




ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/cnsns](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/cnsns)

# Integrable NLS equation with time-dependent nonlinear coefficient and self-similar attractive BEC

R.A. Kraenkel<sup>a</sup>, K. Nakkeeran<sup>b,\*</sup>, K.W. Chow<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, R. Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz 271, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> School of Engineering, Fraser Noble Building, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 12 December 2009

Received in revised form 9 March 2010

Accepted 10 March 2010

Available online xxxx

## Keywords:

Nonlinear Schrödinger equation

Bose–Einstein condensate (BEC)

Soliton

Hirota bilinear method

## ABSTRACT

We investigate the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a time-dependent nonlinear coefficient. By means of Painlevé analysis we establish the integrability for a particular form of the nonlinear coefficient. The corresponding soliton solution is shown to be of the self-similar kind. We discuss the implications of the result to the dynamics of attractive Bose–Einstein condensates under Feshbach-managed nonlinearity and explore the possibility of a managed self-similar evolution in 1D condensates.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$i\psi_t - \frac{\beta}{2}\psi_{xx} + \gamma|\psi|^2\psi = 0, \quad (1)$$

is one of the ubiquitous equations in nonlinear dynamics of spatially extended systems. It is a (1+1)-dimensional completely integrable equation finding applications in optics, hydrodynamics, condensed matter physics, to cite a few, [1]. Its wide applicability comes from the fact that it is a normal form in perturbative expansions connected to wave modulation [2]. Integrability grants the existence of particularly important solutions, the solitons, which dominate the long-time behavior of the system. Depending on the sign of the product  $\gamma\beta$ , the NLS equation has different kinds of solitonic solutions: if  $\gamma\beta < 0$  it has localized, bright soliton, solutions and if  $\gamma\beta > 0$  it has the so-called dark soliton solutions.

In recent years, a new area of applicability for the NLS equation has appeared. The dynamics of a single-species Bose–Einstein condensate (BEC) is indeed governed in the mean-field limit by the Gross–Pitaevskii equation (GP), [3], which has many similarities to NLS equation. GP is a (3+1)-dimensional equation of the NLS kind, supplemented with an extra term, describing the trapping potential that sustains the condensate. In GP the second derivative becomes a Laplacian. The coefficient  $\beta$  is in this case  $\beta = -\frac{\hbar}{m}$ , where  $m$  is the mass of the bosons. The parameter  $\gamma$ , is the scattering length and is connected to the self-interaction of the condensate. It can be both positive (attractive condensate) or negative (repulsive condensate). In the most frequent case, the potential is a single-well, harmonic, one.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [k.nakkeeran@bdn.ac.uk](mailto:k.nakkeeran@bdn.ac.uk) (K. Nakkeeran).

BECs are very manageable objects in laboratory, allowing for manipulations of their form and interactions. It is thus possible to obtain effectively lower dimensional condensates by using an anisotropic trapping that strongly constrains the dynamics in one or two spatial directions. For instance, we will be interested here in one-dimensional condensates, that is, condensates have their dynamics frozen in two directions. It is possible to show that such condensates are governed by a one-dimensional GP equation [4], with a rescaled scattering length. Furthermore, if we consider attractive condensates in one dimension, the dynamics in the “unfrozen” direction can be considered as free – not subject to the action of the potential – as long as we have the characteristic radius of the condensate smaller than the linear dimension of the trap. Summing up, an attractive BEC in a one-dimensional configuration will be described by the usual NLS equation. The expected solitons have been observed experimentally [5].

Part of the manageability of the BEC system is translated into the fact that  $\gamma$  can be tuned. The presence of spatially homogeneous magnetic fields acting upon the condensate modifies the interaction between atoms and affects  $\gamma$ . Besides,  $\gamma$  can be made time-dependent and can be used to manage the condensate, the so-called, Feshbach-resonance management [6]. This opens the path analogies with the dispersion managed systems of fiber optics [7]. Many interesting effects have been found in this respect, not only in (1+1)-dimensional case, as for example the stabilization of two-dimensional solitons [8] and resonances [9].

Having thus the freedom to consider  $\gamma$  as a function of time a natural question arises. Given that Eq. (1) is integrable, are there other integrable cases when we consider a time-dependent  $\gamma(t)$ . For the case of optical fibers, where  $\beta$ , instead of  $\gamma$  is variable, it is known that an integrable case exists, with an exponentially decaying  $\beta$ , [10,11]. This case has been also studied in [12], and further generalized in [13] and [14]. Furthermore, many previous studies have also addressed similar situations, seeking transformations from non-autonomous to autonomous equations [15,16]. The same order of ideas has been implemented to study BEC in expulsive potentials [17–19].

