgkin lymphoma are frequent and associated with nuclear phospho-STAT5 accumulation. *Oncogene* 25(18), 2679-2684 (2006).
 - 36. Leventaki V, Drakos E, Karanikou M *et al.* c-JUN N-terminal kinase (JNK) is activated and contributes to tumor cell proliferation in classical Hodgkin lymphoma. *Human pathology* 45(3), 565-572 (2014).
 - 37. Schmitz R, Hansmann ML, Bohle V *et al.* TNFAIP3 (A20) is a tumor suppressor gene in Hodgkin lymphoma and primary mediastinal B cell lymphoma. *The Journal of experimental medicine* 206(5), 981-989 (2009).
 - Nagel S, Schneider B, Rosenwald A *et al.* t(4;8)(q27;q24) in Hodgkin lymphoma cells targets phosphodiesterase PDE5A and homeobox gene ZHX2. *Genes, chromosomes & cancer* 50(12), 996-1009 (2011).
 - 39. Lake A, Shield LA, Cordano P *et al.* Mutations of NFKBIA, encoding IkappaB alpha, are a recurrent finding in classical Hodgkin lymphoma but are not a unifying feature of non-EBV-associated cases. *International journal of cancer* 125(6), 1334-1342 (2009).
- 40. Gunawardana J, Chan FC, Telenius A *et al.* Recurrent somatic mutations of PTPN1 in primary mediastinal B cell lymphoma and Hodgkin lymphoma. *Nature genetics* 46(4), 329-335 (2014).
- 41. Martin-Subero JI, Gesk S, Harder L *et al*. Recurrent involvement of the REL and BCL11A loci in classical Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood* 99(4), 1474-1477 (2002).

Future Oncology

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
6 7 8	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13 14	
13	
14	
15	
16 17 18 19 20 21	
10	
10	
20	
20	
22	
22 23 24	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36 37	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44 45	
45 46	
46 47	
47 48	
40 49	
49 50	
50 51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

- 42. Murphy D, Parker J, Zhou M *et al*. Constitutively overexpressed 21 kDa protein in Hodgkin lymphoma and aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphomas identified as cytochrome B5b (CYB5B). *Molecular cancer* 9 14 (2010).
- 43. Yeh P, Chen H, Andrews J, Naser R, Pao W, Horn L. DNA-Mutation Inventory to Refine and Enhance Cancer Treatment (DIRECT): a catalog of clinically relevant cancer mutations to enable genome-directed anticancer therapy. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research* 19(7), 1894-1901 (2013).
- 44. NF1 Mutations Prevalent but Not Clinically Relevant. Aug 2015. Available at http://cancerdiscovery.aacrjournals.org/content/early/2015/08/17/2159-8290.CD-NB2015-119.full. Accessed Sept 2016.
- 45. Rohle D, Popovici-Muller J, Palaskas N *et al.* An inhibitor of mutant IDH1 delays growth and promotes differentiation of glioma cells. *Science* 340(6132), 626-630 (2013).
- 46. Mutant-IDH1 Inhibitor AG-120 Shows Early Promise Against Solid Tumors With IDH1 Mutations. Aug 2015. Available at http://www.aacr.org/Newsroom/Pages/News-Release-Detail.aspx?ltemID=789#.WDPB19V94dV. Accessed Sept 2016.
- 47. Wu YM, Su F, Kalyana-Sundaram S *et al.* Identification of targetable FGFR gene fusions in diverse cancers. *Cancer discovery* 3(6), 636-647 (2013).
- 48. Khalaf MR, Zaki EM, Darwish AM, El-Naggar MM. Frequency of the ALK gene and its prognostic value in neuroblastoma by FISH. *Egyptian Jorunal of Haematology* 40(2), 66-73 (2015).

