PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS A

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsta

Review



Cite this article: Zhang Q, Gao J, Wu JT, Cao Z, Dajun Zeng D. 2021 Data science approaches to confronting the COVID-19 pandemic: a narrative review. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **380**: 20210127.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2021.0127

Received: 31 May 2021 Accepted: 22 September 2021

One contribution of 14 to a theme issue 'Data science approaches to infectious disease surveillance'.

Subject Areas:

artificial intelligence, computer modelling and simulation, biomedical engineering, mathematical modelling, complexity, statistical physics

Keywords:

infectious disease, mathematical modelling, data science, big data, COVID-19

Author for correspondence:

Qingpeng Zhang e-mail: qingpeng.zhang@cityu.edu.hk

Data science approaches to confronting the COVID-19 pandemic: a narrative review

Qingpeng Zhang¹, Jianxi Gao², Joseph T. Wu³, Zhidong Cao^{4,5} and Daniel Dajun Zeng^{4,5}

 ¹School of Data Science, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
²Department of Computer Science, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY 12180, USA

³WHO Collaborating Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Control, School of Public Health, LKS Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

⁴The State Key Laboratory of Management and Control for Complex Systems, Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

⁵School of Artificial Intelligence, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, People's Republic of China

QZ, 0000-0002-6819-0686; JG, 0000-0002-3952-208X;
JTW, 0000-0002-3155-5987; ZC, 0000-0001-5936-6822;
DDZ, 0000-0002-9046-222X

During the COVID-19 pandemic, more than ever, data science has become a powerful weapon in combating an infectious disease epidemic and arguably any future infectious disease epidemic. Computer scientists, data scientists, physicists and mathematicians have joined public health professionals and virologists to confront the largest pandemic in the century by capitalizing on the large-scale 'big data' generated and harnessed for combating the COVID-19 pandemic. In this paper, we review the newly born data science approaches to confronting COVID-19, including the estimation of epidemiological parameters, digital contact tracing, diagnosis, policy-making, resource allocation, risk assessment, mental health surveillance, social media analytics, drug repurposing and drug development. We compare the new

 \bigcirc 2021 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY

approaches with conventional epidemiological studies, discuss lessons we learned from the COVID-19 pandemic, and highlight opportunities and challenges of data science approaches to confronting future infectious disease epidemics.

This article is part of the theme issue 'Data science approaches to infectious disease surveillance'.

1. Introduction

Downloaded from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/ on 21 March 2022

The use of data science methodologies in medicine and public health has been enabled by the wide availability of big data of human mobility, contact tracing, medical imaging, virology, drug screening, bioinformatics, electronic health records and scientific literature along with the ever-growing computing power [1–4]. With these advances, the huge passion of researchers and practitioners, and the urgent need for data-driven insights, during the ongoing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic [5], data science has played a key role in understanding and combating the pandemic more than ever.

COVID-19, caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [6], has swept the globe and claimed over 3.4 million lives as of 19 May 2021. Because of its enormous impact on global health and economies, the COVID-19 pandemic highlights a critical need for timely and accurate data sources that are both individualized and population-wide to inform data-driven insights into disease surveillance and control. Compared with responses to previous epidemics such as SARS, Ebola, HIV and MERS, the COVID-19 pandemic has attracted overwhelming attention from not only medicine and public health professionals but also experts in other data and computational sciences fields that in previous epidemics were more peripheral [7,8].

The COVID-19 pandemic presents a platform as well as a rich data source for mathematicians, physicists and engineers to contribute to disease understanding from data-driven and computational perspectives. Some of these data were unavailable in previous epidemics, while other data were available, but their potential had not been fully unleashed. The public health systems established by many countries' Centres for Disease Control (CDCs), including those proven to be effective in the past, were easily outflanked by the SARS-CoV-2 virus due to its very high transmissibility and the ever-increasing global human mobility. Within only a few weeks of the virus being reported it was apparent that conventional public health practices had failed in containing it. Looking back, there were notable deficiencies in the public health systems [7,8], including (a) the slow response to highly contagious viruses, particularly if the symptoms resembled those of seasonal influenza and other mild infectious diseases; (b) the lack of reliable data at critical points (such as early outbreak and mutant strains); (c) slow and disorganized data collection; (d) policy decision-making based on political expediency but not scientific evidence; (e) slow and incomplete manual contact tracing; (f) the conflict between the effectiveness of contact tracing and the invasion of privacy; and (g) difficulty in identifying effective drugs to treat COVID-19 patients.

Many of these deficiencies can be addressed by creatively mining big data related to people's behaviours and opinions, the biological structure of drugs, human interactomes and the constantly mutating virus. The threat of the pandemic has resulted in the whole scientific community being mobilized to combat COVID-19, resulting in many successful and innovative applications. These applications required the capabilities of not only experts in one field but collaborations between people with diverse professional backgrounds. A difficult year has passed, yet it was also a remarkable year of the rise of interdisciplinary data-driven research on emerging infectious diseases. It is therefore important to summarize the progress that has been made so far, and to lay out a blueprint of an emerging field of using data science and advanced computational models to confront future infectious diseases.

In this article, we briefly summarize the important progress made during the COVID-19 pandemic. There have been over 400 000 coronavirus-related publications in 2020 alone [9]. The

Table 1. Data-driven COVID-19 publications that we reviewed.

section	data	publication
modelling human mobility	human movement data	[10–12]
	migration data	[13]
	nationwide census mobility fluxes	[14]
	open source anonymized human movement data (Baidu migration data)	[15,16]
	aggregated mobile phone users data (provided by SafeGraph)	[17,18]
	anonymized daily mobile phone location data	[19]
	teralytics	[20]
	national census data	[21]
	mobile phone, census and demographic data	[22]
	open government data and Google's Community Mobility Report	[23]
	digital transactions for transport	[24]
	Google's Community Mobility Reports	[25]
	near-real-time Italian mobility dataset provided by Facebook	[26]
	air transportation and ground mobility	[27]
	global air travel data	[28]
	mobile phone data	[29]
manual and digital contact tracing	manual contact tracing data in Shenzhen City, China	[30]
	survey data for Wuhan City and Shanghai City and manual contact tracing data in Hunan Province	[31]
	digital contact tracing techniques	[32,33]
	manual and digital contact tracing data	[34]
	online panel survey with mobile tracking data	[35]
empirical evaluation of government responses	Governments' response	[36–38]
	local/regional/national NPIs data	[39]
	Governments' response data in Germany	[40]
assessing the economic, trade and supply chain impact	Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) dataset	[41–43]
	UN Comtrade dataset	[44]
	World input–output database	[45]
	data provided by the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey	[46]
	data provided by a major bank in Denmark	[47]
mining patient data	individual-level patient data from official reports in China	[48,49]
	testing data provided by the Israeli Ministry of Health	[50]
	screening data	[51]
	EHR data	[52]
	clinical and laboratory variables	[53]

3

(Continued.)