In this paper we will show that the NLS equation with a time-dependent  $\gamma$  admits a further integrable case which is decaying with  $t^{-1}$ . We will apply the method of Painlevé analysis [20,21], which gives all possible integrable cases. We then will obtain explicit solutions and discuss their properties. In particular, we will show the possibility of existence Feshbach-resonance managed expanding self-similar solutions of an attractive condensate.

## 2. Painlevé analysis

Consider a variable coefficient NLS equation in the form:

$$i\psi_t - \frac{\beta}{2}\psi_{xx} + \gamma(t)|\psi|^2\psi = 0. \quad (2)$$

We now apply the well established Painlevé analysis to Eq. (2) to derive the parametric condition on  $\gamma(t)$  for which the NLS Eq. (2) is completely integrable [21]. Similar procedure for trapped BEC have been considered in [22,23]. To proceed further with the Painlevé analysis, we introduce a new set of variables  $a(=\psi)$  and  $b(=\psi^*)$ . By Eq. (2),  $a$  and  $b$  can be written as

$$ia_t - \frac{\beta}{2}a_{xx} + \gamma(t)a^2b = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$-ib_t - \frac{\beta}{2}b_{xx} + \gamma(t)b^2a = 0. \quad (4)$$

Next, expand  $a$  and  $b$  in generalized Laurent series as:

$$a = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r \varphi^{r+\mu}, \quad b = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} b_r \varphi^{r+\delta}, \quad (5)$$

with  $a_0, b_0 \neq 0$ , where  $\mu$  and  $\delta$  are negative integers,  $a_r$  and  $b_r$  are sets of expansion coefficients which are analytic in the neighborhood of the non-characteristic singular manifold  $\varphi(x, t) = 0$ . Standard Painlevé analysis consists of looking at the leading order, when  $a \approx a_0 \varphi^\mu$  and  $b \approx b_0 \varphi^\delta$  are substituted in Eq. (3). Upon balancing dominant terms, the following results are obtained:

$$\mu = \delta = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_0 b_0 = \varphi_x^2 \beta / \gamma(t). \quad (6)$$

Substituting the full Laurent series (5) in Eq. (3) and considering the leading order terms, the resonances are found to be  $r = -1, 0, 3, 4$ . The resonance at  $r = -1$  represents the arbitrariness of the singularity manifold and  $r = 0$  corresponds to the fact that either  $a_0$  or  $b_0$  is arbitrary. Collecting and balancing the coefficients of the different powers of  $\varphi$  show that a sufficient number of arbitrary functions exists only for the following parametric condition on  $\gamma(t)$ :

$$\frac{d^2\gamma(t)}{dt^2}\gamma(t) - 2\left[\frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt}\right]^2 = 0. \quad (7)$$

On solving this equation, we have:

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{\gamma_0}{t + t_0}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\gamma_0$  and  $t_0$  are integration constants.

Thus the Painlevé analysis implies that the nonlinear coefficient must vary in the manner given by Eq. (8) for the system Eq. (2) to be completely integrable. Thus the integrable form of Eq. (2) can be written as

$$i\psi_t - \frac{\beta}{2}\psi_{xx} + \frac{\gamma_0}{t+t_0}|\psi|^2\psi = 0. \quad (9)$$

### 3. Soliton solutions and transformations

We would now like to point out a conjecture regarding the resonance values derived in the Painlevé analysis. The resonances  $r = -1, 0, 3, 4$  obtained here for the variable coefficient NLS Eq. (2) are the same as those for the constant coefficient NLS equation. Past experience has shown that such coincidences usually imply that the newly derived integrable nonlinear evolution equation could be connected to existing systems of equations. This is in fact true and there is a connection between the variable coefficient NLS Eq. (9) and the conventional NLS equation. We consider the following dependent variable scaling

$$\psi = \sqrt{2(t+t_0)}\psi', \quad (10)$$

which maps the variable coefficient NLS Eq. (9) into the following variable coefficient NLS equation (with  $\beta = -2$ , for convenience):

$$i\psi'_t + \psi'_{xx} + 2\gamma_0|\psi'|^2\psi' + \frac{i}{2(t+t_0)}\psi' = 0. \quad (11)$$

This variable coefficient NLS Eq. (11) has been analyzed for its integrability through Painlevé analysis and possesses a transformation connecting it to constant coefficient NLS equation [24]. Thus the above mentioned conjecture about the resonance values of the Painlevé analysis holds good as there is a connection between the integrable nonlinearity varying NLS Eq. (9) and the conventional constant coefficient NLS equation.

