

Assessing the role of ecotourism training in changing participants' pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours

| Journal: | Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research |
|------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID: | RAPT-2012-0192.R1 |
| Manuscript Type: | Full-length Article |
| Keywords: | Ecotourism training, pro-environmental knowledge, environmental responsible behaviours, environmental education, Hong Kong |
| | |



Assessing the role of ecotourism training in changing participants' pro-environmental knowledge, attitude and behaviour

Abstract

Ecotourism training is usually considered to be undertaken only by tourism practitioners for professional development. However, ecotourism training has a more important role to play, as it could be adopted as a long-term strategy for environmental conservation by altering people's attitudes and behaviours towards greater environmental responsibility. In this study, the role of ecotourism training with respect to pro-environmental knowledge enhancement as well as attitude and behavioural changes are investigated. A questionnaire survey was conducted on participants of an ecotourism training programme. Participants' environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours were evaluated using a pre- and post-test method. The results indicated that participants demonstrated a significant increase in environmental knowledge after the training. Moreover, participants' demographic characteristics such as age group, occupation and salary are significantly associated with the improvement in knowledge, change in pro-environmental attitudes and behaviours. Participants tend to adopt environmentally responsible attitudes and behaviours after completion of ecotourism training.

Keywords: Ecotourism training; pro-environmental knowledge; environmental responsible behaviours; environmental education; Hong Kong.

Introduction

Hong Kong is a popular tourism destination in Asia, and its image as a "shopping paradise" is deeply rooted in the minds of overseas tourists. The countryside of Hong Kong, however, has generally been ignored and forgotten. The recent liveability ranking by the Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) listed Hong Kong as the best city in the world (Economist Intelligence Unit, 2012). The coverage of Hong Kong's green space, natural and cultural assets have brought Hong Kong to the top of the list. Over 40% of Hong Kong's territory has been designated as protected areas, including 24 country parks, 22 special areas, 4 marine parks and a global geopark. These natural assets have not been widely used for ecotourism development. The proximity of the country parks to the urban areas is another advantage for developing Hong Kong's natural assets to become tourist hotspots. Unlike other urban areas in the world, the country parks of Hong Kong are close to the urban areas with extensive coverage of road networks to shorten the travel time between urban and rural areas. Such advantages could be used to further develop the ecotourism industry and prolong the stays of overseas visitors in Hong Kong.

The tourism industry is one of the major pillars of Hong Kong's economy. The total revenue derived from inbound tourism grew rapidly from HK61 billion in 2001 to HK210 billion in 2010. The number of visitors also grew remarkably from 13 million in 2000 to over 36 million in 2010, an average 17.6% growth year to year (Tourism Commission, 2011).

Ecotourism is believed to benefit from the growth of the tourism industry in Hong Kong (Marafa, 2005). The Hong Kong Tourist Association (HKTA) (now the Hong Kong Tourism Board) started promoting green tourism in the mid-1990s (Cheung, 2010). The programme successfully attracted overseas tourists to Hong Kong for bird watching, to conduct research on dragonflies and to study tropical ecosystem and conservation issues. Most of the participants were professionals in the field of environmental conservation. More travel agents are bringing tourist groups to enjoy nature-based or ecotourism activities in the countryside of Hong Kong. For instance, European and American groups have come to watch dolphins and birds, and Japanese and Taiwanese groups have come for hiking or other passive leisure activities, such as taking photos in a serene natural setting (Hopkinson & Stern, 2002).

Estimating the number of tourists currently participating in ecotourism activities is difficult due to a lack of comprehensive surveys or statistics. The Hong Kong Tourism Board's survey demonstrated that 24% of visitors are interested in ecotourism activities in Hong Kong

Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research

(HKTB, 2001). A study by Hopkinson and Stern (2002) estimated that further development of ecotourism in Hong Kong would generate and additional HK4.1 billion in revenue based on their estimation of 11% of tourists staying for an additional 1.9 days on average to participate in ecotourism activities. The revenue would be even higher if we also account for the recent increase of visitor numbers to Hong Kong.

Since the SARS episode, the people of Hong Kong became increasingly aware of the importance of conserving our natural environment and its contribution to the collective health of the community (Tsang, Yeung, & Cheung, 2011). Thousands of people shifted their interests from visiting shopping centres to visiting the country parks (Cheung & Jim, 2006), as they believed that they could get away from the densely populated city centre to minimise the opportunity of catching the fatal disease. They believed that salubrious outdoor activities in the countryside could improve their health and provide an alternative travel experience. The episode notably raised ecotourism patronage, highlighting the emerging need for commensurate services. The local tour operators realised the huge market potential of ecotourism and actively increased their organised tours to nature destinations in Hong Kong. The number of participants in each tour is usually high, but these tours contribute little to a better understanding nature. Fortunately, some operators are more serious and conscientious in organising ecotourism activities. These operators care about ecology, habitats, and species in their activities, and they maintain a deep respect for nature in their behaviours (AFCD, 2003).

Due to the rapid development of ecotourism since 2003, an urgent need has arisen for operators to train tour guides with sufficient ecological knowledge to organise ecotourism activities. Ecotourism operators and higher education institutions have begun to organise ecotour guide training courses for the public to absorb people who want a share of the recent ecotourism development. The popularity of ecotourism in Hong Kong has also raised the enthusiasm of people to participate in ecotourism training. Participants desire to enrich their knowledge of Hong Kong's natural environment while also searching for opportunities to join the emerging industry. Various ecotourism training programmes have been organised by the ecotourism operators or training organisations, one after another. The Hong Kong Ecotourism and Travels Professional Training Centre, one of the leading ecotourism training organisations in Hong Kong, offers a variety of training courses that are related to ecotourism, such as a Professional Diploma of Ecotourism, a Certificate of Marine Ecotourism, and training courses on the identification of birds, butterflies, and plants. Participants of some of these courses are eligible to apply to the Continuous Education Fund (CEF), which is a government subsidy for continuing education credits that local residents between 18 and 60 years of age can receive (Cheung & Jim, 2006).