section	data	publication
	chest X-ray images and routine clinical variables	[54]
	computed tomography images	[55]
	potential imaging biomarkers of the CXR radiographs	[56]
	surveys and suicide records	[57]
drug repurposing and development	protein interaction map	[58]
	protein—protein interactions (PPI) dataset	[59]
	experimentally derived PPI data	[60,61]
	databases of drugs, genes, proteins, viruses, diseases, symptoms and their linkages	[62]
	substructure-gene and gene-gene associations	[63]
mining scientific literature	COVID-19 scientific literature dataset	[9,64–70]
	information retrieval test collections TREC-COVID	[71]
	question answering dataset	[67,72]
	questions from FAQ sections of the Center for Disease Control	[68]
	PubMed citation database	[69,73]
Social media analytics and Web mining	information-seeking behaviours	[74]
	Internet searches (Google Trends)	[75]
	Internet searches and social media data	[76]
	social media discussions	[77]
	Google search	[78]
	international survey of risk perception of COVID-19	[79]
	COVID-19 misinformation	[80]

list of papers we reviewed here (see table 1) is by no means complete, nor is it meant to be. Instead, we selected a set of typical and representative publications and discuss how these approaches shed light on how data science will be an indispensable tool in the ongoing war against the COVID-19 and future epidemics. The selection process is as follows. First, we used the keyword combination ('COVID-19' *OR '2019-nCov') *AND ('data science' *OR 'artificial intelligence') to retrieve all related papers during 1 January 2020 to 31 May 2021 from Web of Science by Clarivate Analytics. Second, we used the same keyword combination to further retrieve additional conference papers from DBLP (a computer sciences bibliographic database). Third, we ranked the retrieved papers in terms of the number of citations and the impact factor of the journals. Fourth, we manually added a small number of papers that we agreed to be representative but not in the highly cited list. Fifth, the authors and five PhD students manually selected the papers to review. We prioritized the representative papers published in top-tier journals.

In this article, we first reviewed the publications that used novel data sources/modalities and methods to address a broad spectrum of problems in disease control. Then, we performed bibliographic analysis to highlight the knowledge flow between these publications and the publications cited by/citing them. We conclude the paper with discussions of lessons we have royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsta

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 380: 20210127

learned so far in leveraging novel data and data science approaches to confront COVID-19 and other emerging infectious diseases.

2. Modelling human mobility

SARS-CoV-2 is contagious in humans who are in close contact [6]. There is overwhelming evidence that SARS-Cov-2, similar to other SARS-like coronaviruses, found its way into a human host through an intermediate host in nature. Human contact has then become the main transmission medium [81,82]. As a result, the progression of the epidemic is heavily dependent on human mobility both locally and internationally. This makes the analysis of human mobility data essential to disease surveillance and policy evaluation. Luckily, we now have access to rich human mobility data including population-based census and survey data representing the general travel tendencies of people, as well as individualized mobility data derived from mobile phones, digital transactions and social media.

Reflecting on the early days of the epidemic in Wuhan City, China, the quick outbreak led to severe under-reporting of the problem [83]: on the one hand, many asymptomatic but infected people and people with mild symptoms did not realize that they were infected until they had recovered; on the other hand, many symptomatic people could not be admitted to hospital due to limited healthcare resources. As a result, the early epidemiological data did not fully represent all patients as early reports usually assumed a short serial interval period because they were based on data of severely ill patients who were admitted to hospital, while it missed those who were not hospitalized. It seems that similar situations occurred in other places around the world. As a result, a number of studies used human movement data to estimate the epidemiological parameters, such as the basic reproduction number R_0 , because people travelling out of Wuhan were closely monitored and well described in January and February 2020. [10–12]. Similar migration data were also used to reconstruct the full transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in Wuhan [13].

The success of using human mobility data to estimate the epidemiological parameters of the disease translates to other tasks. Travel restriction has been a popular control measure around the world in response to restricting the spread of SARS-CoV-2. Similarly, Gatto *et al.* used nationwide census mobility fluxes to quantify the effect of local non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) and support the spatio-temporal planning of emergency measures in Italy [14]. However, a number of studies concluded that travel restriction might not be the most effective approach to containing the virus. Lai *et al.* and Kraemer *et al.* used open-source anonymized human movement data (Baidu migration data, https://qianxi.baidu.com/, derived from Baidu users) to evaluate the effect of NPIs in containing the COVID-19 epidemic in China. It found that early detection and timely isolation of infected patients was more effective than travel restrictions and contact reductions [15,16].

A number of companies provide individual or aggregated mobile phone-derived mobility data. In a representative study using aggregated mobile phone users data (provided by *SafeGraph*, https://www.safegraph.com/), Chang *et al.* developed dynamic mobility networks to simulate the COVID-19 outbreak in 10 major metropolitan areas in the USA [17]. Not only did the model predict the superspreader points of interest would account for a majority of the infections but this work also revealed risk inequities that disadvantaged groups suffered, for instance they had a higher risk of infection because they could not reduce their mobility as sharply. Liu *et al.* reported similar findings from a retrospective analysis of the anonymized daily mobile phone location data in China [19]. Two studies using commercial data (*SafeGraph*, Pei *et al.* [18], *Teralytics* https://www.safegraph.com/, Badr *et al.* [20]) reported that social distancing played a central role in mitigating COVID-19 transmission in the USA.

In examining the effect of NPIs in a city or smaller country, agent-based models are useful because of their flexibility and high granularity in modelling travel patterns. To better model the travel tendencies in a city, census and demographic data are required, especially when individualized mobility data are absent. For example, Koo *et al.* used national census data to build



Figure 1. Geographical distribution of the 7.55 million agents and facilities in Hong Kong. Layer 1 represents the distribution of schools. Layer 2 represents the population distribution. Layer 3 represents the locations of entertainment sites. Credit: Zhou *et al.* [23]. (Online version in colour.)

an agent-based model of the COVID-19 transmission in Singapore [21]. Similarly, Aleta *et al.* used mobile phone, census and demographic data to build an agent-based model of the COVID-19 transmission in Boston [22]. A recent study took a more aggressive approach, where Zhou *et al.* constructed an agent-based model with 7.55 million agents representing each citizen in Hong Kong [23]. The authors collected open government data including demographics, public facilities and functional buildings, transportation systems and travel patterns (based on census), and also incorporated the real-time human mobility patterns provided by Google's Community Mobility Report (https://www.google.com/covid19/mobility/). The entire city of Hong Kong was split into 4905 500 m × 500 m grids (refer to figure 1 for an illustration). This very detailed model was used to identify the high-value grids for targeted interventions with low disruption of the whole city.

Downloaded from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/ on 21 March 2022

Human mobility data are useful in informing responsive and adjustable NPIs, which can maintain economic productivity. Leung *et al.* used digital transactions for transport to enable real-time and accurate nowcast and forecast of COVID-19 epidemics in Hong Kong [24]. Successful application of such real-time predictions has the potential to maximize economic productivity. Yang *et al.* proposed a simple optimization scheme that considers both the reduction in infections and the social disruption in New York City, and concluded that tight social distancing measures in public places was the key to protect the elderly who are most vulnerable to experiencing severe disease, or death [25]. In a study in Italy, Bonaccorsi *et al.* modelled mobility restrictions as a shock to the economy by harnessing a near-real-time Italian mobility dataset provided by Facebook. These researchers found that mobility contraction was stronger in municipalities with greater inequality and lower income *per capita,* and they subsequently called for fiscal measures that targeted poverty and inequal mitigation [26].

