

ENDOLUMINAL AND ENDOSCOPIC MANAGEMENT OF URETHRAL STRICTURE

Martin SY Mak¹, James HL Tsu², Wayne Lam¹

¹Department of Surgery, LKS Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

²Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong SAR, China

Corresponding Author: lamwayne@hku.hk

Submitted: October 10, 2019. Accepted: October 31, 2019. Published: January 9, 2019.

ABSTRACT

Background and Objective

Urethral stricture in the male population is one the oldest described urological condition. Significant variability in clinical practice means that standardized management of urethral stricture remains controversial. Since the first description of modern-day direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) by Sachse in 1974, this, alongside with various endoscopic treatment techniques, continues to be by far the most commonly performed procedures for the management of urethral strictures. This article aims to summarise and review the latest literature on endoscopic management of urethral strictures.

Material and Methods

We conducted a Pubmed and Medline search to identify publications related to endoscopic management of male urethral strictures between 1980 and 2019. Preference was given to recent and larger studies. Original research articles, review articles, abstracts, and opinion articles were included. Keywords used for the search were “male urethral stricture,” “urethrotomy,” “DVIU,” “urethral dilation,” “urethral stent”, “intermittent self-catheterisation”, “mitomycin C”, “steroids”, and “urethroplasty.”

Recent Findings

The long-term efficacy of endoscopic management of urethral stricture is poor. Recent novel advances with adjunct treatment have yet to demonstrate improvement in long-term treatment success. Repeated endoluminal or endoscopic treatments, especially for long and recurrent urethral strictures, are ineffective. They appear to delay patients from receiving definitive treatments, and potentially increase complexity and decrease the success rate of any future urethral reconstructive treatment.

Summary

There is overwhelming evidence to suggest limited long-term efficacy of endoluminal or endoscopic treatments for urethral stricture. Novel adjunctive therapies showed promising initial results, but none have yet to demonstrate durable efficacy. Endoscopic treatment of urethral stricture disease should only be reserved for patients who are not willing to undergo reconstructive surgery, or not fit for anesthetics.

Key Words: *Urethral stricture; urethral dilatation; optical urethrotomy; direct internal visual urethrotomy; endoscopy*

Urethral stricture is the scarring of subepithelial tissue of the corpus spongiosum that results in the narrowing of the urethral lumen.¹ As constriction progresses over time, it results in the development of predominantly voiding lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS).² This is associated with other potentially significant consequences that may require urgent urological input, including acute urinary retention, periurethral abscess, hydronephrosis, and renal failure.³ Previous studies have demonstrated that urethral stricture not only has a profound impact on the patient's quality of life,⁴⁻⁶ but also poses significant economic burden to society.⁷

Stricture may develop at any site along the entire urethra. The location of stricture highly relates to its etiology. According to a retrospective study of all strictures that had been reconstructed at a single high-volume centre, vast majority of strictures were anterior (92.2%), with most occurring in the bulbar urethra (46.9%), followed by penile (30.5%), penile and bulbar (9.9%), and panurethral (4.9%) strictures. Causes of strictures were iatrogenic in 38.6%, idiopathic in 35.8%, lichen sclerosus in 13.4%, and trauma-related in 10.8%. The main iatrogenic strictures were from catheterization (16.3%), previous hypospadias repair (12.2%), and in patients following transurethral surgery (9.1%).⁸

The management of urethral strictures has been challenging for centuries. Urethral dilatation was first described by Shusruta in 600 BC,⁹ and it remains a common practice in modern-day urology. 'Blind' internal urethrotomy has been first described 1855,¹⁰ and Sachse further advanced the technique into the modern-day DVIU with endoscopic control in 1974.¹¹ Further refinements of endoscopic surgery for urethral stricture have developed since. In general, they usually involve either using a different energy source (such as holmium laser) to perform internal urethrotomy, or application of adjunct agents at the time of DVIU or dilatation to reduce the risk of reformation of scarring. However, data regarding the long-term efficacy using these techniques remains very limited.

Despite the growing consensus of its poor long-term efficacy especially for patients with long-segment or recurrent urethral stricture diseases, endoscopic management of urethral stricture continues to be the

most commonly performed technique for urethral strictures today.¹²⁻¹⁴ This article aims to summarize and provide a narrative review of the latest literature on the endoscopic management of urethral strictures.

METHODS

We conducted a Pubmed and Medline search to identify publications related to endoscopic management of male urethral structures between 1980 and 2019. Preference and priority were given to recent and larger studies. Original research articles, review articles, abstracts, and opinion articles were included. Keywords used for the search were "male urethral stricture," "urethrotomy," "DVIU," "urethral dilation," "urethral stent", "intermittent self-catheterisation", "mitomycin C", "steroids", "paclitaxel", "quality of life," "cost-effective," and "urethroplasty."