 49. Ferrarelli LK. Targeting the "Undruggable" MYCN. Science signaling 7(344), ec260 (2014). 50. Packer LM, Pollock PM, Paralog-Specific Kinase Inhibition of FGFR4: Adding to the Arsenal of Anti-FGFR Agents. Cancer discovery 5(4), 355-357 (2015). 51. Carvajal RD, Antonescu CR, Wolchok JD et al. KIT as a therapeutic target in metastatic melanoma. Jama 305(22), 2327-2334 (2011). 52. Growney JD, Clark JJ, Adelsperger J et al. Activation mutations of human c-KIT resistant to imatinib mesylate are sensitive to the tyrosine kinase inhibitor PKC412. Blood 106(2), 721-724 (2005). 53. Pinto EM, Chen X, Easton J et al. Genomic landscape of paediatric adrenocortical tumours. Nature communications 6 6302 (2015). 54. Qaddoumi I, Orisme W, Wen J et al. Genetic alterations in uncommon low-grade neuroepithelial tumors: BRAF, FGFR1, and MYB mutations occur at high frequency and align with morphology. Acta neuropathologica 131(6), 833-845 (2016). Wu G, Diaz AK, Paugh BS et al. The genomic landscape of diffuse intrinsic 55. pontine glioma and pediatric non-brainstem high-grade glioma. Nature genetics 46(5), 444-450 (2014). Gooskens SL, Gadd S, Guidry Auvil JM et al. TCF21 hypermethylation in 56. genetically guiescent clear cell sarcoma of the kidney. Oncotarget 6(18), 15828-15841 (2015).

2	
3 4	
5	
6	
6 7	
1	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
∠ I 22	
22	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 20	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	
28	
29	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34 35	
35	
36	
36 37 38	
20	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
nu	

- 57. Chun HJ, Lim EL, Heravi-Moussavi A *et al.* Genome-Wide Profiles of Extracranial Malignant Rhabdoid Tumors Reveal Heterogeneity and Dysregulated Developmental Pathways. *Cancer cell* 29(3), 394-406 (2016).
- 58. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Full prescribing information: AFINITOR. Jul 2012. Available at <u>http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/203985s000lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed Sept 2016.
- 59. Franz DN, Agricola K, Mays M *et al.* Everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytoma: 5-year final analysis. *Annals of neurology* 78(6), 929-938 (2015).
- 60. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research.
 Full prescribing information: UNITUXIN. Mar 2015. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/125516s000lbl.pdf.
 Accessed Sept 2016.
- 61. Yu AL, Gilman AL, Ozkaynak MF *et al.* Anti-GD2 antibody with GM-CSF, interleukin-2, and isotretinoin for neuroblastoma. *The New England journal of medicine* 363(14), 1324-1334 (2010).
- 62. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research.
 Full prescribing information: Xgeva. Jun 2013. Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2013/125320s094lbl.pdf.
 Accessed Sept 2016.
- Martin-Broto J, Cleeland CS, Glare PA *et al*. Effects of denosumab on pain and analgesic use in giant cell tumor of bone: interim results from a phase II study. *Acta oncologica* 53(9), 1173-1179 (2014).

Future Oncology

Table 1. Common mutations of 12 most common pediatric solid tumors as reported by large scale WES studies (extracted from www.cbioportal.org)