On a global scale, Chinazzi *et al.* proposed a metapopulation disease transmission model that considered both air transportation and ground mobility across 3200 sub-populations in 200 countries and regions. They suggested that early detection, hand washing, self-isolation and household quarantine were more effective than travel restrictions at containing the virus [27]. Gilbert *et al.* used global air travel data to estimate the risk of COVID-19 importation per African country, as well as the preparedness of each country [28].

Facing a global pandemic, coordination between countries/regions is apparently a key in reducing cross-border transmissions. Ruktanonchai *et al.* examined the coordinated relaxation of NPIs across Europe by estimating human movements among European countries by using mobile phone data. They found that coordination of on–off NPIs is indeed important to containing the outbreak across Europe [29].

3. Manual and digital contact tracing

Contact tracing is an indispensable method to identify and isolate at-risk people, in an attempt to reduce infections in the community. During the COVID-19 pandemic, most public health practice has still relied on conventional manual contact tracing. Although such data are rarely made publicly available for research due to privacy concerns, there have been good empirical and modelling studies using it. Bi *et al.* analysed a complete dataset of 391 cases and 1286 of their close contacts in Shenzhen City (provided by Shenzhen CDC), China, during 14 January 2020–12 February 2020, and demonstrated that contact tracing significantly reduced the reproduction number and thus prevented a localized outbreak [30]. Zhang *et al.* analysed survey data for Wuhan City and Shanghai City, as well as detailed contact tracing data in Hunan Province (provided by Hunan CDC), and constructed a transmission model to evaluate the impact of NPIs on transmission [31]. They concluded that the NPIs implemented in these places had successfully controlled the COVID-19 outbreak.

Conventional manual contact tracing has major challenges, such as recall bias and time delay. The wide adoption of smartphones makes the novel digital contact tracing techniques a promising supplement to, if not replacement of, manual contact tracing [32,33]. This is particularly relevant to SARS-Cov-2, which is highly infectious. Ferretti *et al.* used a mathematical model to explore the feasibility of controlling the epidemic using conventional manual contact tracing is not feasible. Thus, the use of digital contact tracing is potentially more effective in stopping the epidemic given the high proportion of people using smartphones [34].

In developed countries/regions, there appear to be no technical obstacles for effective digital contact tracing because current smartphones are mostly equipped with GPS and Bluetooth [84]. Both Google and Apple have implemented frameworks in smartphones to assist in contact tracing and exposure notifications (figure 2). Since COVID-19 is likely to become endemic, digital contact tracing may eventually become a common public health practice. However, the wide implementation of digital contact tracing has not been particularly successful except for a few countries in East Asia [85]. There are many controversial issues including privacy concerns, accuracy, connection to health authorities, and other cultural and political factors [85,86]. In many lower- and middle-income countries/regions, where citizens are less technologically savvy, manual contact tracing is still playing the dominant role in containing the epidemic.

Since late 2020, Singapore has mandated the use of a digital contact tracing app, *TraceTogether*. In mainland China, different cities/provinces have produced their own *Health Code* systems and these isolated systems are now merging into a nationwide Health Code system. In Hong Kong, a conservative contact tracing app, *LeaveHomeSafe*, has been made available by the government. LeaveHomeSafe does not have access to users' private data. There is no registration requirement, and it only sends users (not public health authorities) exposure notifications. Its use is voluntary and people can always choose to manually leave their contact information (usually nobody verifies the information) when entering premises (such as a restaurant) that requires it (figure 2). Given Ferretti *et al.*'s simulation research [34], the efficacy of such a voluntary-based digital contact tracing system in reducing transmission is limited by the low proportion of trustworthy data.

How to motivate people to use digital contact tracing is an important public health challenge. Munzert *et al.* combined an online panel survey and mobile tracking data to measure usage of the official contact tracing app in Germany, and found that people with different demographic



Figure 2. Three typical digital contact tracing apps: (*a*) Apple's *Exposure Notification* function (Bluetooth-based). (*b*) *TraceTogether* system in Singapore (Bluetooth-based). (*c*) *Health Code* system in Mainland China (Mandatory manual input), (*d*) *LeaveHomeSafe* system in Hong Kong (voluntary manual input). (Online version in colour.)

backgrounds exhibited different usage of the app [35]. These researchers also showed that video messages were not effective in motivating updates, while small monetary incentives may strongly increase updates.

Even if vaccines become widely available, their development may not keep pace with virus mutations. Thus, contact tracing remains a critical tool in stopping the epidemic. To unleash the potential of digital technology to improve contact tracing accuracy, advances are required in both technology and public health research. On the one hand, more advanced technologies are needed to dispel people's doubts about data privacy, while on the other hand, how to motivate and incentivize people to adopt new technologies (including other interventions and vaccinations) might be the most important question.

4. Empirical evaluation of government responses

Governments and authorities around the world responded to the COVID-19 pandemic with a range of NPIs. Compliance with policy measures provide a rich dataset of lessons and experiences that are in valuable for future decision-making. A number of studies have quantified the extent of the action, as well as the compliance with policy measures. A typical example is Oxford Covid-19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT, https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/research-projects/ covid-19-government-response-tracker), which collects systematic information on more than 180 countries' policy measures since 1 January 2020. More specifically, OxCGRT records these policies on a scale to reflect the extent of government action, and policy indices are created based on the scores [38]. Similarly, Porcher published Response2covid19 (https://response2covid19.org/), a dataset of governments' response to the COVID-19 pandemic [36]. Another global dataset, the Citizenship, Migration and Mobility in a Pandemic (CMMP, https://www.cmm-pandemic.com/) was introduced by Piccoli et al. [37]. Quantifying the effect of various NPIs is another important problem. Hsiang et al. compiled data on 1700 local/regional/national NPIs deployed in six countries, and applied reduced-form econometric methods to empirically measure the effect of these NPIs on flattening the epidemic curve [39]. Dehning et al. analysed the data in Germany using a Bayesian inference model and emphasized that relaxation of NPIs should be undertaken warily, because the currently deployed NPIs had barely contained the outbreak [40]. However, there is little research that compared the implementation and uptake of NPIs across different

5. Assessing the economic, trade and supply chain impact

Travel restrictions and NPIs have dramatically affected the global supply chains and trades. Guan et al. adopted the latest economic disaster modelling to examine the supply chain effects of a set of NPIs scenarios. They found that the supply chain losses were dependent on the number of countries imposing travel restrictions, while a longer containment that might control the epidemic could impose smaller losses [41]. This study built the global supply chain network using the Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) database [42], which is subject to a subscription fee. Maliszewska et al. also used GTAP data and previous episodes of global epidemics to simulate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on gross domestic product and trade, and drew similar conclusions [43]. More recently, Ye et al. developed an integrated network model to investigate the personal protective equipment (PPE) shortage contagion patterns on a global trade network harvested from the World Customs Organization report, and found that PPE export restrictions exacerbated shortages, and caused shortage contagion travelling faster than disease contagion [44]. Malliet et al. used a computable general equilibrium model to assess the impacts of French NPIs on environmental and energy policies at macroeconomic and sectoral levels, and found that lockdown measure decreased economic output but generated positive environmental impact by reducing CO₂ emissions [45]. In other two studies, Çakmaklı et al. and Andersen et al. quantified the macroeconomic effects of COVID-19 on consumers and economies by harnessing the data provided by the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey [46] and a major bank in Denmark [47], respectively.