DIRECT VISUAL INTERNAL URETHROTOMY (DVIU)

DVIU is a procedure that involves endoscopic incision of scarred urethral tissue, thereby releases contracted scar tissue and expand the urethral lumen. The surgery usually involves the use of an endoscopic cold knife or a laser, under general or spinal anaesthesia. For this treatment technique to be effective and durable, re-epithelialization across the incised portion of the urethra must proceed faster than wound contracture, otherwise, recurrence is inevitable.¹⁵ DVIU provides a minimally invasive approach to achieve a patent urethra that would allow unobstructed voiding with minimal side effects. The procedure could be conveniently done as a day case with a very short learning curve. As such, DVIU is one of the most commonly performed procedures for urethral strictures amongst urologists.¹²⁻¹⁴ However, the success rate of DVIU reported in the literature varies widely, ranging from 8 to 80%.^{11,16-26} Such wide variation is likely due to discrepancy in study patient selection, duration of follow-up assessment, and methods to define success following treatment and recurrence of stricture.

The risk of stricture recurrence following DVIU has been reported to be greatest at 3–6 months,^{17,27} with a very modest stricture-free rate of only 50–60% for up to 4 years of follow-up from one study.¹⁷ However, stricture-free rates further reduce dramatically following repeated DVIU for urethral stricture recurrence.^{16,20,22}

After the second treatment, the stricture-free rate at

3 months was 30–50% at 24 months and 0–40% at 48 months. After the third treatment, the stricture free rates at 3, 6 and 24 months were astonishingly reported to be zero.¹⁷ In another study conducted by Santucci et al., the stricture-free rates were also shown to be very poor when urethral stricture recurrences were treated with DVIU, with stricture-free rates after the first, second, third, fourth and fifth urethrotomy of 8%, 6%, 9%, 0%, and 0% respectively.²² In contrast, urethroplasty has a stricture-free recurrence of 90–95%.^{28,29} A recent randomized controlled trial compared open urethroplasty and endoscopic urethrotomy for recurrent bulbar urethral stricture found the need for re-intervention was lower in urethroplasty (16%) compared to urethrotomy (28%) at two years follow-up.³⁰ These studies suggest that the long-term stricture-free rate following DVIU is only very modest even after a single procedure. DVIU has been carried out inappropriately and excessively, most likely due to its convenience and familiarity. Early referral for urethral reconstructive surgery for many of these patients perhaps should be considered, which could have provided a more definitive cure with lesser long-term consequences.³¹ However, DVIU still has an important role for patients who are not fit to undergo reconstructive surgery, in patients perhaps with a treatment-naïve short segment stricture disease, or patients with short-segment recurrence following urethral reconstructive surgery.

Post-DVIU Catheterization

Urethral catheterization is routinely carried out by many urologists following DVIU. This is done assuming that the urethral catheter would act as a scaffold and allows the urethra to mould around it as the urethra heals, thereby minimizing the stricture recurrence. Perhaps the more reasonable indication of leaving urethral catheter in-situ following DVIU is to reduce the risk of early postoperative extravasation and infective complications.³³ However, both the practice of and optimal duration of urethral catheterization following DVIU have been controversial, ranging from no urethral catheterization at all to 6 weeks according to literature.^{11,18,19,21,34,35}

Some reports found that leaving the urethral catheter in-situ for longer than 3–5 days was associated with

increased recurrence.^{36,37} Studies on the effects of the size of the urethral catheter on stricture recurrence rate is limited. Yuruk, E et al reported decreasing the catheter diameter from 22Fr to 18Fr significantly decreases stricture recurrence rates.³⁷ It is postulated that larger bore urethral catheters potentially exert greater pressure on the urethral wall, reducing blood flow and hindering the re-epithelialization process. This subsequently leads to the healing of the incised urethra with fibrosis, causing stricture recurrence.^{38,39} Silicone catheters are generally preferred due to its comparatively more favorable biocompatibility, whereas latex catheters have been implicated in the etiology of urethral strictures due to its associated antigenicity property.⁴⁰

Complications of DVIU

A recent meta-analysis of complications of cold-knife urethrotomy reported an overall complication rate was 6.5%, with the most common complications include impact on erectile function (5%), urinary incontinence (4%), extravasation (3%), urinary tract infection (2%), hematuria (2%), epididymitis (0.5%), urinary retention (0.4%), and the development of scrotal abscess post-operatively (0.3%).⁴¹ The risk of erectile dysfunction is particularly common in patients with long and dense strictures requiring extensive incisions.^{42,43} It is difficult to explain the exact mechanism for this, but deep urethral incisions, especially at 10 o'clock and 2 o'clock positions, carry risks of entering the corpus cavernosum, which has been postulated to induce erectile dysfunction.⁵ Injury of the corpus spongiosum during DVIU can also result in the development of perineal hematoma and urethral hemorrhage.⁴⁴ Peri-operative infection has been reported to significantly increase stricture recurrence.²⁵ Thus, DVIU should be covered by prophylactic antibiotics. Risk factors of developing complications following DVIU include positive urine culture, history of urethral trauma, multiple strictures segments and long (>2 cm) strictures.⁴⁵

URETHRAL DILATION

Urethral dilatation involves the use of sequentially sized instruments to radially dilate the urethra and gently stretch the scar tissue. This leads to the expansion of urethral lumen to restoring patency, without requiring traumatic shearing forces.^{5,15} A major advantage of

using urethral dilatation to treat urethral stricture is that it can be done as a daycare procedure without the need of general or spinal anesthesia. It also requires a lesser degree of surgical expertise and equipment. A wide range of different types of urethral dilators available.