The Hong Kong Government has also spared no effort to provide funding for human resource training in the field of ecotourism. Skill Upgrading Scheme (SUS) provided by the Education Bureau (EdB), together with the Vocational Training Council (VTC), have funded ecotourism courses to enhance the knowledge of local tourism practitioners on ecotourism and Hong Kong's natural environment. The SUS for ecotourism training was started in 2004 and subsidises 80% of the tuition for the participants. Local registered tour guides and persons who work in ecotourism-related companies are eligible to apply for the training courses.

In the first phase, which ended in 2006, 18 training courses were funded and organised through three different institutions and companies. In late 2006, more funding was granted to seek training organisations to develop 18 more training courses. Three training organisations, namely, the Hong Kong Ecotourism and Travels Professional Training Centre, the Hong Kong Travel & Tourism Training Centre Limited and the HKUST College of Lifelong Learning, have successfully been granted the right to organise the SUS ecotourism training in 2007.

These 37-hour training courses consist of a series of lectures and field trips (Table 1), and they provide elementary knowledge, such as basic ecological and geological knowledge, to the students to help promote environmental awareness. All trainers of the SUS ecotourism trainings have to be registered with the relevant governmental bodies to control the quality of

Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research

teaching taking place. Other quality control mechanisms have been adopted, including lesson observations, lecture note reviews and student evaluations to monitor the quality of the courses and ensure that the courses are being run smoothly. Only persons with at least a Master's degree in environmental science, geography or a related field of study and at least three years of experience in the ecotourism industry are eligible to be the instructors of these courses.

Different ecotourism training courses have been running in Hong Kong for the last 8 years. However, no research study has been conducted to investigate how successful these courses are. This study investigates the effectiveness of these training courses in regard to changing course participants' pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours.

Literature review

Effectiveness of environmental education programme

Ecotourism is often recognized as an effective tool in environmental conservation (Gossling, 1999; Twindale & Bourne, 2003). Enhancement of environmental knowledge and awareness could be improved through participation in ecotourism related activities (Hughes & Saunders, 2005). Studies of the effectiveness of environmental education programmes and interpretation activities are well documented worldwide (Farmer, Knapp, & Benton, 2007; Hughes & Saunders, 2005; Kuo, 2002; Madin & Fenton, 2004; Powell & Ham, 2008; Tubb, 2003). The current literature suggests that environmental education programmes aim to encourage pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours (Ballantyne & Packer, 2011; Hsu, 2004; Stern, Powell, & Ardoin, 2008). Farmer et al. (2007) stated that an environmental education programme could enhance an individual's pro-environmental behaviours. Participants of such programme should first gain an understanding of their connection to the natural world. Next, they should be able to synthesize this knowledge and understand their roles within the environment. Finally, they should learn how to be a catalyst for the changes that are necessary for a sustainable existence. Hungerford (1996) has reported a similar idea

that pro-environmental behaviours must progress through three variables namely, entry-level, ownership, and empowerment. Studies by Thapa, Graefe, and Meyer (2005), Stern et al. (2008) and Kuo (2002) also stated that effective environment-related programmes could encourage participants to adopt environmentally friendly attitudes and enhance their environmental knowledge. Farmer et al. (2007) and Thapa et al. (2005) have commonly found that participation of environmental programmes positively affected participants' environmental attitudes. However, few studies have assessed the long-term positive results of knowledge gained and attitude changes (Hughs, Packer, & Ballantine, 2011). This aspect of research is worth studying to discover whether participants' pro-environmental knowledge and attitudes has been retained for a greater length of time after participating in environmental programmes and activities.

Many studies have claimed that environmental interpretation programmes (such as guided tour) at the tourism destination also contribute to the participants' knowledge enhancement and that these tours alter attitudes toward greater environmental responsibility. A study by Moscardo (1998) found that participants learned more about the Wet Tropic World Heritage Rainforest after they had participated in the interpretation programmes. Their findings also suggested that the interpretation programmes may change visitors' intention to engage in activities to protect the rainforest. Schanzel and McIntosh (2000) reported similar findings, where an appropriate interpretation programme reinforced the experience of a close encounter with wild penguins in a natural setting and that an increase in knowledge and awareness about the endangered birds was found among the participants. However, others have argued that the changes of participants' behaviours may result not from the interpretation programme but from other factors, such as social norms (Wheeller, 1994). Thus, it is difficult to conclude whether the changes in behaviour are an outcome of the participation in an interpretation programme. However, interpretation and environmental education programmes are often undervalued as the participants' knowledge accumulates progressively from undertaking these programmes.

Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research

Such programmes could also play an important role in reinforcing participants' knowledge, even if they already possess extensive environmental knowledge and display appropriate behaviours and activities (Ballantyne & Packer, 2011; Kuo, 2002).

Ecotour guides and their role in environmental education

Training for ecotour guides or interpreters is essential for ecotourism development. Such trainings could equip ecotour guides with better knowledge and attitudes to offer high quality guided tour. Ecotour guides have a multiplicity of roles to play (Black, Ham, & Weiler, 2001; Black & King, 2002; Christie & Mason, 2003; Orams, 1994, 1995; Weiler & Davis, 1993; Weiler & Ham, 2002). Previous studies have suggested that guides are the heart and soul of the ecotourism industry (Lindberg, Epler Wood, & Engeldrum, 1998), and they can disseminate a message of pro-environmental attitudes and behaviours to minimize negative environmental impacts at the ecotourism destinations (Black et al., 2001; Weiler & Davis, 1993). The role of ecotour guides is similar to a teacher delivering an environmental education (EE) lesson to visitors to enhance their pro-environmental knowledge and eventually change their attitudes and behaviours towards being more environmentally friendly (Kimmel, 1999). Ecotourism and environmental education are costly related and depends upon each other. Environmental education is one of the components for performing ecotourism (Cheung, 2010; Clifton & Benson, 2006; Fennell, 2001).