6. Mining patient data and drug repurposing

Downloaded from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/ on 21 March 2022

Mining patient data can generate enormous amounts of valuable information, ranging from aggregated statistics on a daily or weekly basis to detailed electronic health records (EHRs). Analysing the time series of case counts has always been the focus of epidemic modelling. Xu *et al.* collected and curated individual-level patient data from official reports in China, and published it for public use [48]. This dataset has successfully enabled a dozen of downstream epidemiological studies. In another study, Bednarski *et al.* explored how to use reinforcement learning and deep learning models to derive the near-optimal redistribution of medical equipment to support public health emergencies [49].

How to prioritize testing for COVID-19 is important because testing resources are usually limited. To this end, Zoabi *et al.* developed a machine learning model to predict the COVID-19 diagnosis based on the testing data provided by the Israeli Ministry of Health [50]. In another study, Callahan *et al.* used screening data to address the same problem by developing a machine learning model [51]. In dealing with the patients admitted to the hospital, the major challenge is to prioritize the patients with severe disease and a high risk of death. The ability to derive an accurate individual-level risk score on the EHR is crucial for effective resource allocation and distribution, and prioritizing vaccination programs. Estiri *et al.* trained age-stratified generalized linear models with component-wise gradient boosting to predict the death of patients before getting infected [52]. In a population-based study from Hong Kong, Zhou *et al.* developed a simple risk score for predicting severe COVID-19 disease using clinical and laboratory variables [53].

Machine learning has been recognized as effective in predicting the risk of a range of patient outcomes. It is particularly useful for COVID-19 because the diagnosis usually involves both

structured data and medical imaging data. Shamout *et al.* developed deep neural network models to predict deterioration risk by learning from chest X-ray images and routine clinical variables [54]. Wang *et al.* proposed a deep learning-based AI system for COVID-19 diagnostic and prognostic analysis by analysing computed tomography images, and validated the model on a Chinese dataset of 5372 patients [55]. Oh *et al.* proposed a patch-based convolutional neural network method for COVID-19 diagnosis by analysing the potential imaging biomarkers of the CXR radiographs [56]. The success of using deep learning and more general machine learning techniques in COVID-19 diagnosis and prognosis, and patient stratification continues. Please refer to the latest review of these techniques [87].

Owing to people's isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic, mental health has emerged as another focal issue [88–90]. Surveys and suicide records could provide a good data source if they were collected during the time period of the pandemic. For example, Holman *et al.* examined mental health issues during the COVID-19 pandemic by sampling US citizens across three 10-day periods, and identified a number of factors associated with acute stress and depressive symptoms [57]. However, due to the difficulty in obtaining reliable data, data science and machine learning approaches that accurately detect mental health issues during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic remain under-researched. There are a few successful studies, which are mostly based on Internet and social media data, rather than individual patients' records.

Because of the speed of onset, and size of impact of COVID-19, repurposing currently is an efficient way of ensuring that effective treatment is available . Early in the pandemic, Gordon *et al.* showed that a protein interaction map of SARS-CoV-2 could identify targets for drug repurposing [58]. In the search for drug candidates in the sea of biological data, with a focus on protein–protein interactions (PPIs), network science and machine learning have the advantage of being able to model the high-dimensional biological and pharmaceutical data associated with different drugs. Sadegh *et al.* developed an online interactive platform named *CoVex* (https://exbio.wzw.tum.de/covex/) for COVID-19 drug or target identification by integrating virus–human protein interactions, human PPI, and drug-target interactions [59].

In a representative study, Gysi et al. adopted a set of machine learning, network diffusion, and network proximity models to prioritize 6340 drugs that might treat COVID-19 [60]. These authors constructed the human interactome with 18505 proteins and 327924 protein interactions by harvesting 21 public databases that compile experimentally derived PPI data. The authors found that no single model consistently outperformed others across all datasets, and thus a multimodal approach was used to perform model fusion for the best prediction performance. A similar study was carried out by Zhou et al. [61], where high-value proteins and drug combinations were derived by a network-based algorithm. Yan et al. proposed a knowledge graph approach to prioritise drug candidates against SARS-Cov-2 [62]. This study integrated 14 biological databases of drugs, genes, proteins, viruses, diseases, symptoms and their linkages, and developed a network-based algorithm to extract hidden linkages connecting drugs and COVID-19 from the constructed knowledge graph. See figure 3 for the description of the knowledge graph and the identified motifs-of-interest. Pham et al. proposed a deep learning method, namely DeepCE, to model substructure-gene and gene-gene associations for predicting the differential gene expression profile perturbed by de novo chemicals, and demonstrated that DeepCE outperformed state-of-the-art, and could be applied to COVID-19 drug repurposing of COVID-19 with clinical evidence [63]. Zhou et al. provided a useful review and helpful illustrations of these machine learning, and AI techniques for COVID-19 drug repurposing [91] The knowledge graph does not have to be manually constructed, except for the existing biological datasets, as machine learning and natural language processing (NLP) techniques are appropriate tools to automatically construct knowledge graphs from scientific literature [65].

7. Mining scientific literature

Downloaded from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/ on 21 March 2022

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to a huge corpus of coronavirus-related publications across disciplines. There were over 400 000 publications about COVID-19 and SARS-Cov-2 in 2020, and



Figure 3. Motifs-of-interest for drug repurposing in a knowledge graph: a knowledge graph is a multi-relational graph composed of entities and relations. Each entity represents a specific protein, gene, drug, virus, disease or symptom and each relation represents a known existing linkage between any two entities. A motif is a connected subgraph representing fundamental building block of the knowledge graphs. Motifs-of-interest are defined based on their importance to the drug repurposing task. Motif-clique discovery algorithms are used to extract these defined motifs-of-interest. Credit: Yan *et al.*/Wiley [62]. (Online version in colour.)

the number is ever-growing. Mining this huge set of scientific articles can facilitate knowledge discovery, enable novel expert systems, identify research trends and guide research policy.

There are a number of open-source datasets of COVID-19 scientific literature. *TREC-COVID* (https://ir.nist.gov/covidSubmit/) is a set of information retrieval test collections jointly organized by the Allen Institute for Artificial Intelligence (AI2), the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the National Library of Medicine (NLM), Oregon Health & Science University, and the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston [71]. TREC-COVID provides a list of papers contributed by the challengers (https://ir.nist.gov/covidSubmit/bib.html), but the list seems incomplete. AI2, in collaboration with Chan Zuckerberg Initiative, Georgetown University, Microsoft, IBM, NLM, and the White House of the USA, also created the *COVID-19 Open Research Dataset Challenge* (*CORD-19*, https://www.kaggle.com/allen-institute-for-ai/



Figure 4. An example of the answers and summary provided by CAIRE-COVID. Screenshot taken by searching 'What do we know about asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19?' on CAIRE-COVID [72]. (Online version in colour.)

CORD-19-research-challenge) through Kaggle [64]. Note that there are over 30 000 COVID-19related data challenges in Kaggle as of 15 May 2021 (https://www.kaggle.com/search?q=covid-19). MIT Operations Research Center is also maintaining a service, namely the *COVID Analytics* (https://www.covidanalytics.io), which provides a dataset of COVID-19-related papers, with a visualization tool for users to derive their own insights from the data. COVID Analytics has great impact on not only disease surveillance, but also the vaccine development. Developers of the *Johnson & Johnson* COVID-19 vaccine and the MIT researchers applied machine learning to help guide the company's research efforts into a potential vaccine by analysing COVID Analytics data and other real-world data. For example, they worked together to identify key locations to set up trial sites for the company (https://news.mit.edu/2021/behind-covid-19-vaccine-development-0518).