Graduated metal urethral dilating devices are commonly used, such as Van Buren, Beniquet, and Clutton's dilators. However, as they are inserted 'blindly' into the urethra, such procedures are associated with potential complications including bleeding, urethral perforation with extravasation, creation of a false urethral passage, fossa navicularis stricture, or rarely, rectal injury.^{46,47}

To minimize these risks, endoscopically-guided or guidewire-assisted urethral dilatation has been developed. These include the use of urethral balloon dilators, S-shaped coaxial dilators, and Amplatz renal dilators.^{48,49} Currently, there is no randomized controlled trial comparing the efficacy of urethral dilation and internal urethrotomy. No studies have demonstrated a significant difference between dilation and urethrotomy in terms of success rate and complication rate.^{27,32}

To improve the efficacy of urethral dilatation, novel drug-coated balloon dilators (DCB) have been developed recently. A recent study investigated the use of a novel drug-coated balloon for urethral dilation to mechanically dilate a stricture while delivering paclitaxel (Optilume DCB). Paclitaxel is an anti-proliferative drug, which in theory reduces the production of collagen by the scarred collagen-rich connective tissue synthesized by smooth muscle cells.⁵⁰ Initial reports have been promising, with an anatomic success rate of 75% at 12 months without significant complications.⁵¹ However, long-term and comparative data are lacking. An ongoing multi-centre, single-blind randomized controlled clinical trial comparing the use of Optilume DCB with DVIU or urethral dilatation may hopefully be able to further investigate the safety and effectiveness with this device.⁵²

PREDICTORS OF STRICTURE RECURRENCE FOLLOWING ENDOLUMINAL OR ENDOSCOPIC URETHRAL STRICTURE TREATMENT

Various risk factors have been identified to be associated with high stricture recurrence rates following DVIU or urethral dilatations. For patients

with urethral stricture length of over 1cm, the risk of stricture recurrence following urethral dilatation of DVIU has been demonstrated to be significantly higher.^{36,53,55} Other factors associated with increased stricture recurrence risk include penile and membranous urethral strictures,^{20,21,54} patients with strictures at multiple locations across the urethral length,^{20, 55} those who have undergone previous urethral dilatations of DIVU,²² or in patients with extensive peri-urethral spongiositis.^{1,56} Patients who are elderly, obese,⁵⁷ or had untreated pre-operative urinary tract infection²⁵ also have been reported to have a higher risk of urethral stricture recurrence following DVIU or urethral dilatations.

URETHRAL STENT

The use of permanent urethral stent following internal urethrotomy and dilatation was first introduced by Milroy et al in 1988.⁵⁸ Initial reports showed promising results, with 86–100% success rate for treating urethral stricture in the short term (<18 months) and a 42–90% success rate in the medium term (24–36 months).^{59–63} However, long-term results (>10 years) were not as promising, with only a 13–45% success rate.^{59,63,64} De Vocht et al also reported patient satisfaction 10 years after placement of the Urolume wall stent and found that only 2 of 15 patients were satisfied with the results after stenting.⁶⁴ It is associated with an unacceptably high stent-related complication in up to 86%.²⁰ These include perineal pain, dysuria, incontinence, post-void dribbling, recurrent urinary tract infections, stent migration and stent obstruction by stone encrustation & tissue ingrowth.^{20,59,64,65} A study on urethral Urolume wall stent (AMS, Minnetonka, MI, USA) for recurrent bulbar urethral strictures in 60 patients over 12 years found that the reoperation rate was high at 45%. Of these patients, the commonest surgical interventions required were transurethral resection of obstructing stent hyperplasia (32%), urethral dilatation or urethrotomy for stent obstruction or stricture (25%) and endoscopic litholapaxy for stent encrustation or stone (17%).⁵⁹

To reduce the aforementioned complications associated with permanent urethral stents, the use of temporary stents has been investigated. Such concept is based on the theory that insertion of a urethral stent

immediately following urethral dilatation or urethrotomy prevents contraction of the urethra during the healing process, and provides a scaffold for re-epithelization of urethral caliber.⁶⁶ Wong et al. demonstrated the efficacy of 3 month Memokath stent deployment in the management of recurrent urethral strictures with 78% (17/22) of patients remaining strictures free at a median follow-up of 23 month.⁶⁷ Jordan et al further investigated the durability of Memokath™ 044TW stent in a 1 year randomized trial. Their study found that urethral patency in stented patients was maintained significantly longer than patients in the control arm (median 292 vs. 84 days, $p < 0.001$) with lesser associated side effects.⁶⁸ However, such a technique should be used with caution. Horiguchi, et al. reported that a single application of temporary urethral stenting carries a high risk of complicating the stricture and requiring complex urethroplasty.⁶⁹

Treatment of urethral stricture using permanent urethral stents should be discouraged, especially for patients who are considered to be candidates for formal urethral reconstructive surgery.

A Summary of Endoscopic or Endoluminal Treatments is outlined in Table 1 below.

