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#### Abstract

This paper proposes and implements a full-range soft-switching pulse frequency modulated (PFM) wireless power transfer (WPT) system, which not only offers high efficiency but also high controllability, selectivity and security. Although the phase-shift control (PSC) has been widely used in various WPT applications, the high-frequency hard-switching operation will inevitably degrade the system performances in terms of power losses and hence efficiency during power regulation. Thus, a softswitching PFM is newly conceived to suppress the switching frequency and power losses while maintaining full-range softswitching for effective power control, hence improving the system efficiency. In addition to realizing the controllability, the fullrange soft-switching PFM can be further derived to implement the selectivity and security. The system efficiency of a multiobjective PFM-WPT system prototype can reach $86.27 \%$ at 220 W output power and $135-\mathrm{mm}$ transfer distance. During wireless power control, it can effectively be improved by up to $5.68 \%$ as compared with its counterpart using the PSC. Theoretical analysis, computer simulation and hardware experimentation are given to verify the feasibility of proposed full-range softswitching PFM-WPT system.


Index Terms - Soft-switching, pulse frequency modulation, wireless power transfer, controllability, selectivity, security.

## I. InTRODUCTION

NIKOLA Tesla has pioneered the concept of wireless power transfer (WPT) more than one century ago. In various industrial applications and interdisciplinary areas, the WPT using magnetic resonant coupling (MRC) has got extensively investigated and gradually applied in the last decade [1]. As one of most epoch-making technologies, the WPT technology has fully exhibited its key advantages of better safety and convenience, stronger reliability and electrical isolation, and less maintenance [2]. As a result, it has been attracting a growing number of academic researchers and industries to get involved in the great explorations and attempts, such as the static and dynamic wireless charging

[^0]flexibility [3], [4], wireless energy selectivity [5], [6] and emerging wireless energy security [7], [8].

The practical requirement on wireless power controllability may be raised in diverse WPT applications, such as wireless battery charging management [9], wireless motor speed regulation [10] and wireless dimming control. Taking into account wireless power adjustment in the areas of the WPTbased power electronics, the control strategies can generally fall into three mainstream techniques which are pulse width modulation (PWM), pulse density modulation (PDM) and pulse frequency modulation (PFM). Firstly, the phase-shift control (PSC) [9], [11] is widely used to offer the desired gate signals for full-bridge inverters or active rectifiers in various WPT systems. It can be theoretically classified as a kind of PWM with a controllable duty ratio on the inverter output. The PSC takes the key merit of simplicity. However, when varying the duty ratio for power adjustment, the initial soft-switching operation will be deteriorated unless using a complicated zero-voltage-switching (ZVS) angle control [9]. Hence, the constant high-frequency hard-switching may degrade the system performance in terms of power and efficiency losses during power regulation. Secondly, three alternative methods of the burst firing control [12], on-off keying modulation [13] and three-level relay control incorporating with highfrequency modulation [14] can be essentially regarded as the PDM [15]. They can competently output a controllable wireless power with low but non-negligible subharmonics. Thirdly, the MRC-based WPT system usually requires an involved inverter to operate at high-frequency switching for maintaining high-efficiency transmission. Previously, the reported WPT scheme using energy injection [16] or harmonic currents [17], [18] operates at a fixed low-frequency switching to transfer the high-frequency resonant wireless energy. However, it may suffer from significant output fluctuations or relatively high voltage stress with the same power level. In this paper, the presented PFM inverter can be deemed as a sort of quantum resonant converter whose DC gain is quantized [19], [20]. Finally, a phase control [21] was presented for the purpose of wireless energy beamforming rather than wireless power regulation.

In some specific multi-objective WPT applications, the wireless energy selectivity can guarantee oriented power transmission to one specified receiver [5] or achieve multiplex power distributions among multi-receivers [6]. Also, the wireless energy security via chaotic encryption [7] should be concerned and considered as one of the key factors in future practical implementations of the WPT technology, especially
in multi-objective WPT applications. In fact, the selective WPT is realized by regularly modulating the operating frequency according to the targeted loads, while the energyencrypted WPT is by chaotically modulating the operating frequency according to the security keys. Furthermore, the development of enhancement-mode gallium nitride ( GaN ) power devices [22], [23] will promisingly contribute to a significant advancement in both the conventional and emerging WPT-based areas.

In order to fulfill the practical requirements in various WPT applications, a full-range soft-switching PFM technology with wireless energy controllability, selectivity and security is newly proposed and experimentally evaluated in this paper. It can availably suppress the switching frequency and losses while maintaining the full-range soft-switching state, thus improving the system efficiency with insignificant output fluctuations.

Section II will discuss the proposed soft-switching PFMWPT system and its operating principle. Section III will present a 2-D chaotic frequency-and-duration encryption (FDE) to improve the wireless energy security. In Section IV, a full-range soft-switching PFM technology will be proposed and introduced to suppress the power losses and improve the system efficiency. In Section V , both simulation and experimental results will be given to verify the feasibility of proposed full-range soft-switching PFM-WPT scheme. A conclusion will be drawn in Section VI.

## II. Pulse Frequency Modulated Wireless Energy

 SYSTEM
## A. Pulse Frequency Modulated WPT

The proposed soft-switching PFM-WPT system is depicted in Fig. 1. The whole selective or energy-encrypted WPT system mainly comprises one GaN full-bridge inverter, one transmitter and multiple receivers including the authorized and unauthorized ones. According to the practical requests and load characteristics in terms of the frequency, duration and wireless power-on-demand, the proposed PFM technology can be directly applied in various conventional and emerging WPT applications, in which the full-range soft-switching operation can be readily achieved without using any extra auxiliary circuits and power semiconductor components. Meanwhile, the full-range soft-switching PFM technology is competent to implement both the wireless power regulation and selective or energy-encrypted wireless power transmission. Unfortunately, the conventional PSC will deteriorate the soft-switching state once activating wireless power regulation. Thus, the involved inverter using the PSC inevitably suffers from degraded performance due to the high-frequency hard-switching. In contrast, the proposed PFM-WPT scheme possesses the key merits of switching frequency reduction, switching loss suppression, full-range soft-switching operation and thus system efficiency improvement while maintaining good wireless energy controllability, selectivity and security.

Besides, Fig. 1(b) also illustrates the principle of newly proposed PFM incorporating with the 2-D chaotic energy encryption. The energy-encrypted WPT can be essentially
regarded as a kind of chaotically frequency-modulated selective WPT. The proposed full-range soft-switching PFM can readily be incorporated into the energy-encrypted WPT scheme, where it can encrypt the wireless energy transmitting to the targeted receivers by using the Hénon map to generate the 2-D chaotic security keys ( $f_{\text {key }}, t_{\text {key }}$ ) of frequency and duration. The transmitted wireless power is accordingly controlled by regulating the control objectives of proportion factor $\delta$ and thus duty ratio $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}$ based on practical power-ondemand. A module of the PFM function generator will generate a fixed or chaotic PFM sine wave for the realization of the selective or energy-encrypted WPT, respectively. Via a zero-crossing comparator, the desired PFM gate signals are subsequently offered for power switches in the GaN inverter. For the wireless energy security, the maximum efficiency band tracking (MEBT) acts as a way to identify and detour the unsecure operating frequency where the energy theft happens.


Fig. 1. Multi-objective GaN-inverter-based WPT system using proposed softswitching PFM with controllability, selectivity and security. (a) Topology. (b) Soft-switching PFM and 2-D chaotic energy encryption.

## B. Transient-State Analysis

In Fig. $1, L_{\mathrm{t}}, R_{\mathrm{t}}, C_{\mathrm{t}}, i_{\mathrm{t}}, u_{C t}, L_{\mathrm{rk}}, R_{\mathrm{r} k}, C_{\mathrm{r} k}, i_{\mathrm{r} k}$ and $u_{C r k}\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)$ with subscripts $t$ and $r$ denote the resonant coil inductances, coil internal resistances, matched capacitances, $k$ th resonant currents and resonant voltages of the transmitter and receiver circuits, respectively. Besides, $\lambda_{k}$ is the number of the $k$ th receivers, and $M_{\text {trk }}$ is the mutual inductance between the transmitter and $k$ th receiver coils. For illustration, $L_{\mathrm{r} m}(k=m)$ denotes the $m$ th receiver coil inductance. To commence with theoretical analysis, some assumptions are made: 1) symbol $\sigma$
represents the positive or negative polarity of DC power source actually conducted via the inverter; 2) $u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right)$denotes the initial voltage through $C_{\mathrm{t}}$ at the beginning of each switching period; and 3 ) the $m$ th receivers are specified to pick up wireless energy from the proposed PFM-WPT system, while the $k$ th $(k \neq m)$ ones are not. The proposed soft-switching PFM-WPT operation can fall into the following two modes as illustrated in Fig. 2, and each mode involves two stages.