Using standard mathematical techniques the 1-soliton solution of the variable coefficient NLS Eq. (11) can be derived as

$$\psi'(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma_0 t + t_0}} \frac{\zeta}{t + t_0} \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\zeta x}{t + t_0}\right) \exp\left[\frac{i}{t + t_0}(x^2/4 - 2\zeta^2 t)\right], \quad (12)$$

where  $\zeta$  is an arbitrary constant.

#### 3.1. The Hirota bilinear transform for 1- and 2-soliton solutions

The 1- and 2-soliton expressions can also be derived by the Hirota bilinear transformation, a method proven to be effective over the years in handling multi-soliton for nonlinear evolution equations (NEEs). To simplify the presentation, we consider

$$i\psi'_t + \psi'_{xx} + 2\gamma_0|\psi'|^2\psi' + \frac{i\sigma\psi'}{t} = 0, \quad (13)$$

where we have set  $t_0 = 0$  as the time origin is immaterial. Remarkably, the bilinear map will dictate the constant  $\sigma$  to be  $1/2$ , consistent with earlier analysis. Recently, a modified bilinear method has been proposed to treat NEEs with variable coefficients. The main ideas are (a) to separate an appropriate chirp factor and (b) to employ time- or space-dependent wave numbers [25–27].

Our goal is to demonstrate that a similar algorithm will also succeed in the present case. To begin, one starts with transformation

$$\psi' = \exp\left[\frac{iB(x)}{t}\right] \frac{u}{t}. \quad (14)$$

A remark on the present choice of chirp factor is in order. For the GP/NLS equations with a quadratic potential in the spatial coordinate  $x$ , a quadratic factor in  $x$  with modulations in time  $t$  form the successful combination [25–27]. In the present case with a reciprocal in  $t$  being the inhomogeneous term in (13), it is plausible to seek this same format in  $t$  modified by a suitable phase factor in  $x$ .

The standard bilinear transform for envelope type equations, namely,

$$u = \frac{g}{f}, \quad f \text{ real}, \quad (15)$$

is now implemented and the resulting, decoupled bilinear equations are ( $D$  is the usual Hirota differentiation operator [28])

$$\left[ iD_t + D_x^2 + \frac{2iB_x}{t}D_x + \frac{B}{t^2} - \frac{i}{t} + \frac{iB_{xx}}{t} - \frac{B_x^2}{t^2} + \frac{i\sigma}{t} \right] g \cdot f = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$D_x^2 f \cdot f = \frac{2\gamma_0 g g^*}{t^2}. \quad (17)$$

To achieve a 1-soliton, an expansion with time-dependent wave number is sought:

$$g = \exp[xh_1 + h_0], \quad h_1 = h_1(t), \quad h_0 = h_0(t), \quad (18)$$

$$f = 1 + m_{11} \exp[x(h_1 + h_1^*) + h_0 + h_0^*]. \quad (19)$$

The entity  $m_{11}$  may be a function of  $t$  in general, even though we shall prove shortly that it will be a constant in the present situation. Eq. (17) now immediately yields

$$2m_{11}(h_1 + h_1^*)^2 = \frac{2\gamma_0}{t^2}. \quad (20)$$

The coefficient of  $\exp(xh_1 + h_0)$  in (16) gives

$$i(xh_1' + h_0') + h_1^2 + \frac{2iB_x h_1}{t} + \frac{B}{t^2} - \frac{i}{t} + \frac{iB_{xx}}{t} - \frac{B_x^2}{t^2} + \frac{i\sigma}{t} = 0. \quad (21)$$