2 3 4 5 6	Cancer Type	US Incidence rate (per 100,000)	Cases in US (2009-13)	WES/ WGS/ Others	Country (Cohort)	Frequency of common mutations
7 8 9 10	Medulloblastoma	4.1*	1690* (2008-2012)	WES (N=92)	U.S., Canada (Children)	KMT2D (8.7%); DDX3X (7.6%); PTCH1 (6.5%); CTNNB1 (6.5%); SMARCA4 (4.4%); KMT2C (4.4%); ABCA13 (4.4%); TP53 (3.3%); BCOR (3.3%); EPPK1 (3.3%); KDM6A (3.3%); MAN2C1 (3.3%); PLXNA2 (3.3%); TTN (3.3%); GPS2 (3.3%); SPTB (3.3%); LAMA5 (3.3%)
11 12 13				WES (N=125)	Germany (Children)	CTNNB1 (12%); DDX3X (8%); PTCH1 (6.4%); KMT2D (4.8%); SMARCA4 (4.8%); KDM6A (4%); TP53 (4%); CTDNEP1 (3.2%)
14 15 16 17				WES (N=37)	U.S. (Children)	CTNNB1 (10.8%); DDX3X (10.8%); TTN (8.1%); KDM6A (8.1%); CHD7 (8.1%); DEPDC5 (5.4%); ZMYM3 (5.4%); SF3B1 (5.4%); DYNC1H1 (5.4%); FAP (5.4%); FCRL2 (5.4%); GPAM (5.4%); IFIT3 (5.4%); DNAH14 (5.4%); PFKP (5.4%); WDFY3 (5.4%); WDFY4 (5.4%); CACNA1D (5.4%)
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Glioblastoma multiforme	1.6*	659* (2008-2012)	WES (N=290)	U.S. (N.A.)	PTEN (31.4%); TP53 (29.3%); EGFR (26.8%); FLG (11.5%); PIK3R1 (11.5%); NF1 (11.2%); PIK3CA (11.2%); RYR2 (10.1%); PCLO (9.8%); SPTA1 (9.4%); RB1 (8.7%); MUC17 (8%); AHNAK2 (6.6%); ATRX (5.9%); FRG1BP (5.9%); TCHH (5.6%); OBSCN (5.6%); IDH1 (5.2%); KEL (5.2%); CNTNAP2 (4.9%); SYNE1 (4.9%); KRTAP4-11 (4.5%); RELN (4.5%); NLRP5 (4.2%); CFAP47 (4.2%); STAG2 (4.2%); FLG2 (4.2%); COL1A2 (4.2%); HCN1 (4.2%); MROH2B (4.2%); POTEC (3.8%); SCN9A (3.8%); GABRA6 (3.8%); KMT2C (3.8%); CDH18 (3.8%); SEMA3C (3.8%); PDGFRA (3.8%); DMD (3.8%); PRDM9 (3.5%); ABCB1 (3.5%); ABCC9 (3.5%); SEMG1 (3.1%); RPSAP58 (3.1%); F5 (3.1%); TAF1L (3.1%); ADAM29 (3.1%); LZTR1 (3.1%); THSD7B (3.1%); GRIN2A (3.1%); PCDH11X (3.1%); PIK3C2G (3.1%); KDR (3.1%); ADAMTS16 (3.1%); DSG3 (3.1%)
33 34 35 36 37 38	Low grade glioma	17.1*	7066* (2008-2012)	WES (N=286)	U.S. (N.A.)	IDH1 (77.3%); TP53 (51.1%); ATRX (41.3%); CIC (19.6%); NOTCH1 (10.8%); FUBP1 (8.7%); PIK3CA (8.4%); NF1 (5.9%); EGFR (5.2%); PIK3R1 (4.9%); SMARCA4 (4.6%); PTEN (4.6%); ARID1A (4.2%); IDH2 (4.2%); ZBTB20 (3.5%); APOB (3.2%); FLG (3.2%); RYR2 (3.2%); BCOR (3.2%)
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48				WES (N=30) (N > 2) (Primary)	U.S., Japan (N.A.)	IDH1 (100%); TP53 (86.7%); ATRX (83.3%); CCDC91 (16.7%); TMPRSS15 (16.7%); SMARCA4 (13.3%); RPL21 (13.3%); OR5D14 (13.3%); DCHS2 (13.3%); ZNF280D (13.3%); HOXC12 (13.3%); DYTN (13.3%); TRIM52 (13.3%); PCLO (13.3%); TJP3 (13.3%); ZNF628 (10%); OR6C70 (10%); SOWAHC (10%); CD3EAP (10%); TAAR8 (10%); MUC6 (10%); APOB (10%); FLG (10%); RYR1 (10%); CCT8L2 (10%); CDKAL1 (10%); RFX7 (10%); OR5B3 (10%); WDR1 (10%); ADGRG7 (10%); GMNC (10%); SUGCT (10%); FAM189A2 (10%); NUP188 (10%); LRRC16B (10%); AIM2 (10%); AATK (10%); ABHD6 (10%)
49 50 51 52				WGS + Other	U.S. (Children)	BRAF (12.0%); H3F3A (4%); FGFR1 (duplication/mutation/rearrangement; 21.3%)
52 53 54	Neuroblastoma	0.4**	2420**	14/50	America	
55 56	reoropiasiona	8.4**	3438**	WES (N=87)	Amsterdam (Children)	ZNF717 (6.9%); ALK (5.7%); TIAM1 (3.4%)
57 58 59 60				WES (N=56)	Germany (Children)	ALK (8.9%); MUC16 (5.4%); WWP1 (3.6%); AHNAK2 (3.6%); MYH1 (3.6%); TTN (3.6%); ITGAE (3.6%); COL5A3 (3.6%); BAIAP2L2 (3.6%); LATS2 (3.6%); GJA3 (3.6%); PCDHB12 (3.6%); XIRP2 (3.6%); MUC17 (3.6%); GIGYF2 (3.6%); DSC2 (3.6%); NEB (3.6%); KRT10 (3.6%); LHCGR (3.6%); HGSNAT (3.6%); TNXB (3.6%); TBP (3.6%); PDE6A (3.6%); SNX21 (3.6%); CASR (3.6%)