## Mode 1: Positive PFM half-cycle

The proposed PFM involves two optimal modulated frequencies of $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)$ ( $n=1$ in Fig. 3) as derived in Appendix A. The DC power source is always positively connected to the transmitter circuit via the inverter, thus there is $\sigma=1$. Its equivalent circuits and theoretical waveforms are depicted in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 3, respectively. Denotation $E_{\mathrm{M} k}$ is the induced electromotive force; $R_{\mathrm{L} k_{-} \mathrm{eq}}=8 R_{\mathrm{L} k} / \pi^{2}$ is the equivalent AC resistance of the DC load $R_{\mathrm{L} k}$; and $T_{\text {key }}=1 / f_{\text {key }}$.

Stage 1 [0, $\left.T_{k e y} / 2\right],\left[2 T_{k e y}, 5 T_{\text {key }} / 2\right]$ : The involved modulated frequency is $f_{\text {key }}$. Switches $S_{1}$ and $S_{4}$ are turned on while $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are off so that the positive half-cycle resonance of $L_{t}$ and $C_{t}$ occurs. Fig. 4(a) shows the equivalent circuits of a series-to-series (SS) WPT topology in Fig. 1. Such a basic WPT topology can be promisingly extended to incorporate with other complex compensation networks as shown in Fig. 4(b). According to these equivalent circuits, the key operating parameters in the complex frequency domain are expressed as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i_{\mathrm{t}}(s)=\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\left(E / s-u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right) / s\right)}{Z_{\text {ref }}+R_{\mathrm{t}}+s L_{\mathrm{t}}+1 /\left(C_{\mathrm{t}} s\right)}  \tag{1}\\
u_{C_{\mathrm{t}}}(s)=\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\left[\frac{E}{s}-\frac{u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right)}{s}\right] \frac{1 /\left(C_{\mathrm{t}} s\right)}{Z_{\text {ref }}+R_{\mathrm{t}}+s L_{\mathrm{t}}+1 /\left(C_{\mathrm{t}} s\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $Z_{\text {ref }}$ is the total reflected impedance. After the inverse Laplace transform, (1) can be solved as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i_{\mathrm{t}}(t)=\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \frac{E-u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right)}{\omega_{\text {key }} L_{\mathrm{t}}} e^{-\alpha t} \sin \left(\sqrt{1-\left(\alpha / \omega_{\text {key }}\right)^{2}} \omega_{\text {key }} t\right) \\
u_{C_{t}}(t)=\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\left[E-\left[E-u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right)\right] e^{-\alpha t} \cos \left(\sqrt{1-\left(\alpha / \omega_{\text {key }}\right)^{2}} \omega_{\text {key }} t\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha=\left[\left(\omega_{\text {key }} M_{\text {tr } m}\right)^{2} /\left(R_{\mathrm{r} m}+R_{\mathrm{L} m \_\mathrm{eq}}\right)+R_{\mathrm{t}}\right] /\left(2 L_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$.


Fig. 2. Operating modes of proposed PFM-WPT system. (a) Mode 1. (b) Mode 2.

Stage 2 [ $\left.3 T_{\text {key }}, 9 T_{\text {key }} / 2\right]$ : The involved modulated frequency is $f_{\text {key }} / 3$, and all the states of switches $\mathrm{S}_{1}-\mathrm{S}_{4}$ keep constant so that both the positive and negative half-cycle resonances of $L_{\mathrm{t}}$
and $C_{\mathrm{t}}$ occur as shown in Fig. 3. Similarly, the key operating parameters can also be expressed as (2).

## Mode 2: Negative PFM half-cycle

Since the DC power source is changed to negatively connected to the transmitter circuit via the inverter, there is $\sigma=-1$, and its equivalent circuits are depicted in Fig. 2(b).

Stage $1\left[5 T_{k e y} / 2,3 T_{k e y}\right]$ : The involved frequency is modulated at $f_{\text {key }}$. The switches $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ are turned on while $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ are off so that the negative half-cycle resonance of $L_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $C_{\mathrm{t}}$ occurs as shown in Fig. 3. Both the corresponding analysis and expressions are the same as Stage 1 in Mode 1.

Stage $2\left[T_{k e y} / 2,2 T_{\text {key }}\right]$ : The involved frequency is modulated at $f_{\text {key }} / 3$, and all the states of switches $\mathrm{S}_{1}-\mathrm{S}_{4}$ keep constant so that both the positive and negative half-cycle resonances of $L_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $C_{\mathrm{t}}$ occur as shown in Fig. 3. Both the corresponding analysis and expressions are the same as Stage 2 in Mode 1.

Under the PSC-WPT, wireless power control is realized by regulating the duty ratio of directly or inversely conducting the DC power source for energizing the transmitter during each resonant half-cycle, but inevitably causing the high-frequency hard-switching losses and reducing the system efficiency. Contrastively, it can be observed from Fig. 3 that all the switches could be turned on or off exactly at or slightly before the zero-crossing points of the transmitter current $i_{\mathrm{t}}$. It guarantees the soft-switching operation [24] withstanding various potential displacement deviations. This proposed PFM mechanism will effectively suppress the switching frequency and switching losses while retaining full-range soft-switching operation, thus improving the system efficiency during wireless power adjustment.


Fig. 3. Theoretical waveforms of proposed soft-switching PFM-WPT.


Fig. 4. Equivalent circuits. (a) Exemplified SS topology in the complex frequency domain. (b) Multi-topological compensation networks.

During each reduced-frequency switching point modulated at $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)(n>1)$, there is a medium oscillation amplitude of the transmitter resonant voltage calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{C_{I} \text { medium }}=E-\left[E-u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right)\right] e^{-n_{m, w} T_{\text {Tece }} / 2}(-1)^{n_{\mathrm{mw}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{\mathrm{sw}}=2 n \pm 1$, and $u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right)=-\left|u_{C_{-} \text {medium }}\right|$ when the modulated input voltage $u_{\text {in }}$ has a symmetrical center in a whole PFM period. The fluctuations of transmitter resonant current and voltage can be calculated as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta i_{\mathrm{t}_{-} \max }=\frac{\left[E-u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right)\right]}{\omega_{\text {key }} L_{\mathrm{t}}}\left(e^{-\alpha T_{\mathrm{key}} / 4}-e^{-\left(2 n_{\mathrm{sw}}-1\right) \alpha T_{\mathrm{kcy}} / 4}\right)  \tag{4}\\
\Delta u_{C_{t_{\mathrm{I}} \max }}=\left[E-u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right)\right]\left(e^{-\alpha T_{\mathrm{kcy}} / 2}-e^{-\left(n_{\mathrm{sw}}-1 / 2\right) \alpha T_{\mathrm{kcy}}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

According to (4), although the exponential decays may not be negligible in a whole PFM period, the proposed soft-switching PFM technology can still keep a good tolerance to such exponential decays to implement the MRC-based WPT.

## C. Steady-State Analysis

The inverter square-wave output, which presents an odd function characteristic, can be expressed in Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\text {in_PSC }}(t)=\frac{4 E}{n_{\mathrm{F}} \pi} \cdot \sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty} \sin \left(n_{\mathrm{F}} \pi / 2\right) \sin \left(n_{\mathrm{F}} D \pi\right) \sin \left(n_{\mathrm{F}} \omega_{\text {key }} t\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the duty ratio - a control objective of the PSC. It contains only the fundamental and the odd-order $\left(2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1\right)$ th harmonics, whereas all the even-order $2 n_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{th}$ harmonics and the subharmonics are zero. In the proposed PFM, the equivalent root-mean-square (RMS) value $U_{\text {in_PFM }}$ of $u_{\text {in }}$ can be derived as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\text {in } \_ \text {PFM }}=\frac{2 \sqrt{2} E}{\pi\left[(2 n-1) \delta_{\mathrm{d}}+(2 n+1)\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right)\right]}=\delta U_{\mathrm{in}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the duty ratio $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}$ is a ratio of the number $N_{1}$ of the involved high-frequency switching half-cycles to the number $\left(N_{1}+N_{2}\right)$ of the total modulated switching half-cycles as shown in Fig. 3; $\delta$ is a proportion factor; and $U_{\text {in }}=2 \sqrt{2} E / \pi$. As a control objective of the PFM, the proportion factor $\delta$ can be flexibly controlled by regulating the duty ratio $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}$, thus achieving wireless energy controllability.