Both the real and imaginary parts of this expression must of course vanish independently. The real part is

$$h_1^2 + \frac{B}{t^2} - \frac{B_x^2}{t^2} = 0,$$

$$\text{or } h_1^2 t^2 = B_x^2 - B = \text{constant} = r^2. \quad (22)$$

Elementary separation of variables arguments imply now both sides of (22) must be constant. Furthermore,  $h_1$  is real (as  $B$  is real), and this separation constant is positive ( $r^2$ ). The differential expression governing  $B$  is

$$\frac{dB}{dX} = \sqrt{B + r^2}. \quad (23)$$

This separable equation gives

$$B = \frac{x^2}{4} - r^2 \quad (24)$$

with

$$h_1 = \frac{r}{t}, \quad (25)$$

we deduce from expression (20) that  $m_{11}$  is not a function of  $t$ ,

$$m_{11} = \frac{\gamma_0}{4r^2}. \quad (26)$$

The imaginary part of (21) now generates

$$h_0' = -\frac{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})}{t}. \quad (27)$$

The other term in the bilinear Eq. (16) now gives

$$h_0' = \frac{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})}{t}. \quad (28)$$

Eqs. (27) and (28) now force us to conclude that  $\sigma$  must be  $1/2$ , and  $h_0$  must be zero (or a constant that can be easily scaled out). This formulation can be readily shown to reduce to the 1-soliton solution (12). Extension to 2-soliton from (18) and (19) is straightforward.

With a minor modification, the appropriate expansions for a 2-soliton wave profile are then

$$\psi' = \exp\left(\frac{ix^2}{4t}\right) \frac{g_2}{f_2}, \quad (29)$$

$$g_2 = \exp(\phi) + \exp(\chi) + n_1 \exp(\phi + \chi + \chi^*) + n_2 \exp(\chi + \phi + \phi^*),$$

$$f_2 = 1 + m_{11} \exp(\phi + \phi^*) + m_{12} \exp(\phi + \chi^*) + m_{21} \exp(\chi + \phi^*) \\ + m_{22} \exp(\chi + \chi^*) + M \exp(\phi + \phi^* + \chi + \chi^*),$$

where

$$\phi = \frac{rx}{t} - \frac{ir^2}{t}, \quad \chi = \frac{Rx}{t} - \frac{iR^2}{t}, \quad (30)$$

with  $r, R$  being real constants. The parameters  $m_{ij}$ ,  $n_i$ , and  $M$  can be computed in manners very similar to earlier Refs. [28]:

$$m_{11} = \frac{\gamma_0}{4r^2}, \quad m_{22} = \frac{\gamma_0}{4R^2}, \quad m_{12} = m_{21} = \frac{\gamma_0}{(r+R)^2}, \quad (31)$$

$$n_1 = \frac{\gamma_0(R-r)^2}{4R^2(r+R)^2}, \quad n_2 = \frac{\gamma_0(R-r)^2}{4r^2(r+R)^2}, \quad M = \frac{\gamma_0^2(r-R)^4}{16r^2R^2(r+R)^4}. \quad (32)$$

### 3.2. Gauge transformation

For completeness in the following we present the gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(x, t) &= \left( \frac{t_0 - T}{t_0} \right) Q(X, T) \exp \left[ \frac{iX^2}{4(t_0 - T)} \right], \\ t &= \left( \frac{t_0}{t_0 - T} \right) T, \\ x &= \left( \frac{t_0}{t_0 - T} \right) X, \end{aligned}$$


which connects the variable coefficient NLS Eq. (11) to the following constant coefficient NLS equation

$$iQ_T + Q_{XX} + 2\gamma_0|Q|^2Q = 0. \quad (33)$$

### 4. Numerical simulations

Given the situation described above, the possibility arises of having two Feshbach-managed attractive BECs expanding and interfering. The 2-soliton solution is however much more general than this. Therefore to explore the possibility of merging two BECs in the conditions described, we numerically integrate the equations with the adequate initial conditions.

Fig. 1 shows the evolution of  $|\psi|^2$  for two single solitons initially separated by a distance of  $x = 350$  and the other parameters  $\gamma_0 = 1$ ,  $\zeta = 10$  and  $t_0 = 500$ . Dashed curves represent the initial solitons and solid curves represent the solitons after evolution. The solid curve in Fig. 1 (a1) corresponds to the solitons evolved after  $t = 125$ . Whereas the solid curve in Fig. 1 (b1) corresponds to the solitons evolved after  $t = 250$ . For more insight in Fig. 1 (a2) and (b2) we have zoomed in the interacting tail part of the solitons corresponding to Fig. 1 (a1) and (b1), respectively. As is clear from the above plots,



**Fig. 1.** Plots showing the evolution of two single solitons with  $\gamma_0 = 1$ ,  $\zeta = 10$  and  $t_0 = 500$ . Dashed curves represent the initial solitons and solid curves represent the solitons after evolution of  $t = 125$  (a1, a2) and  $t = 250$  (b1, b2).

the coherent expansion of two BEC under the control strategy outlined in the previous sections leads to an interference pattern in the overlapping region.