To address the environmental issues we face today, environmental education (EE) is of paramount importance. EE has been actively promoted by the UNSECO's International Environmental Education Programme since the early 1970s and has been defined as "process of recognizing values and the clarifying concepts in order to develop the skill and attitudes necessary to understand and appreciate the interrelatedness among man, his culture and his biophysical surroundings" (Schmieder, 1975). Such skills and attitudes are essential to nurturing the will and competence required to work for a sustainable future. In particular,

teachers represent a preeminent role in the dissemination and implementation of EE through the "multiplier effect" (Powers, 2004; Van Petegem, Blieck, Imbrecht, & Van Hout, 2005): where the training of one teacher has the potential to provide the knowledge and influence the attitudes of many future students. It has consequently been recognized by the UNESCO-UNEP (1990) that the education of teachers should be the 'priority of priorities' with regard to EE development.

A number of studies have been conducted to evaluate the importance of environmental awareness of teachers in EE. For example, a positive correlation between environmental awareness of teachers and quality of EE has been supported by Nguyen (2001) and Shobeyri and Prahallada (2008) in primary and secondary levels respectively. Kaplowitz and Levine (2005) recognise the enhancement in environmental knowledge of pre-service teachers can improve EE efforts. These authors suggest that future research should be conducted to determine the role of environmental awareness and attitudes of EE practitioners with regard to the effectiveness of their EE programmes.

Ecotourism practitioners particularly ecotour guides, are hosts and conduits between the tourists and their ecotourism destinations. They play a vital role in providing knowledge and influencing the attitudes of tourists. Therefore, a successful ecotourism training program, in some sense, is an essential tool for enriching and enhancing pre-service ecotourism practitioners' pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours. Following the same logic discussed above, the environmental awareness of ecotour guides is of great importance to the quality of ecotourism services. Despite the importance of environmental awareness and attitudes of ecotour guides, they have seldom been highlighted in the tourism research.

The comparatively short history of the ecotourism industry in Hong Kong hinders research related to the field of ecotourism. Various training organisations have started to organise ecotourism training programmes from 2003 onwards, as market demand for such training increased after the SARS tragedy (Cheung & Jim, 2006). The participants of these

Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research

training programmes desired to enrich their knowledge of Hong Kong's environment and nature while also searching for opportunities to join the emerging industry. However, these training programmes differ in quality, they have not been properly monitored, and the effectiveness of the programmes has never been evaluated.

This paper aims to evaluate the effectiveness of ecotourism training on the participants' changes in pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours. A self-evaluation approach was employed to explore the changes after participants had completed an ecotourism training course. Respondents were invited to respond to the questions based on their self-evaluation. This research is important for four main reasons. First, this is a pioneer study in the field of ecotourism in Hong Kong as no previous studies have been performed thus far. However, the role of ecotourism upon environmental conservation (Fennell & Smale, 1992; Gossling, 1999; Twindale & Bourne, 2003); characteristics (Ballantine & Eagles, 1994; Eagles & Cascagnette, 1995; Fennell & Smale, 1992; Nowaczek & Fennell, 2002; Tao, Eagles, & Smith, 2004; Wright, 1996b) and attitudes of ecotourists (Lee & Moscardo, 2005); along with the progress of ecotourism in developed or developing countries (Bury, 2008; Hall & Lew, 1998; Spenceley, 2008) have been thoroughly studied in the past two decades. Secondly, exploring the environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours of the trainees after the ecotourism trainings is an essential topic, as these trainees could play a very important role ino promoting environmental conservation if they become real ecotourism practitioners (Yamada, 2011). Thirdly, this study acts as a baseline to gauge the effectiveness of ecotourism training, upon which the association between the environmental awareness of ecotour guides and their participants may be further investigated. Finally, the findings can provide vital information to the governmental funding body on whether financial support should be enhanced for both students and training organizations.

Methods

Students of the SUS certificate of ecotourism, which is offered by the Hong Kong Ecotourism and Travels Professional Training Centre (ETTC), a subsidiary training centre of the HK Traveller Limited, were chosen for this study. The reason for the sample selection is mainly that the ETTC was one of the training organisations grated the right to organise the SUS ecotourism training programme, and they are the only authorised training organisation to have successfully recruited enough students to participate in their courses. Altogether, they have organised 14 out of 18 SUS ecotourism training courses approved by the EdB and VTC, accounting for more than 75% of the total participants for the SUS ecotourism training between late 2007 and early 2011. The ETTC offered their support for our study to distribute the questionnaire to the course participants starting from the fifth cohort. Students who participated in the certificate courses of ecotourism training between March 2009 and January 2011 (ten cohorts) were asked to complete the pre-training and post-training questionnaire surveys to rate the perception of their knowledge on ecotourism, Hong Kong's natural environment and the influence of ecotourism training for their pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours.

Two set of questionnaires designed for the pre-training and post-training surveys. Two sessions was included for pre-training questionnaire to record the socioeconomic characteristics of the participants (section 1) and the self-rating of respondents' knowledge on Hong Kong's natural environment and ecotourism (section 2). Likewise, first and second sessions of pre-training questionnaire were included in the post-training questionnaire. An extra section was added to collect participants' response regarding increased knowledge and pro-environmental attitude and pro-environmental behaviour changes. This section was to explore the changes of knowledge, attitudes and behaviours of the participants after the completion of the training course.