By applying the steady-state first harmonic analysis, the necessary expressions of the proposed PFM-WPT are listed in Table I, where $\cos \varphi$ is the power factor. Accordingly, the general equation can be expressed as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
Z_{\mathrm{t}} & Z_{\mathrm{rr}} \lambda_{1} & \cdots & Z_{\mathrm{tr} m} \lambda_{m} & \cdots & Z_{\mathrm{tr} n} \lambda_{n}  \tag{7}\\
Z_{\mathrm{tr} 1} & \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{r} 1} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
Z_{\mathrm{tr} m} & 0 & \cdots & Z_{\mathrm{r} n} & \vdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
Z_{\mathrm{tr} n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & Z_{\mathrm{r} n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
I_{\mathrm{t}} \\
I_{\mathrm{r} 1} \\
\vdots \\
I_{\mathrm{r} n} \\
\vdots \\
I_{\mathrm{r} n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\delta U_{\mathrm{in}} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $Z_{\mathrm{tt} k}=j \omega_{\mathrm{key}} M_{\mathrm{tr} k} ; Z_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $Z_{\mathrm{rk}}$ are listed in Table I. From (7), it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta U_{\mathrm{in}}=Z_{\mathrm{t}} I_{\mathrm{t}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k} Z_{\mathrm{tr} k} I_{\mathrm{r} k}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{t} k k} I_{\mathrm{t}}+Z_{\mathrm{r} k} I_{\mathrm{r} k}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the receiver current can be derived as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{r} k}=-Z_{\mathrm{tr} k} I_{\mathrm{t}} / Z_{\mathrm{r} k}=-j \omega_{\mathrm{key}} M_{\mathrm{tr} k} I_{\mathrm{t}} / Z_{\mathrm{r} k} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8) and (10), the input impedance can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\mathrm{in}} & =\delta U_{\mathrm{in}} / I_{\mathrm{t}}=Z_{\mathrm{t}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(-\lambda_{k} Z_{\mathrm{tt} k}^{2} / Z_{\mathrm{rk}}\right) \\
& =Z_{\mathrm{t}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\lambda_{k}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{key}} M_{\mathrm{tr} k}\right)^{2} / Z_{\mathrm{r} k}\right]=Z_{\mathrm{t}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k} Z_{\mathrm{refk} k}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The reflected impedances of $Z_{\text {ref } k}$ and $Z_{\text {reff }}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{\text {refk }}=\left(\omega_{\text {key }} M_{\text {trk }}\right)^{2} / Z_{\mathrm{r} k}  \tag{12}\\
Z_{\text {refm } m}=\left(\omega_{\text {key }} M_{\text {tr } m}\right)^{2} /\left(R_{\mathrm{L} m \_\mathrm{eq}}+R_{\mathrm{r} m}\right) \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, the transmission efficiency can be calculated as (14), where $\mathfrak{R}(\cdot)$ and $\mathfrak{J}(\cdot)$ denote the real part and the imaginary part, respectively.

TABLE I
Steady-State Analysis of Proposed PFM-WPT System

| Parameters | Expressions of proposed PFM-WPT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Transmitter impedance $Z_{\text {t }}$ | $Z_{\mathrm{t}}=R_{\mathrm{t}}+j \omega_{\text {key }} L_{\mathrm{t}}+1 /\left(j \omega_{\text {key }} C_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ |
| Receiver impedance $Z_{\text {rk }}$ | $Z_{\mathrm{rk}}=R_{\mathrm{L} k \text { eq }}+R_{\mathrm{r} k}+j \omega_{\text {key }} L_{\mathrm{r} k}+1 /\left(j \omega_{\text {key }} C_{\mathrm{r} k}\right)$ |
| Reflected impedance $Z_{\text {refk }}$ | $Z_{\text {refk }}=\frac{\left(\omega_{\text {key }} M_{\text {tr } k}\right)^{2}}{Z_{\mathrm{r} k}}, Z_{\mathrm{ref} m}=\frac{\left(\omega_{\text {key }} M_{\mathrm{tr} m}\right)^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{L} m \mathrm{eq}}+R_{\mathrm{r} m}}$ |
| Input impedance $Z_{\text {in }}$ | $Z_{\text {in }}=Z_{\mathrm{t}}+\sum_{k=1, k \neq m}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k} Z_{\text {refk }}\right)+\lambda_{m} \frac{\left(\omega_{\text {key }} M_{\mathrm{tr} m}\right)^{2}}{R_{\mathrm{L} \eta_{-} \mathrm{eq}}+R_{\mathrm{r} m}}$ |
| Transmitter and receiver currents $I_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{r} k}$ | $I_{\mathrm{t}}=\delta U_{\text {in }} / Z_{\text {in }}, I_{\text {rk }}=\left(-j \omega_{\text {key }} M_{\text {trk }} I_{\mathrm{t}}\right) / Z_{\text {rk }}$ |
| Transmitter voltage $U_{C t}$ | $U_{C t}=I_{\mathrm{t}} /\left(j \omega_{\text {key }} C_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\delta U_{\text {in }} /\left(j \omega_{\text {key }} C_{\mathrm{t}} Z_{\text {in }}\right)$ |
| Receiver voltage $U_{\text {Crk }}$ | $U_{C r k}=\frac{-M_{\mathrm{tr} k} I_{\mathrm{t}}}{C_{\mathrm{r} k} Z_{\mathrm{r} k}}, U_{C r m}=\frac{-M_{\mathrm{tr} m} I_{\mathrm{t}}}{C_{\mathrm{r} m}\left(R_{\mathrm{L} m \mathrm{eq}}+R_{\mathrm{rm}}\right)}$ |
| Input and output powers $P_{\text {in }}$ and $P_{\text {out }}$ | $P_{\text {in }}=\left(\delta U_{\text {in }}\right)^{2} \cos \varphi / /\left\|Z_{\text {in }}\right\|, P_{\text {outk }}=\left\|I_{\text {rk }}\right\|^{2} R_{\text {Lk-eq }}$ |
| Transmission efficiency $\eta_{k}$ | $\eta_{k}=\frac{\lambda_{k} R_{\mathrm{L} k_{\mathrm{eq}}}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{key}} M_{\mathrm{tr} k}\right)^{2}}{\left\|Z_{\mathrm{rk} k}\right\|^{2}\left(\Re\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} Z_{\mathrm{ref} k}\right)+R_{\mathrm{t}}\right)}$ |

In the multi-objective PFM-WPT, both the primary and selected secondary circuits operate at the same resonant angular frequency as given by $\omega_{\text {key }}=1 / \sqrt{L_{\mathrm{t}} C_{\mathrm{t}}}=1 / \sqrt{L_{\mathrm{r} m} C_{\mathrm{r} m}}$, which is an odd multiple of the modulated reduced angular frequency $\omega_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)$. Also, the transient and steady-state analyses can be interrelated by $\left|u_{C_{t}}\left(0_{-}\right)\right|=\sqrt{2} U_{C t}=\sqrt{2} I_{\mathrm{t}} /\left(\omega_{\text {key }} C_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ when the modulated input voltage exists a symmetrical center in a whole PFM period.