## 5. Conclusions

The solution given by Eq. (12) implies a self-similar dynamics for the condensate density. Indeed, if we plug it into Eq. (10) and calculate the modulus squared of  $\psi$  we will get the following function:

$$|\psi|^2 = \frac{4\zeta^2}{\gamma_0(t+t_0)} \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}\zeta x}{t+t_0}\right), \quad (34)$$

which has the form

$$|\psi|^2 = \frac{1}{t+t_0} F(x/(t+t_0)). \quad (35)$$

This represents an expanding condensate with typical width  $\zeta \sim t+t_0$ . Therefore, if we associate an expansion front to the condensate, it progresses with constant speed. The amplitude drops with  $t^{-1}$  and the evolution maintains the shape of the BEC.

We have thus an unusual case of an attractive condensate that expands under Feshbach-resonance control. Expansion is possible because the scattering length drops with time, allowing for larger condensates. The self-similarity of the solution is, however, the effect of the precise time-dependence of the scattering length with time. If, for instance, we had a different time-behavior of the scattering length we could still have an expanding condensate but not obeying a shape preserving evolution as the one found in the above results.

Self-similar evolution of BEC has been studied before [29] in different settings. In general, expansion is the effect of repulsion and self-similar evolution can occur for small or large condensate limits [30]. On one hand, self-similar evolution is also connected to collapsing attractive condensates [31]. In the present case, however, we would like to point out the possibility of a managed self-similar evolution, arising from the interplay of controlled nonlinearity and dispersion. Furthermore, we have shown that a self-similar merging of two BEC is possible, leading to interference.

We should mention, as a final note, that the  $1/t$  dependence of the scattering length is one of the natural realizations. Indeed, we recall that the dependence of the scattering length on the applied magnetic field is, quite generically, of the form  $\gamma \sim 1 - \Delta/(B - B_0)$ , [32], where  $\Delta$  is the resonance width,  $B$  the magnetic field and  $B_0$  the position of the resonance. Thus, sufficiently close to the resonance, a magnetic field linearly dependent on time,  $B \sim t$ , will implement our proposal of considering a  $t^{-1}$  dependence of the scattering length. Our model will remain valid until the magnetic field (scattering length) deviates from the linear time (reciprocal of time) dependence respectively. When such deviations occur, phenomena not considered here might arise but are beyond the scope of the present theory.

## Acknowledgments

R.A.K. thanks CNPq (Brazil) for partial financial support. The authors wish to thank The Royal Society for their support in the form of an International Joint Project Grant. Partial financial support has been provided by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China to K.W.C. through contracts HKU 7120/08E and HKU 7118/07E.

## References

- [1] Dauxois T, Peyrard M. Physics of solitons. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.
- [2] Calogero F. Why are certain nonlinear PDEs both widely applicable and integrable? In: Zakharov VE, editor. What is integrability? Berlin: Springer; 1990. p. 1–62.
- [3] Dalfovo F, Giorgini S, Pitaevskii L, Stringari S. Theory of Bose–Einstein condensation in trapped gases. Rev Mod Phys 1999;71(3):463–512.
- [4] Jackson AD, Kavoulakis GM, Pethick CJ. Solitary waves in clouds of Bose–Einstein condensed atoms. Phys Rev A 1998;58(3):2417–22.
- [5] Khaykovich L et al. Formation of a matter-wave bright soliton. Science 2002;296(5571):1290–3.
- [6] Kevrekidis PG, Theocharis G, Frantzeskakis DJ, Malomed BA. Feshbach resonance management for Bose–Einstein condensates. Phys Rev Lett 2003;90(23) [article no. 230401].
- [7] Malomed BA. Soliton management in periodic systems. Berlin: Springer; 2005.
- [8] Abdullaev FK, Caputo JG, Kraenkel RA, Malomed BA. Controlling collapse in Bose–Einstein condensates by temporal modulation of the scattering length. Phys Rev A 2003;67(1) [article no. 013605].
- [9] Abdullaev FK, Galimzyanov RM, Brtko M, Kraenkel RA. Resonances in a trapped 3D Bose–Einstein condensate under periodically varying atomic scattering length. J Phys B Atom Molec Opt 2004;37(17):3535–50.
- [10] Mostofi A, Hatami-Hatza H, Chu PL. Optimum dispersion profile for compression of fundamental solitons in dispersion decreasing fibers. IEEE J Quant Electr 1997;33(4):620–8.
- [11] Essiambre RJ, Agrawal GP. Timing jitter analysis for optical communication systems using ultrashort solitons and dispersion-decreasing fibers. Optics Commun 1996;131(4–6):274–8.
- [12] Yang GY, Hao RY, Li L, Li ZH, Zhou GS. Cascade compression induced by nonlinear barriers in propagation of optical solitons. Optics Commun 2006;260(1):282–7.
- [13] Serkin VN, Hasegawa A. Novel soliton solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation model. Phys Rev Lett 2000;85(21):4502–5.
- [14] Hao RY, Li L, Li ZH, Xue WR, Zhou GS. A new approach to exact soliton solutions and soliton interaction for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with variable coefficients. Optics Commun 2004;236(1–3):79–86.