Altogether 184 students were invited to complete pre-training questionnaire in the first lesson and were subsequently invited to complete the post-training questionnaire during the

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/rapt

Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research

final lesson of the training course. The questions in the survey helped students to self-evaluate their knowledge gained, as well as their attitude, and behaviour changes after participating in the ecotourism trainings. The questions were based on a 10-point scale, with 1 as the lowest and 10 as the highest in the second sections of both pre- and post-training questionnaires. This section evaluated the respondents' knowledge of ecotourism and Hong Kong' natural environment to acquire their self-evaluated results. A 10-point scale was adopted for the knowledge questions because it could be easily understood by the students and easily associated with the 100-point scale widely adopted in the education system in Hong Kong. Questions related to respondents' attitude and behaviour changes were included in the third section of the post-training questionnaire. These questions were on a 5-point Likert scale mostly adopted by tourism studies (Dolnicar, Grun, & Leisch, 2011), with 1 for strongly disagree and 5 for strongly agree. The respondents' demographic characteristics were documented in the section one of both questionnaires. The variables included gender, age, household income, level of education, occupation and were used as information to test the association between demographic characteristics and other variables.

The collected data were entered into the SPSS 19.0 program for further analysis to investigate the effectiveness of the ecotourism training courses and their influence on participants' pro-environmental knowledge, attitude, and behaviour changes.

Results and discussions

Respondents' characteristics

Altogether 184 participants were invited to complete the questionnaires and all participants (100%) have submitted the questionnaires. However, six of the received questionnaires were incomplete. Therefore, 178 (96%) valid questionnaires were used for this study.

The demographic data (Table 2) indicated that male participants (55.1%) were slightly

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/rapt

dominant; the respondents' ages were slightly higher as more than 61.8% of them were above 36 years of age, of which 32.6% fell in the group between 46-55 years. Younger participants (below 25 years of age) were comparatively fewer, accounting for less than 8%.

In terms of education level, majority of the respondents had acquired higher education, as over 68.6% had obtained post-secondary education or above, of which over 50% obtained a university degree or above. This is considerably higher than the percentage of employed persons in Hong Kong, where only 31.5% of the population obtained post-secondary education in 2008 (The Census and Statistics Department, 2008).

The high-income group dominated, as over 37% earned HK\$25,000 or more per month comparing with only 14.7% employed persons in the Hong Kong population. Their median salary was approximately HK\$20,000, which is much more than that of employed persons in Hong Kong, where their median salary is only HK\$10,500 (The Census and Statistics Department, 2008). Surprisingly, over 13% had no income, as they were students or retired.

Regarding occupation, the largest group of the respondents were professionals (15.7%), followed by senior executives and managers (13.5%). Associate professionals, teachers, and civil servants accounted for 12.4%, 11.2%, and 10.1%, respectively.

It is assumed that the demographic characteristics of ecotourism training participants may be different from the characteristics of ecotourists who participated in other ecotourism activities. However, However, the demographic characteristics of our respondents share a similarity with previous (Ballantine & Eagles, 1994; Crossley & Lee, 1994; Eagles & Cascagnette, 1995; Kretchaman & Eagles, 1990; Meric & Hunt, 1998; Tao et al., 2004; Wright, 1996a, 1996b), where ecotourism training participants tend to be more mature and possess higher education and higher income However, this situation may not truly be reflected in the ecotourism training market, as the expense for taking up the training course would be much higher (HK\$2,250) than the participation in an ecotourism activity (approximately HK\$200) in Hong Kong. This may be the main factor discouraging young

Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research

people (below 25 years of age) from participating in ecotourism training, leading to the low number of young people being recorded in this study. Similarly, the comparatively high tuition fee may also discourage participants from the low-income group.

Participants' knowledge on ecotourism and Hong Kong's natural environment

Participants were required to evaluate their knowledge about ecotourism and Hong Kong's natural environment before and after the ecotourism training course. The results showed that participants' knowledge of both ecotourism and Hong Kong's natural environment were low. The majority of the participants rated their knowledge of ecotourism (70.8%) and Hong Kong' natural environment (58.4%) at 4 or below on a 10-point scale before the start of the course. However, only 6.7% and 11.4% of the participants rated their post-training knowledge about ecotourism and Hong Kong's natural environment, respectively, at 4 or lower. The mean score of ecotourism knowledge improved from 3.47 to 6.84. The score also improved from 4.38 to 6.83 for knowledge regarding Hong Kong' natural environment (Table 3).

A paired sample *t* test was employed to assess the improvement in the knowledge of Hong Kong's natural environment and ecotourism. The results indicated that participants' post-training knowledge about ecotourism (mean = 6.84, standard deviation = 1.44) was significantly (p < 0.01) better than before training (mean = 3.47, standard deviation = 0.189). Moreover, the participants' post-training knowledge about Hong Kong's natural environment (mean = 6.83, standard deviation = 1.55) at the same time was significantly (p < 0.01) better than before training (*Mean* = 4.38, *Standard deviation* = 2.22).

The questionnaire survey results indicated the participants' knowledge was enhanced after they had completed the ecotourism training course. They generally claimed that the training course enhanced their knowledge about Hong Kong's natural environment (96.6%) and ecotourism (95.5%), and no disagreement among all participants was recorded. This

result was expected and is generally supported by many similar previous studies suggesting that participants' knowledge was enhanced after they joined various environmental programmes and activities (Kimmel, 1999; Stern et al., 2008; Thapa et al., 2005). Previous results have suggested that environmental conservation programme significantly contributed to the enhancement of environmental knowledge. However, the present study did not employ an objective approach to test which aspects of environmental knowledge among participants were enhanced.

Pro-environmental attitudes and behaviours

The participants' were required to self-evaluate changes in their post-training attitudes and behaviours by stating the level of agreement of the questions in the third section of the post-training questionnaire. The agreement of the participants on the statements of various pro-environmental attitudes and behaviours were recorded and all statements are listed in Table 4.