## III. 2-D FREQUENCY and DURATION ENCRyption

Essentially as a specific selective WPT, energy encryption mainly relies on the frequency sensitivity of MRC-based WPT systems. The 2-D chaotic energy encryption scheme is depicted in Fig. 5, where the energy-encrypted transmitter generates a 2-D chaotic sequence - the security keys ( $f_{\text {key }}, t_{\text {key }}$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{k}=\frac{P_{\text {out } k}}{P_{\text {in }}}=\frac{\lambda_{k}\left|I_{\mathrm{r} k}\right|^{2} R_{\mathrm{L} k \_ \text {eq }}}{\delta U_{\text {in }}\left|I_{\mathrm{t}}\right| \cdot \mathfrak{R}\left(Z_{\text {in }}\right) /\left|Z_{\text {in }}\right|}=\frac{\lambda_{k} R_{\mathrm{L} k_{-} \text {eq }}\left|-j \omega_{\text {key }} M_{\text {tr } k} I_{\mathrm{t}} / Z_{\mathrm{r} k}\right|^{2}}{\left|I_{\mathrm{t}} Z_{\text {in }}\right| \cdot\left|I_{\mathrm{t}}\right| \cdot \mathfrak{R}\left(Z_{\text {in }}\right) /\left|Z_{\text {in }}\right|}=\frac{\lambda_{k} R_{\mathrm{L} k-\mathrm{eq}}\left(\omega_{\text {key }} M_{\text {tr } k}\right)^{2}}{\mathfrak{R}\left(Z_{\text {in }}\right)\left|Z_{\mathrm{r} k}\right|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{k} R_{\mathrm{L} k \_ \text {eq }}\left(\omega_{\text {key }} M_{\text {trk }}\right)^{2}}{\left|Z_{\mathrm{r} k}\right|^{2} \mathfrak{R}\left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} Z_{\text {refk }}\right)+j \cdot \mathfrak{J}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} Z_{\text {refk }}\right)+R_{\mathrm{t}}+j \omega_{\text {key }} L_{\mathrm{t}}+\frac{1}{j \omega_{\text {key }} C_{\mathrm{t}}}\right]}=\frac{\lambda_{k} R_{\mathrm{L} k-\mathrm{eq}}\left(\omega_{\text {key }} M_{\text {trk }}\right)^{2}}{\left|Z_{\mathrm{r} k}\right|^{2}\left[\mathfrak{R}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} Z_{\text {refk }}\right)+R_{\mathrm{t}}\right]} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{\text {key }}$ is the active duration of each encrypted $f_{\text {key }}$. The principle of the MEBT is based on the actual system efficiency from the transmitter to the selected receivers. Once the energy theft or leakage happens due to unselected receivers, the system efficiency will be significantly reduced. Hence, the energy theft and the unsecure operating frequency will be both readily identified. Consequently, the MEBT will detour this unsecure frequency and dynamically reconstruct a new optimal modulated frequency band to prevent the wireless energy leakage, hence maximizing the transmission efficiency. As depicted in Fig. 5, the security keys are delivered by data interaction based on wireless communication, such as Bluetooth communication, which is independent of the WPT. Considering the risks of pilfering wireless data and energy by illegal receivers, the out-of-band digital information interaction is preferred for the identification and authentication of legal receivers. With knowledge of the security keys via wireless communication, both the transmitter and authorized receivers will proactively and simultaneously attune their resonant frequencies to the 2-D chaotic security keys for dynamical energy encryption and decryption, respectively. By this way, only the authorized receiver can successfully decrypt and pick up the encoded energy packages from the chaotic PFM-WPT system. Due to the lack of security keys, the unauthorized ones can steal only an insignificant level of wireless energy.


Fig. 5. 2-D chaotic FDE scheme.
The 2-D FDE algorithm plays an important role in ensuring wireless energy security. In Fig. 1(b), the Hénon map [25] is used to generate a discrete-time chaotic series as given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{f_{-} i+1}=\xi_{t_{-} i}+1-A_{f} \xi_{f_{-} i}^{2}  \tag{15}\\
\xi_{t_{-} i+1}=A_{t} \xi_{f_{-} i}
\end{array}, A_{f} \in[1.0,1.5], A_{t}=0.3\right.
$$

where $\xi_{f_{-} i}$ and $\xi_{t_{-} i}$ denote the chaotic sequences of the encrypted frequency and its duration, respectively, and $A_{f}$ and $A_{t}$ are the bifurcation parameters. Subsequently, the discrete 2D chaotic FDE sequences are generated as $\left(\gamma_{i} \omega_{0}, \beta_{i} t_{0}\right)$, where $\gamma_{i}=a_{\gamma}+b_{\gamma} \xi_{f}$ and $\beta_{i}=a_{\beta}+b_{\beta} \xi_{t}$. Generally, $\omega_{0}$ and $t_{0}$ can be designed based on the power level, transfer distance and security requirements. Accordingly, both the transmitter and authorized receiver adjust their matched capacitors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{x}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{q=i}\left(a_{\beta}+b_{\beta} \xi_{t_{-} i}\right) t_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(a_{\gamma}+b_{\gamma} \xi_{f_{-} i}\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{\omega_{0}^{2} L_{x}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $x$ of $C_{x}$ and $L_{x}$ is t or rm denoting the transmitter or authorized receiver. Besides, $0<1.5 b_{\gamma}<a_{\gamma}$, $0<0.4 b_{\beta}<a_{\beta}$, and $A_{f}=1.4$ is selected [25] to produce the desired random-like but bounded security series $\left(\xi_{f}, \xi_{t}\right)$. Consequently, the frequency and its duration can be chaotically modulated and thus encrypted, and the encoded energy package can be decoded and picked up only by the authorized receiver.

Considering the wireless energy security, the regulating range of the matched capacitor requires to reach from the minimum capacitance to around four or nine multiples larger. Correspondingly, its frequency variation range can reach from the lowest frequency to two or three times higher, such as varying from 75 kHz to 150 kHz or 225 kHz . For adjusting the matched capacitor in real time, the scheme of switchedcapacitor arrays which comprise multiple switches and capacitors is adopted in the proposed PFM-WPT system. It is an effective way to dynamically adjust the matched capacitor according to the 2-D chaotic security keys. A larger adjustment range of capacitors will contribute to a wider range of frequency variation, which can be achieved by increasing the number of switched-capacitors. Such a wider frequency variation range will promisingly improve the energy security performance with more selections of modulated frequencies.

## IV. Soft-Switching Pulse Frequency Modulation

## A. Oscillation Frequency Invariance

In the selective WPT, the system operates at the resonant frequency of the $m$ th selected receivers. According to Table I, there are $Z_{\mathrm{r} k} \gg Z_{\mathrm{r} m}$ and $Z_{\text {ref } k} \ll Z_{\text {refm } m}(k \neq m)$, where $Z_{\text {refk }}$ can be regarded as zero. Hence, the system oscillation frequency is dominantly determined by the selected receivers rather than the unselected ones. Generally, the multi-objective WPT system operates within a loosely coupling regime. By substituting the actual parameters, the deviation coefficient can be calculated as $\left(\alpha / \omega_{\text {key }}\right)^{2}=4.972 \times 10^{-3} \simeq 0 \ll 1$. Furthermore, this coefficient will be further reduced with a weaker magnetic coupling due to misalignment or longer transfer
distance. The frequency deviation factor is $\sqrt{1-\left(\alpha / \omega_{\text {key }}\right)^{2}}=$ $0.9975 \simeq 1$. The system resonant frequency of the WPT input impedance can be revealed by the resonant angular frequency in the transmitter side. Thus, the actual transmitter's resonant angular frequency can be further derived as $\omega_{\mathrm{t}}=\omega_{\text {key }} \sqrt{1-\left(\alpha / \omega_{\text {key }}\right)^{2}} \simeq \omega_{\text {key }}$.

The system oscillation frequency invariance is proved in detail in Appendix A. It indicates the oscillation frequency can keep constant in the proposed PFM-WPT system even variably modulated at the reduced switching frequencies. As a result, this oscillation frequency invariance successfully contributes to the proposed full-range soft-switching PFMWPT operation regularly or chaotically modulated between two optimal switching frequencies of $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)$.

## B. Full-Range Soft-Switching PFM

Two alternative control methods of the proposed PFM and the PSC are illustrated in Fig. 6, in which the switching frequency is effectively reduced by applying the proposed PFM while it retains constant by using the PSC. In Fig. 6(b), $\theta_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{s}}$ are the angles of the dead zone and shifted phase, respectively. During the shifted phase of the PSC, it can theoretically fall into three transition stages $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$, where stages $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}$ are the dead-zone stages while stage $\boldsymbol{b}$ is the freewheeling stage, and the deterioration of high-frequency soft-switching will induce more switching losses.


Fig. 6. Alternative control methods. (a) Gate signals of conventional PSC (top) and proposed PFM (bottom). (b) Principle of the PSC.

TABLE II
Soft-SwITCHING PFM EXAMPLES

| $\delta_{\text {d }}$ | $\delta$ | $T_{\text {PFM }}$ | Modulated input voltage $u_{\text {in }}$ and current $i_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $I_{\text {target }}$ <br> (pu) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline P_{\text {target }} \\ & (p u) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $T_{\text {key }}$ |  | 1 | 1 |
| 1/2 | 1/2 | $2 T_{\text {key }}$ |  | 1/2 | 1/4 |
| 1/3 | 3/7 | $7 T_{\text {key }}$ |  | 3/7 | 9/49 |
| 2/3 | 3/5 | $5 T_{\text {key }}$ |  | 3/5 | 9/25 |
| 1/4 | 2/5 | $5 T_{\text {key }}$ |  | $2 / 5$ | 4/25 |
| 3/4 | 2/3 | $3 T_{\text {key }}$ |  | 2/3 | 4/9 |

In the full range of wireless power adjustment, the transmitter resonant current $i_{\mathrm{t}}$ can be successfully energized by the frequency-modulated input voltage $u_{\text {in }}$ under the proposed PFM as shown in Fig. 3. Thus, the ZVS is reliably ensured by slight frequency or compensation deviation for producing a tiny reactance. The soft-switching PFM can effectively reduce the switching frequency and power losses, hence improving the system efficiency. Moreover, it is also promising and practically attractive for various WPT applications involving full-bridge or half-bridge inverters constructed by siliconcarbon (SiC) MOSFETs or silicon insulated gate bipolar translators. The proposed PFM is implemented by selecting two optimal fundamental or subharmonic frequencies of $f_{2 n \pm 1}=f_{\mathrm{key}} /(2 n \pm 1)$ and then regularly modulating between them. Nevertheless, the WPT frequency retains constant at $f_{\text {key }}$. The PFM-WPT system can generate two optimal receiver current vectors of $I_{2 n \pm 1}=I_{\text {rated }} /(2 n \pm 1)$ and thus receiver power vectors of $P_{2 n \pm 1}=P_{\text {rated }} /(2 n \pm 1)^{2}$, which can be modulated to composite arbitrary targeted vectors of output current or power.