Esteva *et al.* created a semantic search engine, *CO-Search* (http://einstein.ai/covid), which is able to handle complex queries over the COVID-19-related literature [9]. CO-Search has a multi-stage framework, with a hybrid semantic–keyword retriever based on the popular *BERT* language model, and a re-ranker that further sort the order of retrieved documents by relevance. The authors demonstrated the strong performance of CO-Search on the TREC-COVID dataset. Su *et al.* developed a real-time question answering (QA) and document summarization system, namely *CAiRE-COVID* (https://demo.caire.ust.hk/covid/) [72], which is able to answer high-priority questions with question-related information (see figure 4 for an example). Similar to CAiRE-COVID, there are a number of COVID-19 specific QA systems [66–68], and search engines [70]. Machine learning and NLP methods to construct knowledge graphs by analysing the coronavirus-related literature. More specifically, Chen *et al.* combined the CORD-19 dataset [64] and the PubMed dataset [73] to identify COVID-19-related experts and bio-entities [69]. Another example is the *COVID-KG* framework, which could extract fine-grained multimedia knowledge elements from scientific literature [65]. The resulted knowledge is available at http://blender.cs. illinois.edu/covid19/.

8. Social media analytics and Web mining

The World Wide Web and social media have become important channels for laymen to retrieve health-related information. There is strong evidence that users' online behaviours are associated with their health conditions and thus could be used to estimate the epidemic of infectious diseases [92,93]. It is possible that the Web and social media data could inform more timely

13



Figure 5. Knowledge transfer from the disciplines of the papers cited by the papers we reviewed (down) to the disciplines of papers citing the papers we reviewed (up). The size of arrows represents the frequency. (Online version in colour.)

responses since traditional manual reporting systems have significant lag times. In an empirical study, Bento *et al.* examined people's information-seeking behaviours in response to the first confirmed COVID-19 case in each state of USA, and found that searches for certain terms were strongly influenced by the timing of the first confirmed case in a state [74]. In a correlation analysis, Effenberger *et al.* found that Internet searches (Google Trends) are correlated with the number of COVID-19 cases across European countries [75]. There was usually a time lag of 11.5 days, indicating that the Internet searches were possibly predictive of actual cases within that time period in Europe. Li *et al.* performed a comprehensive study using both Internet searches and social media data to predict the COVID-19 incidence in China [76]. The authors used both Google Trends and Baidu Index to characterize the popularity of COVID-19-related terms in Internet searches, and the Sina Weibo Index to characterize that in social media interest. The results showed that all three sets of data were correlated with the actual COVID-19 cases in China. Of note however was that the Baidu Index and Sina Weibo Index could predict the outbreak over a week earlier, possibly because Google is not a mainstream search engine in China.

In addition to disease surveillance, the Web and social media have also become a battlefield of truth, rumours, misinformation and even disinformation [80]. Li *et al.* analysed the social media discussions on Sina Weibo and found that specific linguistic and social network features could predict the reposted amount of different types of information [77]. However, the ever-present question was whether the online information was of good quality? To answer this question, early on in the outbreak (as of 6 February 2020), Cuan-Baltazar *et al.* manually screened the COVID-19-related websites by searching relevant terms on Google, and found that the quality and readability of retrieved information was mostly poor, highlighting the risk of the Internet as a public source of information on health [78]. Roozenbeek *et al.* examined predictors of misinformed belief about COVID-19 and SARS-Cov-2, using a dataset of samples from the United Kingdom, Ireland, the



Figure 6. The count of top 20 disciplines (excluding *Multidisciplinary Sciences*) of (*a*) the papers cited by the papers we reviewed, and (*b*) the papers citing the papers we reviewed. The orange bars represent disciplines other than medicine, biology and public health disciplines. (Online version in colour.)

USA, Spain and Mexico, identifying a consistently high proportion of misinformed public belief views in all five countries [79]. Such susceptibility to misinformation was found to make people less likely to comply with NPIs or to seek COVID-19 vaccines, suggesting interventions are required to help the public gain trust in science.

Ye *et al.* built a mathematical model, which indicates that the media and opinion leaders should provide true and quality information to the public so that people are willing to comply with public health guidance to protect themselves and the whole population [94]. To achieve this, more

rigorous research on mis- and disinformation about COVID-19 is much-needed, especially while facing the rise of populism and anti-scientism worldwide [95,96].

9. Discussion

Downloaded from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/ on 21 March 2022

We performed a bibliographic analysis of the papers reviewed above. Figure 5 visualizes the knowledge transfer from the disciplines of the papers cited by the papers we reviewed (*cited-papers*) to the disciplines of papers citing the papers we reviewed (*citing-papers*). The disciplines were determined by the Web of Science (WoS) and one paper may have multiple disciplines. The cited- and citing-papers were also retrieved from WoS. It is obvious that *Multidisciplinary Sciences* is the dominating discipline for both groups of papers. To have a better understanding, we further present the bar charts of these papers' disciplines of the cited papers were not in medicine, biology or public health. For citing papers, half were not in medicine, biology or public health. Most of these fields are computational sciences. These bibliographic analysis results suggest that COVID-19 research is highly multidisciplinary and there is strong evidence of knowledge transfer between different disciplines.

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on human society and scientific community is unprecedented. To win the war against the COVID-19 pandemic requires innovative collaborations between scientists from many disciplines. Data scientists have already shown that by joining with medicine and public health scholars they can identify, analyse and model traditional and novel data generated by, or associated with, the pandemic to produce rich understandings. The innovative use of these data has led to many important applications, that cannot be adequately covered by a single article. In this paper, we selected a set of publications that represent the data science studies in modelling human mobility, developing digital contact tracing techniques, evaluating government responses, assessing the economic impact, mining patient data, drug repurposing, mining scientific literature, social media analytics and Web mining. There are a number of topics that are not covered in detail because of insufficient publications, such as vaccine prioritization [97,98] and vaccine hesitancy [99], screening chatbot [100], crowdsourcing and the emerging folk science. As the pandemic, and research into it, progresses, more knowledge will become available in these topics.

This rich literature of data science approaches to combating the COVID-19 pandemic has provided valuable knowledge, experience and more importantly toolkits that we may use to improve disease surveillance and refine NPIs for COVID-19. The excitement that lies ahead for scientists in all disciplines is the use of these approaches to prevent the outbreak of future infectious diseases. The capability will not only depend on the methodological advances in AI and machine learning, but also on the identification of more data, the linkage across datasets, and the balance between individual's privacy and the population's well-being. Research policymakers should recognize the urgent need for multidisciplinary COVID-19 research and foster novel collaborative research by thematic prioritization of funding and organizing work groups and conferences of researchers from different domains. It is important that the public's trust in science is secured, so that when the world faces another emerging infectious disease in the future, reactions will be timely, effective and underpinned by believable data-driven NPIs, with which people comply because of their credibility.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data.