Other known genetic events	Reference
-	[10]
	[11]
	[12]
	cbioportal
-	cbioportal
	[13]
-	[14]
-	[15]
-	[16]

						Future Oncology		Page 26 of 32
1 2				WES + WGS + Other	U.S. (Children)	ALK (9.2%)	_	[17]
4 5 6 7 8 9	Retinoblastoma	3.3**	1336**	WGS (N = 4) Others	U.S. (Children) –	RB1 (100%) _	– <u>Mutation:</u> <i>RB1</i> (95%); <i>RBL2</i> <u>Amplification:</u> <i>MDM4; E2F3; DEK; MYCN</i>	[18] [19-21]
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Wilm's tumor	6.4**	2604**	WES + WGS Others	U.S. (Children) –	DROSHA (10.4%); CTNNB1 (6.5%); SIX1 (5.2%); WT1 (3.9%); WTX (3.9%); DGCR8 (3.9%) –	– <u>Mutation:</u> WT1; WTX; WT2 region(possible genes IGF2, CDKN1C, H19) CTNNB1; TP53; FWT1; FWT2; FBXW7 (4%) <u>Deletion:</u> MEOX2; SOSTDC1; SKCG-1 <u>Amplification:</u> MYCN; CACNA1E	[22] [23-25]
20 21 22 23 24 25	Hepatoblastoma	1.8**	758**	Others	-	_	<u>Mutation:</u> APC; CTNNB1; AXIN1; AXIN2; PIK3CA; GPC3; NSD1; TP53 <u>Deletion:</u> SMARCB1 <u>Amplification:</u> PIK3C2B; PLAG1	[26,27]
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Osteosarcoma	5.0**	2056**	WGS (N=34) Others	U.S. (Children) –	TP53 (82.4%); DLG2 (52.9%); RB1 (29.4%); ATRX (29.4%) –	N.A. <u>Mutation:</u> TP53; RB1 <u>Amplification:</u> RUNX2 (87%); COPS3; PMP22; MAPK7 (20-78%); MYC (14-67%); E2F3 (60%); MDM2 (3-25%); VEGFA (25%)	[28] [29]
34 35 36 37 39 41 42 44 44 40 51 23 45 55 57 59 60	Ewing's sarcoma	2.9**	1203**	WES (N=105)	U.S. (Children)	 EWSR1 (36.2%); TP53 (12.4%); MUC6 (11.4%); STAG2 (11.4%); KMT2D (11.4%); EPPK1 (9.5%); AHNAK2 (8.6%); DNAH1 (8.6%); ZFHX3 (7.6%); THBS4 (7.6%); NPHP4 (7.6%); OBSCN (7.6%); ATP7B (6.7%); HRNR (6.7%); RPTN (6.7%); SPTA1 (6.7%); SPEN (6.7%); CR1 (6.7%); SYNE1 (6.7%); DSP (6.7%); COL18A1 (5.7%); PRAMEF12 (5.7%); VWF (5.7%); LLGL2 (5.7%); LAMA2 (5.7%); FAT1 (4.8%); PREX2 (4.8%); CIITA (4.8%); ATM (4.8%); RRBP1 (4.8%); TCHH (4.8%); CLTCL1 (4.8%); TNNI3K (4.8%); ABCC4 (4.8%); AVIL (4.8%); TNK51BP1 (4.8%); RP1L1 (4.8%); ATP8B3 (4.8%); KIAA1755 (4.8%); PRB2 (4.8%); EXOSC10 (4.8%); PRKDC (4.8%); MYO16 (4.8%); GPR179 (4.8%); PCDHGA5 (4.8%); COL6A6 (4.8%); RELN (4.8%); SLC27A3 (3.8%); SLC13A1 (3.8%); ADAM21 (3.8%); CAP53 (3.8%); PER53 (3.8%); CFAP53 (3.8%); CTEB3C (3.8%); FBXO33 (3.8%); MAP3K4 (3.8%); FLT4 (3.8%); TAS1R1 (3.8%); BTNL8 (3.8%); ZNF672 (3.8%); FBXO33 (3.8%); NAP3K4 (3.8%); FLT4 (3.8%); TAS1R1 (3.8%); TRAP (3.8%); TLR2 (3.8%); LAP3 (3.8%); CAGE1 (3.8%); CABP4 (3.8%); IRIG1 (3.8%); PLNA3 (3.8%); PCLO (3.8%); TRAP1 (3.8%); AAP9 (3.8%); XIRP1 (3.8%); PLEC (3.8%); TRPM2 (3.8%); PLXO3 (3.8%); TMPRSS6 (3.8%); MAGEC1 (3.8%); PLXA3 (3.8%); LRRX (3.8%); ZNF471 (3.8%); TXMPSS6 (3.8%); DYNC1/2 (3.8%); DMD (3.8%); ZNF142 (3.8%); ZNF471 (3.8%); CFHR5 (3.8%) SMC5 (3.8%); ZNF208 (3.8%); ZNF142 (3.8%); PTPN7 (3.8%); CFHR5 (3.8%) 		[30]