The proposed soft-switching PFM can be competent for two control modes of wireless power $P_{\text {target }}$ and wireless current $I_{\text {target. }}$. Both control modes are interrelated by

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\text {target }}=\delta^{2} P_{\text {rated }}=R_{\text {Ln_eq }} I_{\text {target }}^{2}  \tag{17}\\
I_{\text {target }}=\delta I_{\text {rated }}=\frac{I_{\text {rated }}}{(2 n-1) \delta_{\mathrm{d}}+(2 n+1)\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right)}, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $P_{\text {rated }}$ and $I_{\text {rated }}$ are the rated wireless output power and receiver current when modulating at the fixed $f_{\text {key }}$. According to Fig. 6(a), the duty ratio $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}$ in the PFM can be predicted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{N_{1}}{N_{1}+N_{2}}=\frac{(2 n+1)-1 / \delta}{2},\left(N_{1}, N_{2} \in \mathbb{N}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are the minimum half-cycle numbers of two optimal switching periods of $T_{2 n \pm 1}$, corresponding to two modulated frequencies of $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)$. Also, $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ should be minimized to effectively suppress the modulated input fluctuations. Some soft-switching PFM examples are listed in Table II for illustration, where $n=1 ; T_{\text {PFM }}$ is the minimum PFM period and can be expressed as $T_{\text {PFM }}=\left(N_{1} T_{2 n-1}+N_{2} T_{2 n+1}\right) / 2$. The relevant results are derived in Appendix A. When the modulated input voltage has a symmetrical center, $N_{1}$ is an odd number while $N_{2}$ is an even number. The PSC and proposed PFM can use the control objectives of duty ratio $D$ and proportion factor $\delta$ to realize wireless energy controllability, respectively, and they are interrelated by $\delta=\sin (D \pi)$ for outputting the same power.

## C. Output Fluctuation Assessment

To quantitatively assess the output fluctuations, a transfer function of the secondary equivalent circuit in Fig. 4(a) is established as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mathrm{r} k}(s)=\frac{i_{\mathrm{r} k}(s)}{E_{\mathrm{M} k}(s)}=\frac{C_{\mathrm{r} k} s}{L_{\mathrm{r} k} C_{\mathrm{r} k} s^{2}+\left(R_{\mathrm{r} k}+R_{\mathrm{L} k}\right) C_{\mathrm{r} k} s+1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its steady-state gain can be derived as $K_{\mathrm{G} k}=0$ in the step response. According to (20), both the bode plots and step responses are elaborated in detail to evaluate the PFM-WPT output fluctuations at various conditions of loads and
operating frequencies in Fig. 7. Both the low magnitudes in the bode plots and low amplitudes in the step responses can well verify the low steady-state and transient gains at the desired resonant frequency and thus insignificant output fluctuations. In Fig. 7(a), the low steady-state gains and transient output fluctuations can be further suppressed with the increasing loads at a fixed resonant frequency. With a fixed load, Fig. 7(b) indicates that the low steady-state gains may keep constant versus various operating frequencies, while the transient output fluctuations will become lower with the increasing operating frequencies. Thus, the larger load and the higher operating frequency will contribute to more insignificant output fluctuations. By modulating between two optimal frequencies and minimizing $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, the proposed PFM-WPT system can be effectively optimized to lower input fluctuations which will further suppress output fluctuations.


Fig. 7. Receiver circuit characteristics. (a) Bode plots and step responses versus loads. (b) Bode plots and step responses versus operating frequencies.

## D. Controllability, Selectivity and Security

To illustrate wireless energy controllability of the proposed soft-switching PFM, Fig. 8 shows the normalized output powers of $P_{\text {PFM }}$ and $P_{\text {PSC }}$ versus control objectives of $\delta$ $(0<\delta \leq 1)$ in the proposed PFM and $D(0<D \leq 50 \%)$ in the PSC, respectively. In Fig. 8, the base value of the switching frequency is the selected frequency of 115.0 kHz for selective WPT, and that of the output power is 257.61 W . The two wireless output powers both exhibit a monotonously increase with the increasing control objectives in the two above control methods. Fig. 8 also demonstrates that the normalized average switching frequency $f_{\text {PFM }}$ of the PFM will monotonously reduce with the decreasing output power, much lower than the constant high switching frequency $f_{\text {PSC }}$ of the PSC. It indicates that the proposed PFM can promisingly suppress the switching losses and thus improve the system efficiency. Under the PFM, Fig. 9 shows the trends of normalized output power versus the duty ratio with different $n$. The normalized power has the same base value of 257.61 W . The wireless power adjustment is continuous. In most scenarios, $n=1$ will satisfy
the general requirements of power control. Nevertheless, a larger $n$ can be chosen for controlling the lower power, and thus lower modulated frequencies of $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)$ will be involved. The average switching frequency will become lower as indicated in Fig. 8. The system efficiency can be readily improved due to the full-range low-frequency soft-switching operation.


Fig. 8. Normalized average switching frequency and wireless power controllability under proposed soft-switching PFM and conventional PSC.


Fig. 9. Normalized output power versus duty ratio with different $n$ under the PFM.

(a)

(b)

Fig. 10. Wireless power characteristics of proposed PFM-WPT system. (a) Selectivity. (b) Security.

The wireless energy selectivity and security are demonstrated in Figs. 10(a) and 10(b), respectively, where the receiver $m$ is selected or authorized to harvest the PFM wireless energy. In Figs. 10(a) and 10(b), their base values are 257.61 W and 604.27 W, respectively. Fig. 10(a) shows the normalized output powers of three receivers with respect to different loads and operating frequencies. By modulating $f_{\text {key }}$ at the $m$ th receiver's frequency, only the receiver $m$ succeeds to pick up large wireless power, while the unselected receivers $l$ and $n$ can harvest only insignificant wireless power. The wireless energy security mainly relies on this selectivity characteristic with a chaotically encrypted PFM-WPT operation. In Fig. 10(b), the authorized receiver $m$ can always successfully decrypt and harvest the encoded wireless energy packages, while the unauthorized ones fail to pick up energy due to the lack of security keys, which guarantees the wireless energy security in the chaotic PFM-WPT system.

## E. Power Loss Discussion

Although the switching frequency is reduced by using the PFM, the PFM-WPT still resonates at the same innate frequency as the PSC-WPT. The same resonant parameters of both inductors and matched capacitors are used in the above two schemes. Generally, their parameter values and power losses may both affect the sizes of inductors and capacitors. Assuming that only the $m$ th receivers are selected to harvest the wireless energy, the power losses of unselected receiver circuits are not considered during power loss calculation.

In the PFM-WPT, the input fluctuations and the total harmonic distortions (THDs) may not be neglected in the transmitter circuit, while they are insignificant in the receiver one. The transmitter inductor and capacitor losses should account for all the fundamental and harmonic ( $n_{\mathrm{F}}$ th) components, while the receiver ones need not to do so. Although the harmonic equivalent internal resistances may differ from the fundamental one, such differences are insignificant for the relatively low harmonic amplitudes. The transmitter inductor loss $P_{L t}$ and the receiver one $P_{L r}$ can be respectively calculated as

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{L t}=\sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty}\left(I_{\mathrm{t}, n_{\mathrm{F}}}^{2} R_{\mathrm{t}}\right)  \tag{21}\\
P_{L r}=\sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k} I_{\mathrm{r} k, n_{\mathrm{F}}}^{2} R_{\mathrm{r} k}\right)\right) \simeq \lambda_{m} I_{\mathrm{r} m, 1}^{2} R_{\mathrm{r} m} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

where denotations with the subscript $n_{\mathrm{F}}$ denote the $n_{\mathrm{F}}$ th harmonic components. For example, $I_{\mathrm{r} k, n_{\mathrm{F}}}$ is the $n_{\mathrm{F}}$ th harmonic current of the $k$ th receiver.