Authors' contributions. Q.Z. wrote the first draft of the paper. J.G., J.T.W., Z.C. and D.D.Z. provided critical feedback and helped shape the paper. All authors revised the paper.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (Project No. 11218221, C7154-20GF, C7151-20GF and C1143-20GF).

References

- 1. Topol EJ. 2019 High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nat. Med.* 25, 44–56. (doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7)
- Khoury MJ, Ioannidis JPA. 2014 Big data meets public health. Science 346, 1054–1055. (doi:10.1126/science.aaa2709)
- 3. Wong ZS, Zhou J, Zhang Q. 2019 Artificial intelligence for infectious disease big data analytics. *Infect., Dis. Health* 24, 44–48. (doi:10.1016/j.idh.2018.10.002)
- Mooney SJ, Pejaver V. 2018 Big data in public health: terminology, machine learning, and privacy. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* 39, 95–112. (doi:10.1146/annurevpublhealth-040617-014208)
- 5. Who coronavirus (covid-19) dashboard. https://covid19.who.int/. (accessed 15 May 2021).
- 6. Kim D, Lee JY, Yang JS, Kim JW, Kim VN, Chang H. 2020 The architecture of Sars-Cov-2 transcriptome. *Cell* **181**, 914–921.e10. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.011)
- Luengo-Oroz M *et al.* 2020 Artificial intelligence cooperation to support the global response to Covid-19. *Nat. Mach. Intell.* 2, 295–297. (doi:10.1038/s42256-020-0184-3)
- 8. Latif S *et al.* 2020 Leveraging data science to combat COVID-19: a comprehensive review. *IEEE Trans. Artif. Intell.* **1**, 85–103. (doi:10.1109/TAI.2020.3020521)
- Esteva A, Kale A, Paulus R, Hashimoto K, Yin W, Radev D, Socher R. 2021 Covid-19 information retrieval with deep-learning based semantic search, question answering, and abstractive summarization. *npj Digit. Med.* 4, 1–9. (doi:10.1038/s41746-020-00373-5)
- Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. 2020 Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet* 395, 689–697. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9)
- 11. Jia JS, Lu X, Yuan Y, Xu G, Jia J, Christakis NA. 2020 Population flow drives spatio-temporal distribution of Covid-19 in China. *Nature* **582**, 389–394. (doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2284-y)
- 12. Cao Z, Zhang Q, Lu X, Pfeiffer D, Wang L, Song H, Pei T, Jia Z, Zeng DD. 2020 Incorporating human movement data to improve epidemiological estimates for 2019-nCoV. *medRxiv*.
- 13. Hao X, Cheng S, Wu D, Wu T, Lin X, Wang C. 2020 Reconstruction of the full transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in Wuhan. *Nature* 584, 420–424. (doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2554-8)
- Gatto M, Bertuzzo E, Mari L, Miccoli S, Carraro L, Casagrandi R, Rinaldo A. 2020 Spread and dynamics of the COVID-19 epidemic in Italy: effects of emergency containment measures. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 117, 10484–10491. (doi:10.1073/pnas.2004978117)
- 15. Lai S *et al.* 2020 Effect of non-pharmaceutical interventions to contain COVID-19 in China. *Nature* **585**, 410–413. (doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2293-x)
- 16. Kraemer MU *et al.* 2020 The effect of human mobility and control measures on the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Science* **368**, 493–497. (doi:10.1126/science.abb4218)
- Chang S, Pierson E, Koh PW, Gerardin J, Redbird B, Grusky D, Leskovec J. 2021 Mobility network models of COVID-19 explain inequities and inform reopening. *Nature* 589, 82–87. (doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2923-3)
- 18. Pei S, Kandula S, Shaman J. 2020 Differential effects of intervention timing on COVID-19 spread in the united states. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eabd6370. (doi:10.1126/sciadv.abd6370)
- Liu Y *et al.* 2021 Associations between changes in population mobility in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and socioeconomic factors at the city level in China and country level worldwide: a retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Digit. Health* 3, e349–e359. (doi:10.1016/S2589-7500(21)00059-5)
- Badr HS, Du H, Marshall M, Dong E, Squire MM, Gardner LM. 2020 Association between mobility patterns and COVID-19 transmission in the USA: a mathematical modelling study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 20, 1247–1254. (doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30553-3)
- Koo JR, Cook AR, Park M, Sun Y, Sun H, Lim JT, Tam C, Dickens BL. 2020 Interventions to mitigate early spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore: a modelling study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 20, 678–688. (doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30162-6)
- 22. Aleta A *et al.* 2020 Modelling the impact of testing, contact tracing and household quarantine on second waves of COVID-19. *Nat. Human Behav.* **4**, 964–971. (doi:10.1038/s41562-020-0931-9)
- 23. Hanchu Z, Zhang Q, Cao Z, Huang H, Zeng D. In press. Sustainable targeted interventions to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic: a big data-driven modeling study in Hong Kong. *Chaos.*

- 24. Leung K, Wu JT, Leung GM. 2021 Real-time tracking and prediction of COVID-19 infection using digital proxies of population mobility and mixing. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1–8. (doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21776-2)
- 25. Yang J, Zhang Q, Cao Z, Gao J, Pfeiffer D, Zhong L, Zeng DD. 2021 The impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions on the prevention and control of COVID-19 in New York City. *Chaos* **31**, 21101. (doi:10.1063/5.0040560)
- 26. Bonaccorsi G et al. 2020 Economic and social consequences of human mobility restrictions under COVID-19. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 117, 15 530–15 535. (doi:10.1073/pnas.2007658117)
- 27. Chinazzi M *et al.* 2020 The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (Covid-19) outbreak. *Science* **368**, 395–400. (doi:10.1126/science.aba9757)
- 28. Gilbert M *et al.* 2020 Preparedness and vulnerability of African countries against importations of COVID-19: a modelling study. *Lancet* **395**, 871–877. (doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30411-6)
- 29. Ruktanonchai NW *et al.* 2020 Assessing the impact of coordinated Covid-19 exit strategies across Europe. *Science* **369**, 1465–1470. (doi:10.1126/science.abc5096)
- Bi Q *et al.* 2020 Epidemiology and transmission of COVID-19 in 391 cases and 1286 of their close contacts in Shenzhen, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 20, 911–919. (doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30287-5)
- 31. Zhang J *et al.* 2020 Changes in contact patterns shape the dynamics of the COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Science* **368**, 1481–1486. (doi:10.1126/science.abb8001)
- Bengio Y, Janda R, Yu YW, Ippolito D, Jarvie M, Pilat D, Struck B, Krastev S, Sharma A. 2020 The need for privacy with public digital contact tracing during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Digit. Health* 2, e342–e344. (doi:10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30133-3)
- Kleinman RA, Merkel C. 2020 Digital contact tracing for Covid-19. CMAJ 192, E653–E656. (doi:10.1503/cmaj.200922)
- Ferretti L, Wymant C, Kendall M, Zhao L, Nurtay A, Abeler-Dörner L, Parker M, Bonsall D, Fraser C. 2020 Quantifying SARS-CoV-2 transmission suggests epidemic control with digital contact tracing. *Science* 368, eabb6936. (doi:10.1126/science.abb6936)
- 35. Munzert S, Selb P, Gohdes A, Stoetzer LF, Lowe W. 2021 Tracking and promoting the usage of a Covid-19 contact tracing app. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **5**, 247–255. (doi:10.1038/s41562-020-01044-x)
- 36. Porcher S. 2020 Response2covid19, a dataset of governments' responses to COVID-19 all around the world. *Sci. Data* 7, 1–9. (doi:10.1038/s41597-020-00757-y)
- Piccoli L,Dzankic J, Ruedin D. 2021 Citizenship, migration and mobility in a pandemic (CMMP): a global dataset of COVID-19 restrictions on human movement. *PLoS ONE* 16, e0248066. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0248066)
- 38. Hale T *et al.* 2021 A global panel database of pandemic policies (oxford Covid-19 government response tracker). *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **5**, 529–538. (doi:10.1038/s41562-021-01079-8)
- 39. Hsiang S *et al.* 2020 The effect of large-scale anti-contagion policies on the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature* **584**, 262–267. (doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2404-8)
- 40. Dehning J, Zierenberg J, Spitzner FP, Wibral M, Neto JP, Wilczek M, Priesemann V. 2020 Inferring change points in the spread of COVID-19 reveals the effectiveness of interventions. *Science* **369**, eabb9789. (doi:10.1126/science.abb9789)
- 41. Guan D et al. 2020 Global supply-chain effects of COVID-19 control measures. Nat. Hum. Behav. 4, 577–587. (doi:10.1038/s41562-020-0896-8)
- 42. Chepeliev M. 2020 GTAP-power data base: Version 10. J. Global Econ. Anal. 5, 110–137. (doi:10.21642/JGEA.050203AF)
- 43. Maliszewska M, Mattoo A, Van Der. 2020 *The potential impact of COVID-19 on GDP and trade: a preliminary assessment*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- 44. Ye Y, Zhang Q, Cao Z, Chen FY, Yan H, Stanley HE, Zeng DD. 2021 Impacts of export restrictions on the global personal protective equipment trade network during COVID-19. (http://arxiv.org/abs/2101.12444).
- 45. Malliet P, Reynès F, Landa G, Hamdi-Cherif M, Saussay A. 2020 Assessing short-term and long-term economic and environmental effects of the COVID-19 crisis in France. *Environ. Resour. Econ.* **76**, 867–883. (doi:10.1007/s10640-020-00488-z)
- 46. Cakmakli C *et al.* 2020 COVID-19 and emerging markets: an epidemiological multi-sector model for a small open economy with an application to turkey. *NBER Working Paper*.