Positive results towards the pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours were observed. Over 90% of the participants' agreed with PEK1 (96.6%), PEK2 (95.5%), PEA1 (92.1%) and PEA2 (92.2%). No disagreement was recorded for PEK1 and PEK2 and less than 5% of the participants disagreed with PEA1 (4.5%) and PEA2 (3.3%). In terms of pro-environmental behaviours (PEB), over 75% of the participants agreed with the statements of all PEBs in which over 88.8% of them agreed with PEB2, followed by PEB4 (78.7%). Comparatively high mean score for all PEKs, PEAs, and PEBs were reported, with scores all above 3.7 in a 5-point scale (Table 5). In general, the mean score of the PEKs (PEK1 = 4.42; PEK2 = 4.33) was slightly higher than the PEAs (PEA1 = 4.22; PEA2 = 4.30) and PEBs (PEB1 = 3.90; PEB2 = 4.17; PEB3 = 3.74; PEB4 = 3.90). These results demonstrate that ecotourism training plays a more important role in increasing participants' pro-environmental knowledge than attitudes and behaviours. However, the impact of the training is still valid, as

 majority of participants claimed that their attitudes and behaviours were altered towards being more environmentally friendly. These results could eventually contribute to a long-term positive effect on the environment. The study results were also in line with other studies indicating participants' pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours were significant enhanced after their participation in environmental-related programmes and activities (Powell & Ham, 2008).

Association between socioeconomic factors and pro-environmental knowledge (PEK), attitudes (PEA) and behaviours (PEB).

A Kruskal-Wallis test was employed to investigate whether socio-economic factors govern the participants' pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours, and these results are listed in Table 6.

The results reported that the variables age group, occupation and salary indicated significantly associated with pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours. Age group indicated a significant association with PEA1, PEB2, PEB3 and PEB4. Surprisingly, the mean scores of PEA1 (3.57), PEB2 (3.71), PEB3 (3.29) and PEB4 (3.43) of young participants (25 or below) were the lowest comparing with other age categories. In term of occupation types, the respondents' occupation was significantly associated with PEK1, PEA1, PEB3 and PEB4. Similarly, students were recorded the lowest mean score of PEA1 (Student: 3.75), PEB3 (Student: 3.5) and PEB4 (Student: 3.75). However, the mean score of pro-environmental knowledge was enhanced (PEK1 & PEK2) among the young participants and students, indicating the mean score of young participants were higher than the other age categories and occupation types. Students even recorded the highest mean (PEK1: 4.63; PEK2: 4.63) among all occupation types, demonstrating that young participants tend to claim they benefited greatly from the enhancement of pro-environmental knowledge after the training course. This may be because young participants generally have a higher capacity to

learn new knowledge (Sangpikul & Batra, 2007), leading to an assumption that they would pay more attention on the content regarding ecotourism and Hong Kong's natural environment. Undoubtedly, young participants have no obstacle to learn and absorbing environmental knowledge through training. However, they tend not to be keen to actualize what they learned during the lessons. They are not likely to consider changing their attitudes and behaviours towards environmentally friendly (Twenge, Campell, & Freeman, 2012). Such a finding is contradicted by the traditional wisdom that age is negatively correlated with environmental concern (Albrecht, Bultena, & Hoiberg, 1986; Martinsons, So, Tin, & Wong, 1997; Schwepker & Cornwell, 1991; Van Liere & Dunlap, 1980). This may be because young participants in the materialistic society of Hong Kong are not likely to sacrifice their comfortable lifestyle. They tend to refuse to turn their knowledge into practice that would reduce their convenience and comfortableness (Twenge et al., 2012). Therefore, they may refuse to choose environmental friendly products and services. This finding is different from that of Lee (2008), who suggested that adolescents in Hong Kong displayed a rather promising market opportunity for green products. Young participants are not likely to take action and keen to join voluntary work for environmental conservation, as they may believe that such extra work would affect to their existing lifestyle. They tend not to be hard workers for environmental conservation (Twenge et al., 2012).

Salary is another socioeconomic characteristic indicating a significant association with PEKs and PEBs. However, the result did not show any linear relationship. Comparing the mean score between different salary categories, participants within the salary category of "HK\$25,000-35,000" recorded the lowest mean score for PEK1 (4.29) and PEK2 (4.21) indicating that they claimed to have less knowledge advancement after ecotourism training, despite of such high mean scores being recorded on a 5-point scale. All participants with salary between HK\$45,000 and 55,000 (mean score: 5) claimed that they strongly agreed that the training course could enhance their knowledge on ecotourism and Hong Kong's natural

Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research

environment. The mean score of the PEBs also showed that this group of participants is more likely to put their knowledge into practice, as they claimed that they are strongly agreed to change their lifestyle to be more environmentally friendly (mean score: 5) and willing to choose environmentally friendly products and services (mean score: 5).

In summary, the results of this study clearly indicated the positive influence of ecotourism training towards pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours. These results are consistent with many studies showing that participation in environmentally related activities or programmes lead to the enhancement of environmental knowledge and improvement of environmental attitudes and behaviours (Bradley, Waliczek, & Zajicek, 1999; Higham & Carr, 2002; Orams, 1997; Powell & Ham, 2008). Orams (1997) reported that participants' environmental knowledge or understanding was enhanced after the participation of environmental interpretation programmes. These programmes could promote more environmentally responsible behaviours for the participants. Powell & Ham (2008) likewise indicated that behaviours and intentions in environmental matters among respondents showed significant difference before and after they had joined ecotourism interpretation programmes. Bradley et al (1999) also indicated that knowledge and attitudes were correlated, with a direct relationship between the pre- and post-test scores for knowledge and attitudes.