ADJUNCTIVE THERAPY TO REDUCE RECURRENCE RATE

Intermittent Self-Catheterization

Intermittent self-catheterization was first reported by Lapedes et al.,⁷⁰ in particular for patients with neurogenic bladder. This practice was later adopted for the management of urethral stricture by Lawrence et al.⁷¹ Intermittent self-dilatation (ISD) allows raw, cut edges of urethral strictures to be splinted open regularly, thereby delaying the onset of symptoms and reducing the risk of recurrence after endoscopic treatments. Two systematic reviews concluded that ISD may decrease the risk of recurrence after endoscopic treatment. However, both studies could not conclude the durability of ISD due to the very low quality of the evidence in the present literature.^{72,73} Despite studies demonstrating the potential benefits of prolonging the duration of ISD following endoscopic treatments in delaying the onset of recurrence, there is no evidence

TABLE 1 Summary of Endoscopic or Endoluminal Treatments

	Success rate	Advantages & Disadvantages	Complications
DVIU	50–60% on 4 years of follow-up for treatment-naive cases	Require general or spinal anesthesia Most require post-internal urethrotomy urinary catheterization Surgical expertise and equipment required May result in progression of scarring to adjacent healthy urethral mucosa leading to disease progression if stricture recurs	Urinary extravasation Urinary retention Hematuria Urinary tract infection Epididymitis Scrotal abscess Urinary incontinence Erectile dysfunction
Urethral Dilatation	50–60% on 4 years of follow-up for treatment-naive cases	Daycare procedure Can be done under local anesthesia Less surgical expertise and equipment required Preservation of adjacent healthy urethra	Hematuria Urethral perforation with extravasation Creation of false urethral passage Rarely rectal injury
Urethral Stents	13–45% on 10 years of follow-up	High stent-related morbidities in up to 86%, many challenging to manage High reoperation rate of up to 45%	Perineal pain Dysuria Urinary incontinence Post-void dribbling Recurrent urinary tract infections Stent migration Stent obstruction by stone encrustation & tissue ingrowth

on the sustainability of these benefits.^{74,75} Lubahn et al. reported that in patients with urethral strictures, the practice of ISD, was significantly associated with a poor overall quality of life, especially in younger patients. Regardless, long-term ISD is a palliative option for patients with urethral strictures who cannot undergo or do not elect urethral reconstructive surgery. However, implementing long term ISD in young patients with strictures amenable to reconstruction should not be encouraged.⁷⁶

Mitomycin C Intralesional Injection

The anti-fibroblastic properties of Mitomycin C (MMC) in mitigating scar formation was demonstrated in in-vitro studies and rat models with induced urethral injury.⁷⁷ Mazdak et al. found DVIU with MMC for bulbar urethral strictures had significantly fewer stricture recurrences (10% vs. 50%, $P = 0.006$), although outcomes were measured at a relatively short follow-up period of 6 months. MMC was injected into 4 quadrants of the strictured urethral segment with a total dose of 0.4 mg, followed by a cold-knife incision at 12-o'clock.⁷⁸ Another study by Ali *et al.* with a larger sample size and longer follow-up showed significantly fewer patients in the DVIU with MMC cohort experienced stricture recurrence over an 18 month follow-up period (14.1% vs. 36.9%, $P = 0.002$). MMC was injected after DVIU at a concentration of 0.1% at the 1-, 11-, and 12-o'clock positions.⁷⁹ A recent study by Farrell MR et al. evaluated DVIU with intralesional MMC injection, followed by 1 month of postoperative daily ISD in 44 patients who have failed prior endoscopic management or urethroplasty. Over a median follow-up of 25.8 months, 75.0% of patients (33/44) required no additional surgical intervention. Cold-knife incisions were made at 12-, 3-, and 9-o'clock positions followed by intralesional injection of 10 mL MMC (0.4 mg/mL) in 0.2-0.4 mL aliquots.⁸⁰

Steroid Therapy

Corticosteroids have been a well-established treatment for skin scars and mucosal strictures by decreasing collagen production. It is, therefore, not surprising that steroid has been used to decrease urethral stricture recurrence rate. Administration of steroids to the urethra can be applied either by direct

urethral submucosal injections, or ointment to the urethral mucosa by coating catheters with steroids before performing ISD.

Few small cohort investigations have shown that the use of urethral submucosal injection of triamcinolone decreased recurrence rate, although follow-up periods in these studies were short.^{81,82} Tavakkoli-Tabassi et al reported that the use of urethral injection of triamcinolone significantly delays the time to recurrence in the experimental group (8.08 ± 5.55 versus 3.6 ± 1.59 months, $p < 0.05$) without causing significant complications.⁸³ However, its long-term efficacy remains uncertain. The use of triamcinolone or contractubex ointments of the clean intermittent catheterization does not appear to provide any additional benefit.⁸⁴ However, there have been suggestions that this technique may be more helpful for patients with distal penile urethral stricture disease due to lichen sclerosus et atrophicus.