Page	27	of	32
------	----	----	----

2				WES (N = 112)	U.S. (Children, Adults)	STAG2 (16.1%); TP53 (7.1%); CSMD1 (4.5%); TTN (4.5%)	
4 5 6 7	Rhabdomyosarcoma	4.7**	1928**	WES (N=43)	US (Children; Adults)	NRAS (9.3%); NPHS1 (7.0%); NF1 (7.0%); FBXW7 (7.0%); BCOR (7.0%); FGFR4 (7.0%); PIK3CA (7.0%); SLC6A17 (7.0%); OR52N1 (7.0%); KRAS(7.0%)	
3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 20 21 22 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 21 22 23 24 25 6 7 8 9 20 21 22 23 24 25 6 7 7 8 9 20 21 22 23 24 25 6 7 7 8 9 20 21 22 23 24 25 6 7 7 8 9 20 21 22 22 24 25 26 27 20 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	Germ cell tumors	11.4**	4766**	Others	_	MUC2 (20%); KIT (18.1%); TVP23C-CDRT4 (17.4%); MUC4 (15.5%); FRG1BP (14.8%); KRAS (12.9%); MUC6 (9.7%); CDC27 (7.1%); OBSCN (7.1%); PLEC (6.5%); CELSR1 (6.5%); LAMA5 (6.5%); AHNAK2 (5.8%); MUC17 (5.8%); NRAS (5.2%); LAMC3 (5.2%); ANKRD36C (5.2%); NBPF10 (5.2%); DDX11 (5.2%); PIEZO1 (4.5%); HSF4 (4.5%); ANKRD11 (4.5%); FAM104B (4.5%); ERC1 (4.5%); STAB1 (4.5%); CRB2 (3.9%); TCHH (3.9%); SP8 (3.9%); ABCC8 (3.9%); FAT3 (3.9%); RHPN2 (3.9%); KMT2B (3.9%); CREBBP (3.9%); ITPR3 (3.9%); FAM186A (3.9%); ZNRF3 (3.9%); DEK (3.9%); EPAS1 (3.9%); DSCAML1 (3.9%); CROCC (3.9%); PNPLA6 (3.2%); ABCD1 (3.2%); KRTAP10-10 (3.2%); GOLGA6L2 (3.2%); MN1 (3.2%); TAS1R3 (3.2%); ATAD5 (3.2%); MLLT3 (3.2%); RRAD (3.2%); DCHS1 (3.2%); RBM10 (3.2%); GRID2IP (3.2%); CSGALNACT2 (3.2%); ZAN (3.2%); MAGEC1 (3.2%); NSD1 (3.2%); PCLO (3.2%); DCP1B (3.2%); CUX1 (3.2%); FANK1 (3.2%); CDCC64 (3.2%); PCMTD1 (3.2%); MFHAS1 (3.2%); ZNF814 (3.2%); LRP5 (3.2%); DSPP (3.2%); ZFR2 (3.2%)	
30 31 32 33 34	Hodgkin's Lymphoma	12.1**	5055**	Others	-	_	Mu <u>De</u> l
25 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 34 45 46 47 48 90 01 22 34 55 66 7 88 90 01 22 34 55 66 7 88 90 01 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		Data from CBTR	PUS* [8]; from	o CDC** [9]			