Conclusion and implication

The study evaluated the effectiveness of ecotourism training in enhancing participants' pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours. The results showed that pro-environmental knowledge among participants significantly improved after they completed ecotourism training, and their attitudes and behaviours also turned more environmentally responsible. Based on the results of our study and some previous studies, we are reminded that organising ecotourism-relatedtraining not only prepares trained persons for the industry, but also effectively enhances and alters participants' knowledge, attitudes, and

behaviours of participants towards greater environmental responsibility. Ecotourism-related training or activities could be promoted for both primary and secondary schools as extracurrular activities or other learning experiences for students to enhance their awareness of environmental conservation.

However, it is necessary to extend funding support to local residents for participation in ecotourism training courses. For instance, the allocation to the Continuous Education Fund (CEF) could be raised to provide sufficient financial support to subsidize the participation of local citizens in appropriate ecotourism training courses. Other funding sources should also be made available for colleges and organisers to organise appropriate ecotourism training courses for the general public at a relatively lower cost.

In addition, the government should take the initiative to monitor and control these ecotourism training courses in the market to improve their quality. Guidelines should also be provided to the organisers in helping to standardise the contents of various courses. For example, syllabi of ecotourism courses should be framed, reviewed, and commented by university scholars to ensure the essential content is covered. Finally, the government should periodically evaluate the funded courses to monitor their quality and effectiveness. Doing so would eliminate irregular organisers from wasting public funds.

Ecotourism training courses have long been treated as leisure courses for people who are interested in nature and as training for particular tourism practitioners to equip them with relevant knowledge for furthering the development of ecotourism industry. With reference to previous studies in the area of environmental education, EE teachers with higher environmental awareness including better environmental knowledge, and positive environmental attitudes and behaviours could positively influence their students to enhance their knowledge, attitudes and responsible behaviours regarding the environment (Kaplowitz & Levine, 2005; Nguyen, 2001). Similarly, well-trained ecotourism practitioners with pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours also perform the same for the

 participants of ecotours. This study brings a new direction and area for ecotourism research to encourage further investigate into the association between ecotour guides and tourists on the enhancement of environmental knowledge, and changing of pro-environmental attitudes and behaviours. Due to time limitations and the arrangement with the ETTC, only 184 participants (56.8%) of the total expected participants (324) of all funded training courses were interviewed, so the findings may not be accurate enough to represent the effectiveness of all ecotourism-related training courses on the pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours of participants. Further longitudinal research could be considered to investigate whether the duration of ecotourism-reelated training courses produces the desired outcomes and the retention of trainees' pro-environmental attitudes and behaviours.

To conclude, increasing ecotourism training opportunities for the local community and local citizens could help nurture pro-environmental attitudes and behaviours and safeguard our invaluable natural resources from irresponsible human behaviours. This may be a vital mean for nurturing environmentally aware customers to enlarge the market for ecotourism development worldwide.

References

- AFCD. (2003). Strategy for the Sustainable Development of Ecotourism. Hong Kong: Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department.
- Albrecht, D.E., Bultena, G., & Hoiberg, E. (1986). Constituency of the antigrowth moverment: a comparison of the growth orientation of urban status groups. Urban Affairs Quarterly, 21, 607-616.
- Ballantine, J.L., & Eagles, P. F. (1994). Defining Canadian ecotourists. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 2(4), 210-214.
- Ballantyne, R., & Packer, J. (2011). Using tourism free-choice learning experiences to promote environmentally sustainable behaviour: the role of post-visit 'action resources'. *Environmental Education Research*, 17(2), 201-215.

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/rapt

- Black, R., Ham, S.H., & Weiler, B. (2001). Ecotour guide training in less developed countries: some preliminary research findings. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 9(2), 147-156.
- Black, R., & King, B. (2002). Human resource development in remote island communities: an evaluate of tour-guide training in Vanuatu. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 4, 103-117.
- Bradley, J.C., Waliczek, T.M., & Zajicek, J.M. (1999). Relationship between environmental knowledge and environmental attitude of high school students. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 30(3), 17-21.
- Bury, J. (2008). New geographies of tourism in Peru: nature-based tourism and conservation in the Cordillera Huayhuash. *Tourism Geographies*, *10*(3), 312-333.
- Cheung, L.T.O., & Jim, C.Y. (2006). Improving ecotourism practice to enhance protected area management in Hong Kong. In C. Y. Jim & R. T. Corlett (Eds.), Sustainable Management of Protected Areas for Future Generations (pp. 373-387). Hong Kong: IUCN, WCPA, Gland, Switzerland and Cosmos Books.
- Cheung, T.O. (2010). Understanding Ecotourist Perception of Ecotourism Services and Development in Hong Kong. (Doctor of Philosophy PhD Thesis), University of Hong Kong.
- Christie, M. F., & Mason, P.A. (2003). Transformative tour guiding: training tour guides to be critically reflective practitioners. *Journal of Ecotourism, 2*(1), 1-16.
- Clifton, J., & Benson, A. (2006). Planning for sustainable ecotourism: the case for research ecotourism in developing country destinations. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 14*(3), 238-254.
- Crossley, J., & Lee, B. (1994). *Ecotourists and mass tourists: A difference in 'Benefits Sought'*. Washington DC: Travel and Tourism Research Association.
- Dolnicar, S., Grun, B., & Leisch, F. (2011). *Three good reasons NOT to use five and seven point Likert items*. Paper presented at the 21st CAUTHE National Conference, Adelaide, Australia.
- Eagles, P. F., & Cascagnette, J.W. (1995). Canadian ecotourists: who are they? Tourism

Recreation Research, 20(1), 22-28.