Due to better AC performance, the polyester film capacitors are chosen. Instead of the equivalent series resistances $R_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{ESR}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{rk}-\mathrm{ESR}}$, the manufacturers usually provide their dissipation factors of $\tan \delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\tan \delta_{\mathrm{r} k}$ for the transmitter and $k$ th receiver capacitors, respectively. Considering the harmonic losses, the transmitter capacitor loss $P_{C t}$ and the receiver one $P_{C r}$ can be respectively expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{C t}=\sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty}\left(U_{C t, n_{\mathrm{F}}} I_{\mathrm{t}, n_{\mathrm{F}}} \tan \delta_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty}\left(I_{\mathrm{t}, n_{\mathrm{F}}}^{2} R_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{ESR}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{C r} & =\sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{k} U_{C r k, n_{\mathrm{F}}} I_{\mathrm{rk}, n_{\mathrm{F}}} \tan \delta_{\mathrm{rk}}\right)\right) \\
& \simeq \lambda_{m} U_{C r m, 1} I_{\mathrm{r} m, 1} \tan \delta_{\mathrm{r} m}=\lambda_{m} I_{\mathrm{r} m, 1}^{2} R_{\mathrm{r} m \_\mathrm{ESR}} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the PSC-WPT is dominated by the fundamental component, all the power losses on inductors and capacitors only account for their fundamental losses [17]. As a result, the low harmonics will cause slightly higher losses on the transmitter inductor and capacitor in the PFM-WPT. Nevertheless, the fundamental losses still dominate the total inductor and capacitor losses. Considering the insignificant output fluctuations, the losses on the receiver inductor and capacitor keep approximately constant in the above two schemes. With only a slight increase in power losses, the sizes of both inductors and capacitors are nearly unaffected by using the proposed PFM technique.

The GaN switch has no intrinsic body diode. Within the dead zone, however, it can be modeled as a "body diode" with slightly higher forward voltage and no reverse recovery charge. It will lead to insignificant reverse conduction loss. The switch loss $P_{S}$ comprises the switch conduction loss $P_{\text {con }}$, switching loss $P_{\text {sw }}$ and diode loss $P_{\text {diode }}$. Under the PSC, the loss $P_{S}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{S}=P_{\mathrm{con}}+P_{\mathrm{sw}}+P_{\text {diode }}=2 I_{\mathrm{t}, 1}^{2} R_{\mathrm{DS}}+4 f_{\mathrm{key}}\left(E_{\mathrm{on}}+E_{\text {off }}\right)+P_{\text {diode }} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\mathrm{DS}}$ is the on-resistance; $E_{\text {on }}$ or $E_{\text {off }}$ is the switching energy during turn-on or turn-off. The main part of power loss on switches is due to the high-frequency hard switching.

For full-range soft-switching, only the conduction loss is considered [17] under the PFM. Thus, the loss $P_{S}$ can be accordingly rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{S}=P_{\text {con }}+P_{\text {diode }}=2 \sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty}\left(I_{\mathrm{t}, n_{\mathrm{F}}}^{2} R_{\mathrm{DS}}\right)+P_{\text {diode }} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, the power loss on driving circuits is quite low as the PFM has a lower switching frequency than the PSC.

Meanwhile, the switching loss of SiC diodes is not considered. The diode bridge loss is simply the conduction loss caused by the diode forward voltage $V_{\mathrm{F}}$ as given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{D}=\sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(2 \lambda_{k} V_{\mathrm{F}} I_{\mathrm{r} k, n_{\mathrm{F}}}\right)\right) \simeq 2 \lambda_{m} V_{\mathrm{F}} I_{\mathrm{r} k, 1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the PSC will lead to more switching loss as compared with the PFM. Such high-frequency hard-switching loss caused by the PSC will dominantly increase the overall system loss $P_{\text {total }}$. Thus, the overall loss using the PSC will become much larger than that using the PFM, thus deteriorating the system performance.

## V. Results and Verifications

To illustrate the feasibility of the proposed soft-switching PFM-WPT system, both computational simulation and practical experimentation are performed. The design specifications and parameters are listed in Table III. The GaN inverter consists of two half bridges - GSP65R13HB, which are populated with GaN enhancement-mode high-electronmobility transistors (E-HEMTs) of GS66516B. The specification of Litz wire is $250 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$.

TABLE III
DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS AND PARAMETERS

| DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS AND PARAMETERS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Items | Value |
| Digital signal processer | TMS320F28335 |
| GaN E-HEMT | GS66516B |
| Transmitter capacitance $\left(C_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | $2.1023 \sim 6.9063 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| Transmitter coil inductance $\left(L_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | $573.08 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |
| Transmitter coil turns $\left(n_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | 24 |
| Transmitter coil internal resistance $\left(R_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | $0.29 \Omega$ |
| Receiver capacitances $\left(C_{\mathrm{r} l}, C_{\mathrm{r} n}\right)$ | $3.4504,11.450 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| Receiver capacitance $\left(C_{\mathrm{r} m}\right)$ | $3.8987 \sim 7.8729 \mathrm{nF}$ |
| Receiver coil inductances $\left(L_{\mathrm{r} l}, L_{\mathrm{r} m}, L_{\mathrm{r} n}\right)$ | $349.17,356.50,345.67 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |
| Receiver coil turns $\left(n_{\mathrm{rk}}\right)$ | $30(3$ layers $)$ |
| Receiver coil internal resistance $\left(R_{\mathrm{rk}}\right)$ | $0.18 \Omega$ |
| Mutual inductances $\left(M_{\mathrm{tr} l}, M_{\mathrm{tr} m}, M_{\mathrm{tr} n}\right)$ | $28.55,33.38,27.42 \mu \mathrm{H}$ |
| Load resistance $\left(R_{\mathrm{L} k}\right)$ | $10 \Omega$ |

## A. Simulation Results

Detailed geometries with dimensions of the transmitter and receiver coils are depicted in Fig. 11, where each receiver can be separately assigned to harvest wireless energy, and the transfer distance is 135 mm . A multi-layer configuration is adopted in the receiver coils for enhancing the magnetic coupling and improving the system efficiency. By using the finite element analysis (FEA), the proposed PFM-WPT system is simulated based on the WPT coil geometries in Fig. 11. The FEA results of the normalized mutual inductances versus different transfer distances are plotted in Fig. 12. The multilayer configuration can effectively enhance the mutual inductances for both the central and lateral receiver coils as compared with the single-layer counterparts.


Fig. 11. Geometries of transmitter and receiver coils. (a) Transmitter coil. (b) Receiver coil. (c) Displacement among transmitter and receiver coils.


Fig. 12. Normalized mutual inductances versus transfer distance.

The FEA results of magnetic field distributions are shown in Fig. 13, where the magnetic flux pipe can be effectively built up in the proposed PFM-WPT system. As shown in Fig. 13(a), the vast majority of magnetic flux lines are bundled up through the central selected or authorized receiver, and only insignificant ones are dispersed. Also, the central selected or authorized receiver induces much higher current densities than the others do, thus harvesting nearly all the wireless energy. Along the vertical plane, the contour plots of the magnetic flux densities in Fig. 13(b) show that the magnetic fields around the central selected or authorized receiver are far stronger than those around the others. Along the parallel plan, Fig. 13(c) shows that the 3-D saddle-shaped magnetic flux densities under the central selected or authorized receiver can reach 2.401 mT , much larger than those of 0.2045 mT and 0.3146 mT under the other receivers. The magnetic field distributions indicate that only the selected or authorized receiver can pick up much larger wireless energy, while the unselected or unauthorized receivers can steal only insignificant wireless energy. Thus, the proposed PFM-WPT system exhibits good wireless energy selectivity and security.


Fig. 13. Magnetic field distributions. (a) Flux lines and current densities. (b) Flux densities along the vertical plane. (c) 3-D flux densities along the parallel plane.

Fig. 14(a) shows the THDs of the authorized receiver current under the PSC and the PFM. Although the use of PFM slightly increases the THD as compared with the use of PSC, the corresponding THD can be always suppressed below $2.29 \%$ for full-range low-frequency soft-switching, thus
causing insignificant output fluctuations. Fig. 14(b) shows the comparison of various power losses with the same proportion factor in both the PFM and the PSC. Due to the harmonic losses, using the PFM causes slightly higher losses on the inductors, capacitors and diode rectifier than using the PSC. Nevertheless, the reduction of switching loss of the PFM will outweigh the harmonic losses so that the overall power loss can be reduced while achieving the full-range soft-switching.