[31]

[32]

cbioportal

Mutation: NFKBIA; PTPN1; TP53; TNFAIP3 Deletion: SOCS-1; STAT5; ZHX2

_

_

[33-42]

Cancer Type	US Incidence rate (per 100,000)	Cases in US (2009- 13)	WES/ WGS/ Others	Country (Cohort)	Frequency of common mutations	Other known genetic events	Reference
			WES (N=31) (N > 2) (Recurrent)		IDH1 (100%); TP53 (93.6%); ATRX (80.7%); FAT1 (25.8%); KMT2C (22.6%); CDHR3 (22.6%); SMARCA4 (19.4%); ARNT (19.4%); MAP10 (19.4%); ATP2B4 (19.4%); MYO7B (19.4%); BCL11B (19.4%); HEPH (19.4%); SPHKAP (16.1%); MUC6 (16.1%); MARS (16.1%); FLG (16.1%); RAD54B (16.1%); STXBP5 (16.1%); NOTCH2 (16.1%); CDKN2A (16.1%); TMEM63B (16.1%); ABC54 (16.1%); COL12A1 (16.1%); PIK3CA (16.1%); BRIP1 (16.1%); OBSCN (16.1%); TEX11 (16.1%); FBN3 (16.1%); COL28A1 (12.9%); MYOM1 (12.9%); SIGLEC1 (12.9%); ACSF2 (12.9%); TIMELESS (12.9%); CPNE3 (12.9%); AHNAK2 (12.9%); TAF1L (12.9%); OGFR (12.9%); TRRAP (12.9%); CRTAP (12.9%); DCHS2 (12.9%); MYH10 (12.9%); DDR1 (12.9%); ZNF211 (12.9%); STAT5A (12.9%); SETD1A (12.9%); ASPM (12.9%); SPEN (12.9%); HLA-B (12.9%); NUP133 (12.9%); ZNF107 (12.9%); KMT2D (12.9%); RNF213 (12.9%); BRD4 (12.9%); KAT6B (12.9%); PREX1 (12.9%); SLC22A25 (12.9%); RELN (12.9%); TMPRSS15 (12.9%); LAMB1 (12.9%); C100RF12 (9.7%); CTNNAL1 (9.7%); SNAPC4 (9.7%); RNGTT (9.7%); ERBB4 (9.7%); CDC16 (9.7%); ZPR1 (9.7%); MAP3K1 (9.7%); PTGDR2 (9.7%); FSTIPI (9.7%); WFDC12 (9.7%); APOB (9.7%); MAP3K1 (9.7%); APC (9.7%); TRIOBP (9.7%); CDC16 (9.7%); ARID1A (9.7%); ZFHX3 (9.7%); KMT2A (9.7%); SART1 (9.7%); RBM14 (9.7%); ARID1A (9.7%); JTKS5 (9.7%); EPHA10 (9.7%); SART1 (9.7%); GIGYF1 (9.7%); KDM5C (9.7%); CAPN12 (9.7%); TCEB3 (9.7%)		[13]