- Economist Intelligence Unit. (2012). Best Cities Ranking and Report: A Special Report from the Economist Intelligence Unit (pp. 23): Economist Intelligence Unit.
- Farmer, J., Knapp, D., & Benton, G.M. (2007). An elementary school environmental education field trip: long-term effects on ecological and environmental knowledge and attitude development. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 38(3).
- Fennell, D. A. (2001). A content analysis of ecotourism definitions. *Current Issues in TOurism, 4*(5), 403-421.
- Fennell, D., & Smale, B. (1992). Ecotourism and nature resource protection: implications of an alternative form of tourism for host nations. *Tourism Recreation Research*, 17(1), 21-32.
- Gossling, S. (1999). Ecotourism: a mean to safeguard biodiversity and ecosystem functions? *Ecological Economics*, *29*, 303-320.
- Hall, C.M., & Lew, A.A. (1998). *Sustainable Tourism. A Geographical Perspective*. Harlow: Addison Wesley Longman Ltd.
- Higham, J., & Carr, A. (2002). Ecotourism visitor experiences in Aotearoa/New Zealand: challenging the environmental values of visitors in pursuit of pro-environmental behaviour. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 10(4), 277-294.

HKTB. (2001). A Statistical Review of Hong Kong Tourism 2001. Hong Kong.

- Hopkinson, L., & Stern, R. (2002). Wild but not Free: An Economic Valuation of the Benefits of Nature Conservation in Hong Kong. Hong Kong: Civic Exchange.
- Hsu, S. (2004). The effects of an environmental education program on responsible environmental behaviour and associated environmental literarcy variables in Taiwanese college students. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 35(2), 37-46.
- Hughes, M., & Saunders, A.M. (2005). Interpretation, activity participation, and environmental attitudes of visitors to Penguin Island, Western Australia. *Society and Natural Resources*, 18, 611-624.

- Hughs, K., Packer, J., & Ballantine, R. (2011). Using post-visit action resources to support family conservation learning following a wildlife tourism experience. *Environmental Education Research*, 17(3), 307-328.
- Hungerford, H.R. (1996). The development of responsible environmental citizenship: a critical challenge. *Journal of Interpretation Research*, *1*(1), 25-37.
- Kaplowitz, M.D., & Levine, R. (2005). How environmental knowledge measures up at a big ten university. *Environmental Education Research*, *11*(2), 143-160.
- Kimmel, J.R. (1999). Ecotourism as environmental learning. *Journal of Environmental Education, 30*(2), 40-44.
- Kretchaman, J., & Eagles, P. F. (1990). An analysis of the motives of ecotourists in comparison to the general Canadian population. *Society and Leisure*, 13(2), 499-508.
- Kuo, I.L. (2002). The effectiveness of environmental interpretation at resource-sensitive tourism destinations. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, *4*, 87-101.
- Lee, K. (2008). Opportunities for green marketing: young customers. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning, 26*(6), 573-586.
- Lee, W.H., & Moscardo, G. (2005). Understanding the impact of ecotourist resort experienceson tourists' environmental attitudes and behavioural intentions. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 13(6), 546-565.
- Lindberg, K., Epler Wood, M., & Engeldrum, D. (1998). Ecotourism: A Guide for Planners and managers (Vol. 2). Nother Bennington, VT: Ecotourism Society.
- Madin, E.M.P., & Fenton, D.M. (2004). Environmental interpretation in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park: an assessment of programme effectiveness. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 12(2), 121-137.
- Marafa, L.M. (2005, 2-5 October, 2005). Community-based tourism initiatives: prospects for sustainable tourism on an outlying island in Hong Kong. Paper presented at the 3rd Global Summit on Peace Through Tourism - Education Forum, Pattaya, Thailand.

Martinsons, M.G., So, S.K.K., Tin, C., & Wong, D. (1997). Hong Kong and China: emerging

markets for environmental products and technology. *Long Range Planning, 30*(2), 277-290.

- Meric, H.J., & Hunt, J. (1998). Ecotourists' motivational and demographic characteristics: A case of North Carolina travellers. *Journal of Travel Research*, *36*(4), 57-61.
- Moscardo, G. (1998). Interpretation and sustainable tourism: functions, examples and principles. *Journal of Tourism Studies*, *9*(1), 2-13.
- Nguyen, T.T. (2001). Awareness of Vietnamese primary school teachers on environmental education. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 10(4), 429-444.
- Nowaczek, A.M.K., & Fennell, D.A. (2002). Ecotourism in post-communist Poland: an examination of tourists, sustainability and institutions. *Tourism Geographies, 4*(4), 372-395.
- Orams, M.B. (1994). Creating effective interpretation for managing interaction between tourists and wildlife. *The Australian Journal of Environmental Education*, *10*, 21-34.
- Orams, M.B. (1995). Using interpretation to manage nature-based tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 4*(2), 81-94.
- Orams, M.B. (1997). The effectiveness of environmental education: can we turn tourists into 'greenies'. *Progress in Tourism and Hospitality Research*, *3*(4), 295-306.
- Powell, R.B., & Ham, S.H. (2008). Can ecotourism interpretation really lead to pro-conservation knowledge, attitudes and behaviour? evidence from the Galapagos Island. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 16(4), 467-489.
- Powers, A. L. (2004). Teacher preparation for environmental education: faculty perspectives on the infusion of environmental education into pre-service methods courses. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, *35*(3), 3-11.
- Sangpikul, A., & Batra, A. (2007). Ecotourism: a perspective from Thai youths. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport & Tourism Education, 6*(1), 81-85.

Schanzel, H.A., & McIntosh, A. (2000). An insight into the personal and emotive context of

wildlife viewing at the Penguin Place, Otago Peninsula, New Zealand. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 8(1), 36-52.