- [15] Perez-Garcia VM, Torres PJ, Konotop VV. Similarity transformations for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with time-dependent coefficients. *Physica D* 2006;221:31–6.
- [16] Perez-Garcia VM, Torres PJ, Montesinos GD. The method of moments for nonlinear Schrödinger equation: theory and applications. *SIAM J Appl Math* 2007;67(4):990–1015.
- [17] Xue KJ. Controllable compression of bright soliton matter waves. *J Phys B At Mol Opt Phys* 2005;38:3841–8.
- [18] Liang ZX, Zhang ZD, Liu WM. Dynamics of a bright soliton in Bose–Einstein condensates with time-dependent atomic scattering length in an expulsive parabolic potential. *Phys Rev Lett* 2005;94:050402.
- [19] Li B et al. Solitons in Bose–Einstein condensates with time-dependent atomic scattering length in an expulsive parabolic and complex potential. *Phys Rev A* 2008;78:023608.
- [20] Weiss J, Tabor M, Carnevale G. The Painlevé property for partial differential equations. *J Math Phys* 1983;24(3):522–6.
- [21] Ablowitz MJ, Clarkson PA. Solitons, nonlinear evolution equations, and inverse scattering. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991.
- [22] Zhao D, Luo HG, Chai HY. Integrability of the Gross–Pitaevskii equation with Feshbach resonance management. *Phys Lett A* 2008;372:5644–50.
- [23] Zhao D, He XG, Luo HG. Transformation from the nonautonomous to standard NLS equations. *Eur Phys J D* 2009;53:213–6.
- [24] Clarkson PA. Painlevé analysis of the damped, driven nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Proc Royal Soc Edinburgh* 1988;109A:109–26.
- [25] Mak CC, Chow KW, Nakkeeran K. Soliton pulse propagation in averaged dispersion-managed optical fiber system. *J Phys Soc Jpn* 2005;74(5):1449–56.
- [26] Chow KW, Malomed BA, Xiong B, Liu WM. Singular nonlinearity management for matter-wave solitons in normal and inverted parabolic potentials. *J Phys Soc Jpn* 2006;75(11) [article no. 114004].
- [27] Chow KW, Yip LP, Grimshaw R. Novel solitary pulses for a variable-coefficient derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J Phys Soc Jpn* 2007;76(7) [article no. 074004].
- [28] Hirota R. Exact N-soliton solutions of wave-equation of long-waves in shallow-water and of nonlinear lattices. *J Math Phys* 1973;14(7):810–4.
- [29] Perez-Garcia VM. Self-similar solutions and collective coordinate methods for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Physica D* 2004;191(3–4):211–8.
- [30] Timmermans E, Côté R, Simbotin I. Self-similar BEC dynamics: accuracy and applications. *J Phys B Atom Mol Opt Phys* 2000;33(19):4157–76.
- [31] Berge L, Rasmussen JJ. Collapsing dynamics of attractive Bose–Einstein condensates. *Phys Lett A* 2002;304(5–6):136–42.
- [32] Moerdijk A, Verhaar BJ, Axelsson A. Resonances in ultracold collisions of  $\text{Li}^6$ ,  $\text{Li}^7$  and  $\text{Na}^{23}$ . *Phys Rev A* 1995;51(6):4852–61.