Fig. 14. Characteristic comparisons of the proposed PFM and the PSC. (a) THDs of authorized receiver current. (b) Power losses.

## B. Experimental Results



Fig. 15. Experimental setup.


Fig. 16. Measured waveforms of the multi-objective WPT system using proposed soft-switching PFM. (a) $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}=1 / 3, f_{\text {key }}=95.1 \mathrm{kHz}$. (b) $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}=1 / 2, f_{\text {key }}=105.5$ kHz . (c) $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}=2 / 3, f_{\mathrm{key}}=115.2 \mathrm{kHz}$. (d) $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}=3 / 4, f_{\mathrm{key}}=135.1 \mathrm{kHz}$.

To verify its feasibility of the proposed full-range softswitching PFM-WPT scheme, a prototype is built for experimental demonstration as shown in Fig. 15. By using the soft-switching PFM incorporating with different operating frequencies $f_{\text {key }}$ and duty ratios $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}$, Fig. 16 shows the measured waveforms of the input voltage $u_{\mathrm{in}}$, transmitter current $i_{\mathrm{t}}$, authorized receiver current $i_{\mathrm{r} m}$ and unauthorized one $i_{\mathrm{r} l}$. Since the modulated input voltage can energize the transmitter
current slightly before the zero-crossing points with ZVS, the proposed PFM technology can competently implement the high-efficiency WPT while maintaining full-range softswitching operation during wireless power control. Also, the authorized receiver current with an approximately constant magnitude is much larger than the unauthorized ones. These measured results well confirm the oscillation frequency invariance, the insignificant output fluctuations and the switching frequency suppression, and well agree with their theoretical analyses.


(d)

Fig. 17. Measured waveforms of proposed soft-switching PFM-WPT system. (a) Wireless energy selectivity when left lateral receiver selected. (b) Wireless energy selectivity when middle receiver selected. (c) Wireless energy security. (d) Transient energy transfer process when the security keys change.

Furthermore, the proposed full-range soft-switching PFM is applied to implement wireless energy selectivity. When the left lateral receiver is selected to harvest wireless power, Fig. 17(a) shows the measured waveforms of the modulated input voltage $u_{\mathrm{in}}$, transmitter current $i_{\mathrm{t}}$, receiver currents $i_{\mathrm{rk}}$ and output voltages $u_{\mathrm{ok}}$. The unselected receivers can harvest insignificant levels of wireless power, and generate extremely low resonant currents ( $0.248 \mathrm{~A}, 0.121 \mathrm{~A}$ ) and output voltages ( $2.23 \mathrm{~V}, 0.928 \mathrm{~V}$ ), which are far less than the $2.442-\mathrm{A}$ resonant current and $20.80-\mathrm{V}$ output voltage of the left lateral selected receiver. Fig. 17(b) shows the measured waveforms of the PFM-WPT system when the middle receiver is selected. The selected receiver can pick up the vast majority of total transmitted wireless power, and generate the resonant current of 1.989 A and output voltage of 16.83 V , much larger than the resonant currents $(0.180 \mathrm{~A}, 0.147 \mathrm{~A})$ and output voltages $(1.62 \mathrm{~V}, 1.41 \mathrm{~V})$ in the unselected ones. For wireless energy security by using the chaotic PFM, the measured waveforms of the output voltages and authorized receiver current are shown in Fig. 17(c). The security keys $\left(f_{\text {key }}, t_{\text {key }}\right)$ are both chaotically encrypted. With knowledge of the 2-D chaotic security keys, only the authorized receiver can decrypt and pick up nearly all the encoded wireless energy packages and generate the output voltage of 19.89 V , while the unauthorized ones fail to decrypt and generate insignificant output voltages of 1.39 V and 0.834 V . Only $0.66 \%$ of the total transmitted wireless power is decrypted and stolen. The varying widths of output voltage $u_{\mathrm{o} m}$ indicate that the duration ( $t_{\text {key }}$ ) of each $f_{\text {key }}$ chaotically varies as shown Fig. 17(c). When the security keys change, Fig. 17(d) shows the transient energy transfer process. The varying pulse widths of input voltage $u_{\text {in }}$ indicate that the security keys and thus the modulated frequency dynamically vary. It confirms that the use of proposed PFM technology can competently realize wireless energy selectivity and security with a full-range soft-switching state.

For simultaneously powering multiple receivers with good alignment, Fig. 18(a) shows the measured waveforms of the proposed multi-objective PFM-WPT system. The PFM technique can enable effective power transmission to the selected receivers 1 and $m$. The selected receivers can harvest almost all the wireless energy, and generate much larger resonant currents ( $1.339 \mathrm{~A}, 1.642 \mathrm{~A}$ ) and output voltages ( $11.98 \mathrm{~V}, 14.63 \mathrm{~V}$ ), while the unselected one can only generate insignificant values of 0.112 A and 0.956 V . As
shown in Fig. 18(b), when the receivers suffer from $50 \%$ misalignment, the proposed PFM-based technique can simultaneously enable effective power transmission to multiple receivers while withstanding such serious misalignment. The unselected receiver can only pick up insignificant wireless power and generate the 0.137-A resonant current and $0.985-\mathrm{V}$ output voltage, which are far less than the currents $(1.964 \mathrm{~A}, 2.395 \mathrm{~A})$ and voltages (16.95 $\mathrm{V}, 20.56 \mathrm{~V}$ ) in the selected receivers.


Fig. 18. Measured waveforms of proposed simultaneously multi-objective PFM-WPT. (a) No misalignment. (b) $50 \%$ misalignment.


Fig. 19. Measured characteristics of proposed full-range soft-switching PFMWPT system. (a) FFT result of receiver current. (b) Wireless energy controllability. (c) Overall system efficiencies when middle receiver selected. (d) Overall system efficiencies when lateral receiver selected.

Finally, Fig. 19(a) shows the analysis result of the authorized receiver current by using the fast Fourier transform (FFT). Its THD is only $2.74 \%$, which further quantitatively confirms the insignificant output fluctuations in the proposed PFM-WPT system. By applying the PSC and the proposed soft-switching PFM, Figs. 19(b), 19(c) and 19(d) show the measured output powers and overall system efficiencies with respect to their respective control objectives of $D$ and $\delta$. In Fig. 19(b), two output powers monotonically decrease with the
decreasing values of control objectives, which well agrees with the wireless energy controllability as shown in Fig. 8. In Fig. 19(c), the multi-objective WPT system using two control methods have the same system efficiency of $86.27 \%$ before activating wireless power adjustment. Once activating equal power adjustment with $\delta=\sin (D \pi)$, however, the multiobjective WPT system using the proposed full-range softswitching PFM can achieve a higher overall system efficiency, improved by up to $5.05 \%$ as compared with that using the PSC. Essentially, the main difference between the lateral and middle coils is reflected in the slightly different mutual inductances. When the lateral receiver is selected to pick up wireless power with a higher frequency $f_{\text {key }}$, the PFM can still improve the system efficiency by up to $5.68 \%$ as compared with the PSC in Fig. 19(d). Hence, the proposed full-range soft-switching PFM technology can effectively suppress the power losses and thus improve the system efficiency.

## VI. Conclusion

In this paper, a soft-switching PFM-WPT technology has been proposed and then implemented for high-efficiency wireless energy controllability, selectivity and security. In contrast to the conventional PSC, the proposed soft-switching PFM technology can guarantee full-range soft-switching operation and reduce the switching frequency during wireless power regulation, thus effectively mitigating the power and efficiency losses. It can be readily implemented in various applications using the conventional constant-frequency WPT, selective WPT and emerging energy-encrypted WPT with superior controllability, selectivity and security. The system efficiency of a multi-objective WPT prototype using the proposed soft-switching PFM can reach $86.27 \%$ at $220-\mathrm{W}$ output power and $135-\mathrm{mm}$ transfer distance. The proposed soft-switching PFM can effectively improve the system efficiency by up to $5.68 \%$ than the PSC once activating power regulation. Theoretical analysis, computer simulation and hardware experimentation are given to verify the feasibility of proposed full-range soft-switching PFM-WPT system.