EFFECTS OF REPEATED ENDOSCOPIC TREATMENTS ON FUTURE URETHRAL RECONSTRUCTION

It has been suggested that repeat endoscopic treatments result in increased stricture complexity in nearly 50% of cases, and subsequent urethroplasty appeared to be more complex than anticipated when compared with urethrography findings at initial diagnosis in 16% of patients.⁶⁹ It has also been reported that these patients are associated with a higher failure rate after undergoing subsequent curative urethroplasty.⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷ Horiguchi et al. noted that a single application of temporary urethral stenting carries a high risk of complicating the stricture and requiring complex urethroplasty.⁶⁹ This is likely due to cumulative tissue injury manifests as amplified spongiofibrosis.⁸⁵ As success rate diminishes drastically with repeated endoluminal treatments, such practice appears to delay patients from receiving definitive treatment, with an impact on patients' quality of life. Hudak et al. reported that repeated endoluminal treatments caused an eightfold increase in the time from stricture diagnosis to curative urethroplasty.⁸⁵ Such practice is likely due to the lack of training in urethral reconstruction amongst urologists, resulting in a hesitancy to perform primary urethroplasty in correctly-selected

patients for whom primary reconstruction would have been the treatment of choice.¹⁴

CONCLUSIONS

Endoluminal and endoscopic treatments are commonly used for the treatment of urethral stricture. However, they are all associated with very high stricture recurrence rates. Many appear to be used inappropriately and excessively for its convenience and familiarity in the past few decades. Such treatments should only be offered to urethral strictures with favourable characteristics following appropriate patient counselling. Repeated treatments should be avoided due to its low efficacy and its potential impact on future urethral reconstruction outcomes.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

REFERENCE

- Mundy A, Andrich D. Urethral strictures. *BJU Int* 2010;107(1):6–26. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410x.2010.09800.x.
- Nuss G, Granieri M, Zhao L, Thum D, Gonzalez C. Presenting symptoms of anterior urethral stricture disease: a disease specific, patient reported questionnaire to measure outcomes. *J Urol* 2012;187(2):559–62. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2011.10.043.
- Rourke K, Hickie J. The clinical spectrum of the presenting signs and symptoms of anterior urethral stricture: detailed analysis of a single institutional cohort. *Urology* 2012;79(5):1163–67. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2012.01.044.
- Bertrand L, Warren G, Voelzke B et al. Lower urinary tract pain and anterior urethral stricture disease: prevalence and effects of urethral reconstruction. *J Urol* 2015;193(1):184–89. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2014.07.007.
- Hampson L, McAninch J, Breyer B. Male urethral strictures and their management. *Nat Rev Urol* 2013;11(1):43–50. doi:10.1038/nrurol.2013.275.
- Lubahn J, Zhao L, Scott J et al. Poor quality of life in patients with urethral stricture treated with intermittent self-dilation. *J Urol* 2014;191(1):143–47. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2013.06.054.
- Santucci R, Joyce G, Wise M. Male urethral stricture disease. *J Urol* 2007;177(5):1667–74. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2007.01.041.
- Palminteri E, Berdondini E, Verze P, De Nunzio C, Vitarelli A, Carmignani L. Contemporary urethral stricture characteristics in the developed world. *Urology* 2013;81(1):191–97. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2012.08.062.
- Das S. *Urology in ancient India*. *Indian J Urol* 2007;23(1):2. doi:10.4103/0970-1591.30253.
- Schultheiss D, Truss M, Jonas U. History of direct vision internal urethrotomy. *Urology* 1998;52:729–34. doi:10.1016/s0090-4295(98)00318-5.
- Sachse H Treatment of urethral stricture: transurethral slit in view using sharp section. *Fortschr Med* 1974;92:12–15.
- Liu J, Hofer M, Oberlin D et al. MP15-01 Changing practice patterns in the treatment of urethral stricture amongst American urologist. *J Urol* 2015;193(4S). doi:10.1016/j.juro.2015.02.884.
- Song L, Xu Y, Wang K et al. Changing trends in the aetiology and management of male urethral stricture disease: A survey from 13 centres in China. *Urol Sci* 2015;26(2):S32. doi:10.1016/j.urols.2015.06.024.
- Cheung M, Lam K. Anterior urethral strictures. *Surg Pract* 2018;22(1):23–29. doi:10.1111/1744-1633.12298.
- Tonkin J, Jordan G. Management of distal anterior urethral strictures. *Nat Rev Urol* 2009;6(10):533–38. doi:10.1038/nrurol.2009.181.
- Holm-Nielsen A, Schultz A, Møller-Pedersen V. Direct Vision Internal Urethrotomy. A Critical Review of 365 Operations. *Br J Urol* 1984;56(3):308–12. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410x.1984.tb05393.x.
- Heyns C, Steenkamp J, De kock M, Whitaker P. Treatment of Male urethral strictures: Is repeated dilation or Internal Urethrotomy useful? *J Urol* 1998;160(2):356–58. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(01)62894-5.
- Lipsky H, Hubmer G. Direct Vision urethrotomy in the management of urethral strictures. *Br J Urol* 1977;49(7):725–28. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410x.1977.tb04561.x.
- Iversen Hansen R, Guldborg O, Møller I. Internal Urethrotomy with the sachse urethrotome. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1981;15(3):189–91. doi:10.3109/00365598109179600.
- Naudé A, Heyns C. What is the place of internal urethrotomy in the treatment of urethral stricture disease? *Nat Clin Pract Urol* 2005;2(11):538–45. doi:10.1038/ncpuro0320.
- Pansadoro V, Emiliozzi P. Internal Urethrotomy in the management of anterior urethral strictures. *J Urol* 1996;73–75. doi:10.1097/00005392-199607000-00020.