- 47. Andersen AL, Hansen ET, Johannesen N, Sheridan A. 2020 Consumer responses to the COVID-19 crisis: evidence from bank account transaction data. *Available at SSRN 3609814*.
- 48. Xu B *et al.* 2020 Epidemiological data from the covid-19 outbreak, real-time case information. *Sci. Data* 7, 1–6. (doi:10.1038/s41597-019-0340-y)
- 49. Bednarski BP, Singh AD, Jones WM. 2021 On collaborative reinforcement learning to optimize the redistribution of critical medical supplies throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc.* **28**, 874–878. (doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa324)
- 50. Zoabi Y, Deri-Rozov S, Shomron N. 2021 Machine learning-based prediction of COVID-19 diagnosis based on symptoms. *npj Digit. Med.* **4**, 1–5. (doi:10.1038/s41746-020-00372-6)
- 51. Callahan A, Steinberg E, Fries JA, Gombar S, Patel B, Corbin CK, Shah NH. 2020 Estimating the efficacy of symptom-based screening for COVID-19. *NPJ Digit. Med.* **3**, 1–3. (doi:10.1038/s41746-020-0300-0)
- 52. Estiri H, Strasser ZH, Klann JG, Naseri P, Wagholikar KB, Murphy SN. 2021 Predicting Covid-19 mortality with electronic medical records. *NPJ Digit. Med.* **4**, 1–10. (doi:10.1038/s41746-021-00383-x)
- 53. Zhou J *et al.* 2021 Development of a multivariable prediction model for severe COVID-19 disease: a population-based study from Hong Kong. *NPJ Digit. Med.* **4**, 1–9. (doi:10.1038/s41746-021-00433-4)
- 54. Shamout FE *et al.* 2021 An artificial intelligence system for predicting the deterioration of COVID-19 patients in the emergency department. *NPJ Digit. Med.* **4**, 1–11. (doi:10.1038/s41746-021-00453-0)
- 55. Wang S *et al.* 2020 A fully automatic deep learning system for COVID-19 diagnostic and prognostic analysis. *Eur. Respir. J.* **56**, 2000775. (doi:10.1183/13993003.00775-2020)
- Oh Y, Park S, Ye JC. 2020 Deep learning COVID-19 features on cxr using limited training data sets. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 39, 2688–2700. (doi:10.1109/TMI.2020.2993291)
- 57. Holman EA, Thompson RR, Garfin DR, Silver RC. 2020 The unfolding COVID-19 pandemic: a probability-based, nationally representative study of mental health in the United States. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eabd5390. (doi:10.1126/sciadv.abd5390)
- 58. Gordon DE et al. 2020 A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. *Nature* 583, 459–468. (doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2286-9)

Downloaded from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/ on 21 March 2022

- 59. Sadegh S et al. 2020 Exploring the sars-cov-2 virus-host-drug interactome for drug repurposing. Nat. Commun. 11, 1–9. (doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17189-2)
- Gysi DM *et al.* 2021 Network medicine framework for identifying drugrepurposing opportunities for covid-19. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 118, e2025581118. (doi:10.1073/pnas.2025581118)
- 61. Zhou Y, Hou Y, Shen J, Huang Y, Martin W, Cheng F. 2020 Network-based drug repurposing for novel coronavirus 2019-ncov/SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Discov.* 6, 1–18. (doi:10.1038/s41421-020-0153-3)
- 62. Yan VK *et al.* 2021 Drug repurposing for the treatment of COVID-19: a knowledge graph approach. *Adv. Ther.* **4**, 2100055. (doi:10.1002/adtp.202100055)
- Pham TH, Qiu Y, Zeng J, Xie L, Zhang P. 2021 A deep learning framework for high-throughput mechanism-driven phenotype compound screening and its application to COVID-19 drug repurposing. *Nat. Mach. Intell.* 3, 247–257. (doi:10.1038/s42256-020-00285-9)
- 64. Wang LL *et al.* 2020 Cord-19: the covid-19 open research dataset. In *Proc. 1st Workshop on NLP for COVID-19 at ACL 2020.*
- 65. Wang Q et al. 2021 Covid-19 literature knowledge graph construction and drug repurposing report generation. In *Proc. 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies: Demonstrations.*
- Reddy RG, Iyer B, Sultan MA, Zhang R, Sil A, Castelli V, Florian R, Roukos S. 2020 Endto-end QA on Covid-19: domain adaptation with synthetic training. (http://arxiv.org/abs/ 2012.01414)
- 67. Tang R, Nogueira R, Zhang E, Gupta N, Cam P, Cho K, Lin J. 2020 Rapidly bootstrapping a question answering dataset for Covid-19. (http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.11339)
- 68. Lee J, Yi SS, Jeong M, Sung M, Yoon W, Choi Y, Ko M, Kang J. 2020 Answering questions on Covid-19 in real-time. In *Proc. 1st Workshop on NLP for COVID-19 (Part 2) at EMNLP 2020.*