## Appendix A

## A. Proof of Optimal Frequency Modulation

The PFM inverter output is essentially a piecewise function, which can be written in the form of Fourier series

$$
u_{i n}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{4 E}{\pi} \sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left[\left(2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1\right) \omega_{2 n-1} t\right]}{2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1}, & \omega_{2 n-1}=\frac{\omega_{\text {key }}}{2 n-1}  \tag{A1}\\ \frac{4 E}{\pi} \sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left[\left(2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1\right) \omega_{2 n+1} t\right]}{2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1}, & \omega_{2 n+1}=\frac{\omega_{\text {key }}}{2 n+1}\end{cases}
$$

where $\omega_{2 n-1}$ and $\omega_{2 n+1}$ are the modulated switching angular frequencies and also the fundamental angular frequencies of two piecewise outputs $u_{\text {in_PFM. }}$. It contains no $2 n_{\mathrm{F}}$ th harmonics and subharmonics. Assuming modulated at the even-number frequency dividers of $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n)$, $u_{\text {in_PFM }}$ will contain only ( $2 n_{\text {F }}$ 1)th harmonics, namely the $\left[\left(2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1\right) / 2 n\right]$ th components. Due to $\left(2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1\right) / 2 n \neq 1\left(n_{\mathrm{F}}, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)$, their angular frequencies can never equal $\omega_{\text {key }}$. The PFM will always fail to achieve
effective WPT because of the mismatched frequencies. However, modulated at odd-number frequency dividers of $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1), u_{\text {in_PFM }}$ contains the $\left(2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1\right)$ th harmonics whose angular frequencies equal $\omega_{\text {key }}$ when $\left(2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1\right) /(2 n \pm 1)=1$. Hence, two modulated piecewise outputs can both effectively energize the WPT. In the PFM-WPT, we actually utilize the fundamental and the odd-order harmonics of $u_{\text {in_PFM }}$ rather than the even-order ones. Consequently, turning on or off occurs at every odd-number half-cycle for effective WPT with soft-switching.

For verifying the optimality of $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)$, modulating at different switching frequencies will generate different output vectors of wireless power. If the targeted vector equals a specific output vector modulated at one fixed frequency, the PFM involves only one modulated frequency. Otherwise, two adjacent odd-number frequency dividers of $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)$, such as $f_{\text {key }}$ and $f_{\text {key }} / 3$, should be chosen, and the system generates two most even output vectors to composite the targeted one. It can contribute to the lowest output fluctuations. If two nonadjacent frequencies are chosen, such as $f_{\text {key }}$ and $f_{\text {key }} / 5$, the system will produce two less even output vectors for composition, thus causing larger output fluctuations. Hence, the proposed PFM involves two optimal modulated frequencies of $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)$.

## B. Proof of Oscillation Frequency Invariance

Since the $(2 n \pm 1)$ th harmonic frequencies of two piecewise PFM outputs in (A1) equal the system innate frequency of $f_{\text {key }}$, the WPT system is piecewisely powered by these ( $2 n \pm 1$ )th harmonics. For example, when the modulated frequency is $f_{\text {key }} / 3$, the $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic of the piecewise PFM output will effectively energize the WPT system. Thus, the current frequency remains as $f_{\text {key }}$. The bidirectional conduction of the GaN switches will facilitate the resonant current to freewheel for maintaining such frequency invariance.

With a variable duty ratio $\delta_{\mathrm{d}}$, (A1) can be equivalently expressed in an average Fourier series

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\text {in_PFM }}(t) & =\frac{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}}{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}+\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}} \frac{4 E}{\pi} \sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left[\left(2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1\right) \omega_{2 n-1} t\right]}{2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1} \\
& +\frac{\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}}{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}+\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}} \frac{4 E}{\pi} \sum_{n_{\mathrm{F}}=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left[\left(2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1\right) \omega_{2 n+1} t\right]}{2 n_{\mathrm{F}}-1} \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n_{1}=2 n-1$ and $n_{2}=2 n+1$. The $n_{1}$ th and $n_{2}$ th harmonics of trigonometric function terms can both construct the fundamental $\left(f_{\text {key }}\right)$ component for equivalently powering the WPT system as given by

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\text {in }_{-} \mathrm{PFM}, 1}(t) & =\frac{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}}{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}+\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}} \frac{4 E}{n_{1} \pi} \sin \left(n_{1} \omega_{2 n-1} t\right) \\
& +\frac{\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}}{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}+\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}} \frac{4 E}{n_{2} \pi} \sin \left(n_{2} \omega_{2 n+1} t\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}+\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}} \frac{4 E}{\pi} \sin \left(\omega_{\text {key }} t\right) \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

More generally, the RMS value and the proportion factor of the $n_{\mathrm{F}}$ th harmonic can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\text {in } \_ \text {PFM }, n_{\mathrm{F}}}=\frac{2 \sqrt{2} E /\left(n_{\mathrm{F}} \pi\right)}{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}+\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}}, \delta_{\text {PFM }, n_{\mathrm{F}}}=\frac{1 / n_{\mathrm{F}}}{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}+\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system characteristics are dominantly determined by the fundamental ( $f_{\text {key }}$ ) component. Actually, $U_{\text {in_PFM }}=U_{\text {in_PFM, } 1}$ and $\delta=\delta_{\text {PFM, }, 1}$. Thus, the transmitter current can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{\mathrm{t}}(t)=\frac{u_{\mathrm{in} \_ \text {PFM }, 1}(t)}{Z_{\text {in }}}=\frac{1}{\delta_{\mathrm{d}} n_{1}+\left(1-\delta_{\mathrm{d}}\right) n_{2}} \frac{4 E}{\pi Z_{\text {in }}} \sin \left(\omega_{\text {key }} t\right) \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fundamental PFM output $U_{\text {in_PFM }}$ will energize the WPT system to resonate at the innate frequency of $f_{\text {key }}$, thus the system oscillation frequency can remain the same regardless of the modulated voltage changes. With a specific load, there are $I_{\text {target }}=\delta I_{\text {rated }}$ and $P_{\text {target }}=\delta^{2} P_{\text {rated }}$ as listed in Table II.

With the variable of $D$, the switching phase angles of the PFM can be accordingly derived as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{\omega_{2 n-1}, n_{\mathrm{F}}}=\left.n_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-D \pi\right)\right|_{D=0.5}, \alpha_{\omega_{2 n-1}, n_{1}}=\left.n_{1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-D \pi\right)\right|_{D=0.5}=0^{\circ}  \tag{A6}\\
\alpha_{\omega_{2 n+1}, n_{\mathrm{F}}}=\left.n_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-D \pi\right)\right|_{D=0.5}, \alpha_{\omega_{2 n+1}, n_{2}}=\left.n_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-D \pi\right)\right|_{D=0.5}=0^{\circ}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It indicates that the phase difference can keep zero between the PFM switching and the transmitter current. Although the frequencies are modulated between $f_{\text {key }} /(2 n \pm 1)$, the switches can be turned on/off exactly at or slightly before the zerocrossing point of the transmitter current for soft-switching. It further verifies that the transmitter frequency can keep constant, and essentially reveals the system oscillation frequency invariance.

## APPENDIX B

The PFM function generator aims to generate a variablefrequency piecewise sine wave. Defining the prior one as $\gamma_{f 2 n-1}$ and the latter one as $\gamma_{f 2 n+1}$, the two sinusoidal signals are expressed as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{f 2 n-1}=\sin \left\{(-1)^{\bmod \left(k_{1}, 2\right)} 2 \pi f_{2 n-1}\left[t-k_{1} /\left(2 f_{\text {key }}\right)\right]\right\}  \tag{B1}\\
\gamma_{f 2 n+1}=\sin \left\{(-1)^{\bmod \left(k_{2}, 2\right)} 2 \pi f_{2 n+1}\left[t-k_{2} /\left(2 f_{\text {key }}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}=(k-1)\left[(2 n-1) N_{1}+(2 n+1) N_{2}\right]  \tag{B2}\\
k_{2}=k(2 n-1) N_{1}+(k-1)(2 n+1) N_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The algorithm of the PFM function generator is depicted in Fig. 20, where $t$ is the discrete time of system clock, and the frequency $f_{\text {key }}$ can be updated in real time. It can readily be programmed to realize in both the computer simulation and digital signal processor (DSP) controller. In particular, for the DSP, the interrupt of the PWM module is used to produce the desired PFM gate signals. The interrupt frequency is set as $2 f_{\text {key }}$ updated in real time. When the interrupt count equals $N_{1}$ or ( $N_{1}+N_{2}$ ), alternately configuring the interrupt triggered at the $(2 n \pm 1)$ th event is to generate the prior and latter PFM gate signals, respectively. In the processing program of PWM interrupt, all the gate signals will be toggled.


Fig. 20. Algorithm of proposed PFM function generator.
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