



The clinical significance of medicines reconciliation in children admitted to hospital

Huynh Chi¹, Terry David², Tomlin Stephen^{1,3}, Jani Yogini¹, Haley Helen⁴, Smith Rachel⁵, Lowey Andrew⁵, Sinclair Anthony², Wilson Keith², Wong Ian Chi Kei^{1,6}

Centre for Paediatric Pharmacy Research, University College London, School of Pharmacy, London¹; Birmingham Children's Hospital, Birmingham²

Evelina Children's Hospital, Guy's and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust London,3;

University hospital of North Staffordshire,4

Leed's teaching Hospital NHS trust,5

Dept of Pharmacology & Pharmacy, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, University of Hong Kong, China⁶

Outline

- Background
- Aims and Objectives
- Method Study design, Data collection and clinical assessment
- Results demographics, data, clinical assessment
- Limitations
- Conclusions
- Future work
- Key messages

Conflicts of interest statement

 Funding received from the Neonatal and Paediatric Pharmacist Group (NPPG)

 Chi Huynh's PhD is joint funded by the UCL School of Pharmacy and Guy's and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust

Background

- According to the NICE guidance, children under the age of 16 are excluded from the national guidance on medicines reconciliation upon hospital admission.¹
- A study, suggested that potential adverse drug reactions are not uncommon in children and may be 3 times more common in paediatrics compared to adults.²
- Preliminary work showed that the absence of medicines reconciliation on admission to hospital for children increases their exposure to risk from discrepancies.³

Aims and objectives \(\sqrt{\cdot} \)



Primary

 Use medicines reconciliation to identify if discrepancies occur upon hospital admission across four hospitals

Secondary

 Clinically assess for potential harm to discrepancies that were identified

Population targeted

 Paediatrics (aged 0 – 18 years) on long term medication.

Method – Study Design

- Prospective observational study across 4 NHS hospitals in Birmingham, London, Leeds and North Staffordshire.
- Registered with R&D office, NHS ethical approval not required

Setting

Paediatric wards for 2 sites/Paediatric hospital for the other sites

Inclusion criteria

- Patients aged 0 18 years old on long term medication
- Patients admitted into hospital via A&E and home

Exclusion criteria

- Patients transferred from other hospitals
- Patients transferred from the same ward
- Patients on PICU

Sample size

 240 patients consecutively admitted to the hospital ward during the study period January – May 2011 (Approximately 60 per site)

Method - Data Collection



- Data was collected by pharmacists across the 4 sites all pharmacists received training
- Standardised paper data collection forms were used to collect information from the following: -
 - Caregiver interview
 - GP (via telephone or fax)
 - Patient Own Drugs
 - Drug chart (Admission medication orders)
- Medication name, Dose, Directions were recorded for each source of information
- The pharmacists would make their own list of what the patient's recommended therapy would be based on the information found.

Method – Data collection (2)

- Data from all sites were transferred onto an excel spreadsheet and combined
- Discrepancies between the GP record and Drug chart at admission were identified and marked as intentional or unintentional after discussion with prescriber
- An expert panel screened through the unintentional discrepancies

Method – Clinical Assessment

- Panel of 5 Healthcare professionals met together and were presented with each unintended discrepancy which was discussed.
- A score would be agreed by discussion until a consensus was met. Judges were not given the opportunity to record their own scores
- Scores were given based on the likelihood of causing potential discomfort or clinical deterioration: -
 - Class 1 Unlikely
 - Class 2 Moderate
 - Class 3 Severe
- Scoring had been used in adult studies⁴ and also adopted by a Canadian paediatric study⁵

Results (Demographics)

- Over the 5 month data collection period 244 patients were seen and 1004 medication regimens were identified.
 - (60 patients seen in Birmingham/Leeds, 61 at North Staffordshire, 63 in London)
- Age range 1 month 16 years of age (median 5 years, interquartile range 1.5 years to 11 years)
- Majority of patients from General Paediatric medicine

Results (Data)

- 1004 medication regimens (n = 244) were identified
 - 588 Discrepancies were identified (n = 205 patients)
 - 316 of which were initially identified as unintentional (n = 135)
 - 209 were true unintentional discrepancies (n109 patients)

Results – Clinical Assessment

- A panel of 5 healthcare professionals (2 registrars, 1 nurse, 2 senior pharmacists) discussed the 209 discrepancies
- 189 were classifiable.

189 were classified (100 patients)

- Class 1 discrepancies (unlikely) = 57 (30%) 40 patients (40%)
- Class 2 discrepancies (moderate) = 89 (47%) 62 patients (62%)
- Class 3 discrepancies (Severe) = **43 (23%)** 28 patients (28%)
- *20 unintended discrepancies (18 patients) were cases where the deviation from the GP record would have been the right thing to do.

Limitations

- The method of comparing the GP and Drug Chart did not consider the scenario where deviating would have been beneficial
- The clinical assessment method assessed the discrepancy per medication basis
- The research captured what was on the GPs record but did not look into adherence.

Conclusions

- Medicines reconciliation used has identified that medication discrepancies do occur when a child is admitted to hospital
- The unintended discrepancies have been found to be potentially harmful if unresolved in 70% of cases

Future work

 Development of a pharmacist led – medicines reconciliation intervention for children upon hospital admission

Exploring post hospital discharge medicines reconciliation in children

Key Messages

- Children who are admitted to hospital who are on long term medication
 - Do experience medication discrepancies at this point of transition which have a clinical consequence if not rectified
 - Medicines reconciliation is required in this group of patients in order to resolve these discrepancies. This may not be as straightforward as contacting the GP

References

- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. National Patient Safety
 Agency. PSG001. Technical patient safety solutions for medicines reconciliation
 on admission of adults to hospital. London: NICE; 2007.
- 2. Kaushal R, Bates D, Landrigan C, et al 2001. Medication errors and adverse drug events in pediatric inpatients. JAMA 2001;285:2114-2120
- 3. Terry D, Solanki G, Sinclair A, Marriot J, Wilson K. Clinical significance of medication reconciliation in Children admitted to a UK paediatric hospital. Pediatric Drugs. 2010;12(5):331-337.
- 4. Cornish PL, Knowles SR, Marchesano R, et al. Unintended medication discrepancies at the time of hospital admission. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2005;165(4):424-30.
- 5. Coffey M, Mack L, Streitenberger K, et al. Prevalence and clinical significance of medication discrepancies at pediatric hospital admission. *Academic Pediatrics* 2009b; 9(5): 360-366.