22. Santucci R, Eisenberg L. Urethrotomy has a much lower success rate than previously reported. *J Urol* 2010;183(5):1859–62. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2010.01.020.
23. Gibod L, Le portz B. Endoscopic urethrotomy: does it live up to its promises? *J Urol* 1982;127(3):433–35. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)53849-5.
24. Giannakopoulos X, Grammeniatis E, Gartzios A, Tsoumanis P, Kammenos A. Sachse urethrotomy versus endoscopic urethrotomy plus transurethral resection of the fibrous callus (Guillemin's technique) in the treatment of urethral stricture. *Urology* 1997;49(2):243–47. doi:10.1016/s0090-4295(96)00450-5.
25. Pain J, St. J. Collier St. J. Collier D. Factors Influencing recurrence of urethral strictures after endoscopic urethrotomy: the role of infection and peri-operative antibiotics. *Br J Urol* 1984;56(2):217–19. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410x.1984.tb05364.x.
26. Greenwell T, Castle C, Andrich D, Macdonald J, Nicol D, Mundy A. Repeat urethrotomy and dilation for the treatment of urethral stricture are neither clinically effective nor cost-effective. *J Urol* 2004;172(1):275–77. doi:10.1097/01.ju.0000132156.76403.8f.
27. Steenkamp J, Heyns C, de Kock M. Internal urethrotomy versus dilation as treatment for male urethral strictures: a prospective, randomized comparison. *J Urol* 1997;157(1):98–101. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(01)65296-0.
28. Barbagli G, De Angelis M, Romano G, Lazzeri M. Long-Term followup of bulbar end-to-end anastomosis: a retrospective analysis of 153 patients in a single center experience. *J Urol* 2007;178(6):2470–73. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2007.08.018.
29. Santucci R, Mario L, McAninch J. Anastomotic urethroplasty for bulbar urethral stricture: analysis of 168 patients. *J Urol* 2002;171:15–19. doi:10.1097/00005392-200204000-00030.
30. Stephenson R. ISRCTN - ISRCTN98009168: The OPEN Trial: open urethroplasty versus endoscopic urethrotomy: clarifying the management of men with recurrent urethral stricture. *Isrctn.com*. <http://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN98009168>. Published 2019. Accessed October 10, 2019.
31. Buckley J, Heyns C, Gilling P, Carney J. SIU/ICUD consultation on urethral strictures: dilation, internal urethrotomy, and stenting of male anterior urethral strictures. *Urology* 2014;83(3):S18–S22. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2013.08.075.
32. Wong S, Aboumarzouk O, Narahari R, O'Riordan A, Pickard R. Simple urethral dilatation, endoscopic urethrotomy, and urethroplasty for urethral stricture disease in adult men. *Cochrane Database Syst Review* 2012. doi:10.1002/14651858.cd006934.pub3.
33. Mundy A, Andrich D. Urethral strictures. *BJU Int* 2010;107(1):6–26. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410x.2010.09800.x.
34. Hjortrup A, Sørensen C, Sanders S, Moesgaard F, Kirkegaard P. Strictures of the male urethra treated by the Otis method. *J Urol* 1983;130(5):903–904. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)51565-7.
35. Carlton F, Scardino P, Quattlebaum R. Treatment of urethral strictures with internal urethrotomy and 6 weeks of silastic catheter drainage. *J Urol* 1974;111(2):191–93. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)59924-3.
36. Albers P, Fichtner J, Bruhl P, Muller S. Long-term results of internal urethrotomy. *J Urol* 1996;161:11–14. doi:10.1097/00005392-199611000-00017.
37. Yuruk E, Yentur S, Cakir O, Ertas K, Serefoglu E, Semercioz A. Catheter dwell time and diameter affect the recurrence rates after internal urethrotomy. *Türk Üroloji Dergisi/Turkish J Urol* 2016;42(3):184–89. doi:10.5152/tud.2016.90490.
38. Tian Y, Wazir R, Yue X, Wang K, Li H. Prevention of stricture recurrence following urethral endoscopic management: what do we have? *J Endourol* 2014;28(5):502–508. doi:10.1089/end.2013.0538.
39. Karakus S, Koku N, Parmaksiz M, Ertaskin I, Kilincaslan H, Deliaga H. The effect of urethral catheter size on meatal stenosis formation in children undergoing tubularized incised plate urethroplasty. *Urol J* 2014;10(4):1095–8. doi:10.22037/uj.v10i4.1669.
40. Pitkämäki K, Tammela T, Kontturi M. Recurrence of urethral stricture and late results after optical urethrotomy: comparison of strictures caused by toxic latex catheters and other causes. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1992;26(4):327–31. doi:10.3109/00365599209181221.
41. Jin T, Li H, Jiang LH, Wang L, Wang KJ. Safety and efficacy of laser and cold knife urethrotomy for urethral stricture. *Chin Med J* 2010;123(12):1589–95. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2010.12.020.
42. McDermott D, Bates R, Heney N, Althausen A. Erectile impotence as complication of direct vision cold knife urethrotomy. *Urology* 1981;18(5):467–69. doi:10.1016/0090-4295(81)90291-0.
43. Graversen P, Rosenkilde P, Colstrup H. Erectile Dysfunction following direct vision internal urethrotomy. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1991;25(3):175–78. doi:10.3109/00365599109107943.