- 69. Chen C, Ebeid IA, Bu Y, Ding Y Coronavirus knowledge graph. a case study. In Int. Workshop on Knowledge Graph, co-located with Twenty-Sixth ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (ACM KDD 2020).
- Zhang E, Gupta N, Nogueira R, Cho K, Lin J. 2020 Rapidly deploying a neural search engine for the Covid-19 open research dataset. In *Proc. 1st Workshop on NLP for COVID-19 at ACL* 2020.
- Voorhees E, Alam T, Bedrick S, Demner-Fushman D, Hersh WR, Lo K, Roberts K, Soboroff I, Wang LL. 2021 Trec-covid: constructing a pandemic information retrieval test collection. In ACM SIGIR Forum, vol. 54, pp. 1–12. New York, NY: ACM.
- 72. Su D, Xu Y, Yu T, Siddique FB, Barezi EJ, Fung P. 2020 Caire-covid: a question answering and query-focused multi-document summarization system for Covid-19 scholarly information management.
- 73. Dernoncourt F, Lee JY. 2017 PubMed 200k RCT: a dataset for sequential sentence classification in medical abstracts. In *Proc. Eighth Int. Joint Conf. on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pp. 308–313. Taipei, Taiwan: Asian Federation of Natural Language Processing.
- Bento AI, Nguyen T, Wing C, Lozano-Rojas F, Ahn YY, Simon K. 2020 Evidence from internet search data shows information-seeking responses to news of local Covid-19 cases. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 117, 11 220–11 222. (doi:10.1073/pnas.2005335117)
- Effenberger M, Kronbichler A, Shin JI, Mayer G, Tilg H, Perco P. 2020 Association of the Covid-19 pandemic with internet search volumes: a google trendstm analysis. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 95, 192–197. (doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.04.033)
- Li C, Chen LJ, Chen X, Zhang M, Pang CP, Chen H. 2020 Retrospective analysis of the possibility of predicting the Covid-19 outbreak from internet searches and social media data, China, 2020. *Eurosurveillance* 25, 2000199. (doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.10.2000199)
- 77. Li L, Zhang Q, Wang X, Zhang J, Wang T, Gao TL, Duan W, Tsoi KKf, Wang FY. 2020 Characterizing the propagation of situational information in social media during Covid-19 epidemic: a case study on weibo. *IEEE Trans. Comput. Soc. Syst.* 7, 556–562. (doi:10.1109/TCSS.2020.2980007)
- Cuan-Baltazar JY, Muñoz-Perez MJ, Robledo-Vega C, Pérez-Zepeda MF, Soto-Vega E. 2020 Misinformation of Covid-19 on the internet: infodemiology study. *JMIR Public Health Surveillance* 6, e18444. (doi:10.2196/18444)
- Roozenbeek J, Schneider CR, Dryhurst S, Kerr J, Freeman AL, Recchia G, Van Der Bles AM, Van Der Linden S. 2020 Susceptibility to misinformation about Covid-19 around the world. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 7, 201199. (doi:10.1098/rsos.201199)
- 80. Brennen JS, Simon F, Howard PN, Nielsen RK. 2020 Types, sources, and claims of Covid-19 misinformation. *Reuters Institute* 7, 3–1.
- 81. Rasmussen AL. 2021 On the origins of SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Med.* 27, 9–9. (doi:10.1038/s41591-020-01205-5)
- 82. WHO. 2021 Who-convened global study of origins of Sars-Cov-2: China part. *World Health Organization.*
- Tuite AR, Fisman DN. 2020 Reporting, epidemic growth, and reproduction numbers for the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-ncov) epidemic. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 172, 567–568. (doi:10.7326/M20-0358)
- 84. Cebrian M. 2021 The past, present and future of digital contact tracing. *Nat. Electron.* **4**, 2–4. (doi:10.1038/s41928-020-00535-z)
- Huang Y, Sun M, Sui Y. 2020 How digital contact tracing slowed Covid-19 in east Asia. *Harv. Bus Rev.* 15, 15 April. (https://hbr.org/2020/04/how-digital-contact-tracing-slowed-covid-19-in-east-asia)
- Colizza V *et al.* 2021 Time to evaluate Covid-19 contact-tracing apps. *Nat. Med.* 27, 361–362. (doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01236-6)
- Shi F, Wang J, Shi J, Wu Z, Wang Q, Tang Z, He K, Shi Y, Shen D. 2020 Review of artificial intelligence techniques in imaging data acquisition, segmentation and diagnosis for Covid-19. *IEEE Rev. Biomed. Eng.* 14, 4–15. (doi:10.1109/RBME.2020.2987975)
- Pfefferbaum B, North CS. 2020 Mental health and the Covid-19 pandemic. N. Engl. J. Med. 383, 510–512. (doi:10.1056/NEJMp2008017)

20

- 89. Kola L *et al.* 2021 Covid-19 mental health impact and responses in low-income and middle-income countries: reimagining global mental health. *Lancet Psychiatry* **8**, 535–550. (doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00025-0)
- 90. Moutier C. 2021 Suicide prevention in the covid-19 era: transforming threat into opportunity. *JAMA Psychiatry* **78**, 433–438. (doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.3746)
- 91. Zhou Y, Wang F, Tang J, Nussinov R, Cheng F. 2020 Artificial intelligence in Covid-19 drug repurposing. *Lancet Digit. Health* **2**, e667–e676. (doi:10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30192-8)
- Brownstein JS, Freifeld CC, Reis BY, Mandl KD. 2008 Surveillance sans frontieres: internetbased emerging infectious disease intelligence and the healthmap project. *PLoS Med.* 5, e151. (doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0050151)
- 93. Merchant RM, Elmer S, Lurie N. 2011 Integrating social media into emergency-preparedness efforts. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **365**, 289–291. (doi:10.1056/NEJMp1103591)
- 94. Ye Y, Zhang Q, Ruan Z, Cao Z, Xuan Q, Zeng DD. 2020 Effect of heterogeneous risk perception on information diffusion, behavior change, and disease transmission. *Phys. Rev. E* **102**, 042314. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.102.042314)
- 95. Hotez P. 2021 Covid-19 and the rise of anti-science. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* **20**, 227–229. (doi:10.1080/14760584.2021.1889799)
- 96. Prasad A. 2021 Anti-science misinformation and conspiracies: Covid–19, posttruth, and science & technology studies (STS). Sci. Technol. Soc. 09717218211003413. (doi:10.1177/09717218211003413)
- 97. Castro MC, Singer B. 2021 Prioritizing covid-19 vaccination by age. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **118**, e2103700118. (doi:10.1073/pnas.2103700118)
- Buckner JH, Chowell G, Springborn MR. 2021 Dynamic prioritization of Covid-19 vaccines when social distancing is limited for essential workers. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 118, e2025786118. (doi:10.1073/pnas.2025786118)
- 99. Murphy J *et al.* 2021 Psychological characteristics associated with Covid-19 vaccine hesitancy and resistance in Ireland and the United Kingdom. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1–15. (doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20314-w)
- 100. Judson TJ, Odisho AY, Young JJ, Bigazzi O, Steuer D, Gonzales R, Neinstein AB. 2020 Implementation of a digital chatbot to screen health system employees during the Covid-19 pandemic. J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc. 27, 1450–1455. (doi:10.1093/jamia/ocaa130)