Page 28 of 32

TMEM214 (9.7%); TOPAZ1 (9.7%); BPGM (9.7%); TET2 (9.7%); MDH1B (9.7%); TEAD3 (9.7%); SLC9A4 (9.7%); C5 (9.7%); PROL1 (9.7%); MYH1 (9.7%); POLQ (9.7%); UPF2 (9.7%); IRS4 (9.7%); CBL (9.7%); ATRN (9.7%); NF1 (9.7%); AKR1D1 (9.7%); RANBP17 (9.7%); GRIN2A (9.7%); STYK1 (9.7%); KMT2B (9.7%); HSPA5 (9.7%); TAS2R30 (9.7%); POLE (9.7%); CFTR (9.7%); MYO18A (9.7%); ADGRE3 (9.7%); MAGI2 (9.7%); COL1A1 (9.7%); WHSC1 (9.7%); MED12 (9.7%); WNT2B (9.7%); MAST3 (9.7%); SGK223 (9.7%); VIL1 (9.7%); ARHGAP9 (9.7%); TYW1B (9.7%); DYTN (9.7%); PRSS48 (9.7%); CPA2 (9.7%); PEX6 (9.7%); CREBBP (9.7%); CR2 (9.7%); COL11A1 (9.7%); COL7A1 (9.7%); PCLO (9.7%); COL3A1 (9.7%); CDAN1 (9.7%); PPP1R21 (9.7%); OR10AG1 (9.7%); PLS3 (9.7%); AKAP9 (9.7%); GAGE2D (9.7%); CTSV (9.7%); PLEC (9.7%); YTHDF2 (9.7%); PHF2 (9.7%); SCARA3 (9.7%); GPRC6A (9.7%); LRRK2 (9.7%); FAT4 (9.7%); SYNE1 (9.7%); IL23R (9.7%); UNC45A (9.7%); UBQLNL (9.7%); FSCB (9.7%); ITGAD (9.7%); NUP214 (9.7%); INPPL1 (9.7%); TSHZ3 (9.7%); NOB1 (9.7%); USP35 (9.7%); DEFB126 (9.7%); LPA (9.7%); DSCAM (9.7%); SLC26A3 (9.7%); EPHA6 (9.7%); NCOR2 (9.7%); PRDM2 (9.7%); LAMA2 (9.7%); KIAA1217 (9.7%); LCK (9.7%); EPS8L3 (9.7%); PTPRD (9.7%); ARC (9.7%)

Table 2. Currently approved targeted therapies for pediatric solid tumors based on the US FDA approved drug list.

		FDA approved targeted therapy drugs			
Cancer Type	Subtypes	For children	For adults		
CNS tumors	Medulloblastoma	-	-		
	Glioblastoma multiforme	-	Bevacizumab		
	Low grade glioma	-	-		
	Others	Everolimus (Subependymal giant cell tumor, age > 3)	Everolimus (Subependymal giant cell tumor)		
Neuroblastoma	-	Dinutuximab	Dinutuximab (FDA approval based on clinical trial involving pediatric patients)		
Retinoblastoma	-	-	-		
Wilms' tumor	-	-	-		
Hepatic tumors	Hepatoblastoma	-	-		
Bone tumors	Osteosarcoma	-	-		
	Ewing's sarcoma	-	-		
	Others	Denosumab (Giant cell tumor, skeletally mature adolescents)	Denosumab (Giant cell tumor)		
Soft tissue sarcomas	Rhabdomyosarcoma	-	Pazopanib hydrochloride		
Germ cell tumors	-	-	-		
Lymphomas	Hodgkin's lymphoma	-	Brentuximab vedotin		

Genes involved	Drug target	Pediatric clinical trials						
in Trial design		NCT	Phase	Drugs	Condition	Eligibility	Specifications	
BRAF	BRAF	NCT01677741	1	Dabrafenib	Neoplasm, Brain	12 mo - 17 yrs	BRAF V600 mutation	
		NCT01619774	2	Dabrafenib + Trametinib	Melanoma	≥ 16 yrs	BRAF mutation	
		NCT02285439	1, 2	MEK162	Low grade gliomas Malignant neoplasms, Brain Soft tissue neoplasms	1 - 18 yrs	Ras-Raf pathway activation	
		NCT01089101	1, 2	Selumetinib	Glioma Neurofibromatosis type 1 Recurrent childhood pilocytic astrocytoma Recurrent childhood visual pathway glioma	3 - 21 yrs	Stratum 1: BRAF V600E mutation BRAF KIAA1549 fusion	
		NCT01386450	1, 2	Selumetinib	Optic glioma Pilocytic astrocytoma Low grade glioma Fibriullary astrocytoma	3 - 21 yrs	Stratum 1: BRAF V600E mutation BRAF KIAA1549 fusion	
		NCT01636622	1	Vemurafenib + Carboplatin + Paclitaxel	Advanced cancers	≥ 12 yrs	BRAF mutation	
		NCT01307397	3	Vemurafenib	Metastatic melanoma	≥ 16 yrs	BRAF V600 mutation	
EGFR	EGFR	NCT00079066	3	Cetuximab	Colorectal cancer	≥ 16 yrs	EGFR positive	
		NCT01182350	2	Erlotinib + Bevacizumab + Temozolomide	Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma	3 - 18 yrs	Arm #4: EGFR over-expression	
		NCT02447419	2	Gefitinib	Solid tumors	≤ 20 yrs	EGFR amplification	
		NCT00198159	2	Gefitinib	Germ cell tumors	≥ 15 yrs	EGFR expression	
ALK	ALK	NCT00939770	1, 2	Crizotinib	Brain & CNS tumors Lymphoma Neuroblastoma Unspecified childhood solid tumor, protocol specific	1 - 21 yrs	ALK fusion proteins ALK mutations ALK amplification	
		NCT02465528	2	Ceritinib	Neoplasms (except NSCLC)	≥ 1 yr	ALK mutation	
		NCT01742286	1	Ceritinib	Neoplasms	12 mo - 17 yrs	ALK activation	
ALK, ROS1, MET	ALK	NCT02473497	Expanded access	Crizotinib	Neoplasm	≥ 12 mo	Chromosomal translocation or activating mutation involving the ALK or ROS1 gene Activating genetic alteration of MET gene (case by case basis)	
		NCT02034981	2	Crizotinib	Hematologic cancers Solid tumors Metastatic cancers	≥ 1 yr	ALK mutation MET mutation RON mutation ROS1 mutation	