44. Shaw N, Venkatesan K. Endoscopic management of urethral stricture: review and practice algorithm for management of male urethral stricture disease. *Curr Urol Rep* 2018;19(3):19. doi: 10.1007/s11934-018-0771-6.
45. Steenkamp JW, Heyns CF, De Kock M. Outpatient treatment for male urethral strictures—dilatation versus internal urethrotomy. *S Afr J Surg* 1997; 35:125–30.
46. Yee D, Gelman J, Skarecky D, Ahlering T. Fossa navicularis strictures in robotic radical prostatectomy due to 22f catheters. *J Urol* 2007;177(4S):271–71. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(18)31052-8.
47. Gelman J, Liss M, Cinman N. Direct vision balloon dilation for the management of urethral strictures. *J Endourol* 2011;25(8):1249–51. doi:10.1089/end.2011.0034.
48. Herschorn S, Carrington E. S-shaped coaxial dilators for male urethral strictures. *Urology* 2007;69(6):1199–201. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2007.02.066.
49. Akkoc A, Aydin C, Kartalmis M, Topaktas R, Altin S, Yilmaz Y. Use and outcomes of amplatz renal dilator for treatment of urethral strictures. *International Braz J Urol* 2016;42(2):356–64. doi:10.1590/s1677-5538.ibju.2014.0578.
50. Baskin L, Constantinescu S, Howard P et al. Biochemical characterization and quantitation of the collagenous components of urethral stricture tissue. *J Urol* 1993;150(2 Part 2):642–47. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)35572-6.
51. Elliott S, Minneapolis, MN, Virasoro R, DeLong J et al. One-year safety and efficacy outcomes on a novel drug coated balloon (DCB) for urethral stricture disease – The ROBUST I STUDY. *J Urol* 2019;201(Supplement 4). doi:10.1097/01.ju.0000555762.12516.b4.
52. ROBUST III- Re-Establishing Flow Via Drug Coated Balloon For The Treatment Of Urethral Stricture Disease - Tabular View - ClinicalTrials.gov. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/record/NCT03499964?view=record>. Published 2019. Accessed October 14, 2019.
53. Redón-Gálvez L, Molina-Escudero R, Álvarez-Ardura M, Otaola-Arca H, Alarcón Parra R, Páez-Borda Á. Predictors of urethral stricture recurrence after endoscopic urethrotomy. *Actas Urológicas Españolas (English Edition)* 2016;40(8):529–33. doi:10.1016/j.acuroe.2016.07.008.
54. Zehri A, Ather M, Afshan Q. Predictors of recurrence of urethral stricture disease following optical urethrotomy. *Int J Surg* 2009;7(4):361–64. doi:10.1016/j.ijssu.2009.05.010.
55. Pal D, Kumar S, Ghosh B. Direct visual internal urethrotomy: Is it a durable treatment option? *Urol Ann* 2017;9(1):18. doi:10.4103/0974-7796.198835.
56. Merkle W, Wagner W. Risk of recurrent stricture following internal urethrotomy: prospective ultrasound study of distal male urethra. *Br J Urol* 1990;65(6):618–20. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410x.1990.tb14831.x.
57. Harraz A, El-Assmy A, Mahmoud O et al. Is there a way to predict failure after direct vision internal urethrotomy for single and short bulbar urethral strictures? *Arab J Urol* 2015;13(4):277–81. doi:10.1016/j.aju.2015.07.007.
58. Milroy E, Cooper J, Wallsten H et al. A new treatment for urethral stricture. *Lancet* 1988;331(8600):1424–27. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(88)92238-6.
59. Hussain M, Greenwell T, Shah J, Mundy A. Long-term results of a self-expanding wallstent in the treatment of urethral stricture. *BJU Int* 2004;94(7):1037–39. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410x.2004.05100.x.
60. Abbar M, Gelet A, Cuzin B, et al. A quiet revolution: the Wallstent urethral prosthesis (Urolume AMS). *Prog Urol* 1993;3:771–7.
61. Kepenne V, Andrianne R, Alzin H, et al. Recurrent urethral stenosis treated with a Urolume Plus stent implantation: intermediate multicenter follow-up. *Acta Urol Belg* 1997;65(1):19–25.
62. Baert L, Verhamme L, Van Poppel H, Vandeursen H, Baert J. Long-term consequences of urethral stents. *J Urol* 1993;150(3):853–55. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)35631-8.
63. Palminteri E. Stents and urethral strictures: a lesson learned? *Eur Urol* 2008;54(3):498–500. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2007.11.065.
64. De Vocht T, Van Venrooij G, Boon T. Self-expanding stent insertion for urethral strictures: a 10-year follow-up. *BJU Int* 2003;91(7):627–30. doi:10.1046/j.1464-410x.2003.04200.x.
65. Sneller Z, Bosch R. Restenosis of the urethra despite indwelling wallstent. *J Urol* 1992;148(1):145–46. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)36539-4.
66. Djordjevic M. Treatment of urethral stricture disease by internal urethrotomy, dilation, or stenting. *Eur Urol Suppl* 2016;15(1):7–12. doi:10.1016/j.eursup.2015.10.001.
67. Wong E, Tse V, Wong J. Durability of Memokath™ urethral stent for stabilisation of recurrent bulbar

- urethral strictures - medium-term results. *BJU Int* 2014;113:35–39. doi:10.1111/bju.12457.
68. Jordan G, Wessells H, Secrest C et al. Effect of a temporary thermo-expandable stent on urethral patency after dilation or internal urethrotomy for recurrent bulbar urethral stricture: results from a 1-year randomized trial. *J Urol* 2013;190(1):130–36. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2013.01.014.
 69. Horiguchi A, Shinchi M, Masunaga A, Ito K, Asano T, Azuma R. Do transurethral treatments increase the complexity of urethral strictures? *J Urol* 2018;199(2):508–14. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2017.08.100.
 70. Lapides J, Diokno A, Silber S, Lowe B. Clean, Intermittent self-catheterization in the treatment of urinary tract disease. *J Urol* 1972;107(3):458–61. doi:10.1016/s0022-5347(17)61055-3.
 71. Lawrence W, MacDonagh R. Treatment of urethral stricture disease by internal urethrotomy followed by intermittent ‘low-friction’ self-catheterization: preliminary communication. *J R Soc Med* 1988;81(3):136–39. doi:10.1177/014107688808100306.
 72. Jackson M, Veeratterapillay R, Harding C, Dorkin T. Intermittent self-dilatation for urethral stricture disease in males. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2014. doi:10.1002/14651858.cd010258.pub2.
 73. Ivaz S, Veeratterapillay R, Jackson M, et al. Intermittent self-dilatation for urethral stricture disease in males: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurourol Urodynamics* 2015;35(7):759–63. doi: 10.1002/nau.22803.
 74. Harriss D, Beckingham I, Lemberger R, Lawrence W. Long-term results of intermittent low-friction self-catheterization in patients with recurrent urethral strictures. *Br J Urol* 1994;74(6):790–792. doi:10.1111/j.1464-410x.1994.tb07127.x.
 75. Tammela TL, Permi J, Ruutu M, et al. Clean intermittent self-catheterization after urethrotomy for recurrent urethral strictures. *Ann Chir Gynaecol Suppl* 1993;206:80–3.
 76. Lubahn J, Zhao L, Scott J et al. Poor quality of life in patients with urethral stricture treated with intermittent self-dilation. *J Urol* 2014;191(1):143–47. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2013.06.054.
 77. Ayyildiz A, Nuhoglu B, Gulerkaya B et al. Effect of intraurethral Mitomycin-C on healing and fibrosis in rats with experimentally induced urethral stricture. *Int J Urol* 2004;11(12):1122–26. doi:10.1111/j.1442-2042.2004.00959.x.
 78. Mazdak H, Meshki I, Ghassami F. Effect of mitomycin c on anterior urethral stricture recurrence after internal urethrotomy. *Eur Urol* 2007;51(4):1089–92. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2006.11.038.
 79. Ali L, Shahzad M, Orakzai N, Khan I, Ahmad M. Efficacy of mitomycin C in reducing recurrence of anterior urethral stricture after internal optical urethrotomy. *Korean J Urol* 2015;56(9):650. doi:10.4111/kju.2015.56.9.650.
 80. Farrell M, Lawrenz C, Levine L. Internal urethrotomy with intralesional mitomycin c: an effective option for endoscopic management of recurrent bulbar and bulbomembranous urethral strictures. *Urology* 2017;110:223–27. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2017.07.017.
 81. Mazdak H, Izadpanahi M, Ghalamkari A et al. Internal urethrotomy and intraurethral submucosal injection of triamcinolone in short bulbar urethral strictures. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2009;42(3):565–68. doi:10.1007/s11255-009-9663-5.
 82. Yıldırım M, Kaynar M, Ozyuvalı E et al. The effectiveness of local steroid injection after internal urethrotomy to avoid recurrence. *Archivio Italiano di Urologia e Andrologia* 2016;87(4):295. doi:10.4081/aiua.2015.4.295.
 83. Tavakkoli Tabassi K, Yarmohamadi A, Mohammadi S. Triamcinolone injection following internal urethrotomy for treatment of urethral stricture. *Urol J* 201;8(2):132–6.
 84. Ergün O, Güzel A, Armağan A, Koşar A, Ergün A. A prospective, randomized trial to evaluate the efficacy of clean intermittent catheterization versus triamcinolone ointment and contractubex ointment of catheter following internal urethrotomy: long-term results. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2015;47(6):909–13. doi:10.1007/s11255-015-0990-4.
 85. Hudak S, Atkinson T, Morey A. Repeat transurethral manipulation of bulbar urethral strictures is associated with increased stricture complexity and prolonged disease duration. *J Urol* 2012;187(5):1691–95. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2011.12.074.
 86. Culty T, Boccon-Gibod L. Anastomotic urethroplasty for posttraumatic urethral stricture: previous urethral manipulation has a negative impact on the final outcome. *J Urol* 2007;177(4):1374–77. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2006.11.092.
 87. Breyer B, McAninch J, Whitson J et al. Multivariate analysis of risk factors for long-term urethroplasty outcome. *J Urol* 2010;183(2):613–17. doi:10.1016/j.juro.2009.10.018.