Genes involved in Trial design	Drug target	Pediatric clinical trials									
		Drug	NCT	Phase	Condition	Eligibility	Specification				
None	EGFR	Cetuximab	NCT00148109	2	Sarcoma	≥ 16 yrs	Arm 1: EGFR po Arm 2: EGFR ne				
		Erlotinib	NCT00124657	1, 2	Brain & CNS tumors	3 - 21 yrs	-				
		Erlotinib	NCT00418327	1	Malignant brain tumor Brain stem glioma	1 - 21 yrs	-				
		Erlotinib	NCT00360854	1	Brain & CNS tumors	1 - 21 yrs	-				
		Erlotinib + Sirolimus	NCT01962896	2	Germ cell tumors (except pure mature teratoma)	12 mo - 50 yrs	-				
		Gefitinib	NCT00040781	1	Unspecified childhood tumor, protocol specific	≤ 21 yrs	No primary CNS tumo metastases to the				
		Gefitinib	NCT00042991	1, 2	Gliomas	3 - 21 yrs	In combination with radi				
		Nimotuzumab	NCT00600054	2	Diffuse pontine glioma	3 - 18 yrs	-				
		Nimotuzumab	NCT00561691	3	Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma	3 - 20 yrs	-				
		Vandetanib + Dasatinib	NCT00996723	1	Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma	18 mo - 21 yrs	Administered during and therapy				
	IGF1R	Cixutumumab	NCT00609141	1	Ewing's sarcoma Peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumor Unspecified childhood solid tumor, protocol specific	1 - 21 yrs	No CNS tumor or ly				
		Cixutumumab	NCT00831844	2	Solid tumors	7 mo - 30 yrs	No known CNS me				
		Cixutumumab + Temsirolimus	NCT00880282	1	Unspecified childhood tumor, protocol specific	1 - 21 yrs	-				
		Cixutumumab + Temsirolimus	NCT01614795	2	Sarcomas	1 - 30 yrs	No known CNS me				
		Figitumumab	NCT00474760	1	Ewing's sarcoma	≥ 9 yrs	-				
		Ganitumab	NCT00563680	2	Ewing's family tumors Desmoplastic small round cell tumors	≥ 16 yrs	No known brain me				
		RG1507	NCT00560144	1	Neoplasms	2 - 17 yrs	-				
		SCH717454	NCT00617890	2	Osteosarcoma Ewing's sarcoma Peripheral neuroectodermal tumor	≥4 yrs	No leptomeningeal or CN				
	PI3 kinase	SF1126	NCT02337309	1	Neuroblastoma	1 - 30 yrs	SF1126, a novel inhibitor and mTOR. After a rec pediatric dose is identif follows with treatment of MYCN amplification or				

Table 3B. Ongoing clinical trials for targeted therapies with no inclusion of genetic analysis in trial design

ons

oositive negative

ors or known he CNS diation therapy

d after radiation

lymphoma

etastases

etastases

netastases

NS metastases

or of PI3 kinase ecommended tified, phase 2 of patients with or expression.