- Schmieder, A.A. (1975, 13-20 October 1975). The Nature and Philosophy of Environmental Education: Some Fundemental Goals, Concepts, Objectives and Development Issues.
 Paper presented at the International Workshop on Environmental Education, Belgrade, Yogoslavia.
- Schwepker, C.H. Jr, & Cornwell, T.B. (1991). An examination of ecological concerned customers and their intention to purchase ecologically packaged prodcuts. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 10, 77-101.
- Shobeyri, S.M., & Prahallada, N.N. (2008). Environmental awareness among secondary school teachers in Iran and India. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 10(1/36), 137-146.
- Spenceley, A. (2008). Requirements for sustainable nature-based tourism in transfrontier conservation areas: a Southern African delphi consultation. *Tourism Geographies*, *10*(3), 285-311.
- Stern, M.J., Powell, R.B., & Ardoin, N.M. (2008). What difference does it make? Assessing outcomes from participation in a residential environmental education program. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 39(4), 31-40.
- Tao, C.H., Eagles, P. F., & Smith, S.L.J. (2004). Profiling Taiwanese ecotourists using a self-definition approach. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 12(2), 149-168.
- Thapa, B., Graefe, A.G., & Meyer, L.A. (2005). Moderator and mediator effects of scuba diving specialization on marine-baed environmental knowledge-behaviour contingency. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 37(1), 53-67.
- The Census and Statistics Department. (2008). Quarterly report on general household survey. Retrieved 24 Feb 2012, 2009, from <u>http://www.statistics.gov.hk/publication/stat_report/labour/B10500012008QQ02B010</u> <u>0.pdf</u>
- Tourism Commission. (2011). Hong Kong: the Facts: Tourism. Hong Kong: Tourism Commission.

- Tsang, N.K.F., Yeung, S., & Cheung, C. (2011). A critical investigation of the use and effectiveness of interpretive services. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 16(2), 123-137.
- Tubb, K.N. (2003). An evaluation of the effectiveness of interpretation within Dartmoor National Park in reaching the goals of sustainable tourism development. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 11(6), 476-498.
- Twenge, J.M., Campell, W.K., & Freeman, E.C. (2012). Generational difference in young adult's life goals, concern for others, and civiv orientation 1966-2009. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 102(5), 1045-1062.
- Twindale, C.R., & Bourne, J.A. (2003). Commentary: practices, problems and principles for ecotourism: a case study. *Tourism Geographies*, *5*, 482-492.
- UNESCO-UNEP. (1990). Environmentally educated teachers, the priority of priorities? *Connect, 15*(1), 1-3.
- Van Liere, K., & Dunlap, R. (1980). The social bases of environmental concern: a review of hypotheses, explanations, and public concern? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 44(2), 181-197.
- Van Petegem, P., Blieck, A., Imbrecht, I., & Van Hout, T. (2005). Implementing environmental education in pre-service teacher training. *Environmental Education Research*, 11(2), 161-171.
- Weiler, B., & Davis, D. (1993). An investigation into the roles of the nature-based tour leader. *Tourism Management*, 14(2), 91-98.
- Weiler, B., & Ham, S.H. (2002). Tour guide training: a model for sustainable capacity building in developing countries. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 10*(1), 52-69.
- Wheeller, B. (1994). Ecotourism: a ruse by other name. In C. P. Cooper & A. Lockwood (Eds.), *Progress in Tourism, Recreation and Hospitality Management* (Vol. 6, pp. 3-11). London: Belhaven.
- Wright, P.A. (1996a). North American ecotourism markets: motivations, preferences, and destinations. *Journal of Travel Research*, *35*, 3-10.

- Wright, P.A. (1996b). North American ecotourist: market profile and trip characteristics. *Journal of Travel Research*, *34*(4), 2-10.
- Yamada, N. (2011). Why Tour Guiding is important for ecotourism: enhancing guiding

List of tables

Table 1: Syllabi of SUS ecotourism training courses

Table 2: Socioeconomic characteristics of respondents

Table 3. Mean score of pre- and post- training knowledge of participants.

Table 4. Lists of pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours

Table 5 Mean score of pro-environmental knowledge, attitude, and behaviour

Table 6. Kruskal-Wallis test of PEKs, PEAs, PEBs, among categories in four socioeconomic variables.

Table 1: Syllabi of SUS ecotourism training courses

| Topics covered by lectures | Topics covered by field practices |
|---|--|
| Principles of ecotourism | Bird watching |
| • The roles of an ecotour guide | Dolphin watching |
| • Ecological and geological resources | Wetland excursion |
| of Hong Kong | Identification of vegetation |
| Protected area systems in Hong | • Visit to cultural heritages |
| Kong | • Visit to marine parks |
| • Safety guideline of countryside visit | |
| | |

%

4.5

27.0

18.0

30.3

3.4

16.9

13.5

15.7

4.5

10.1

11.2

12.4

10.1

4.5

5.6

3.4

9.0

| Gender | Ν | % | Education level | |
|-------------------|-------|------|---------------------------|--|
| Male | 98 | 55.1 | Secondary 3 or below | |
| Female | 80 | 44.9 | Senior secondary | |
| Age groups | | | Post-secondary | |
| 25 or below | 14 | 7.9 | Undergraduate | |
| 26 - 35 | 54 | 30.3 | Post-graduate diploma | |
| 36 - 45 | 42 | 23.6 | Master's or above | |
| 46 - 55 | 58 | 32.6 | Occupation | |
| 56 or above | 10 | 5.6 | Manager and administrator | |
| Monthly salary (F | IK\$) | | Professional | |
| No income | 24 | 13.5 | Executive | |
| 8000 or below | 10 | 5.6 | Civic servant | |
| 8001 - 15000 | 28 | 15.7 | Teachers | |
| 15001 - 25000 | 50 | 28.1 | Associate professional | |
| 25001 - 35000 | 28 | 15.7 | Clerical staff | |
| 35001 - 45000 | 26 | 14.6 | Sales and service workers | |
| 45001 - 55000 | 2 | 1.1 | Retired | |
| 55001 or above | 10 | 5.6 | Housewife | |
| | | | Students | |

Table 3: Mean score of pre- and post- training knowledge of participants.

| | Pre-training | Post-training | Pre-training | Post-training |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | knowledge about | knowledge about | knowledge about | knowledge about |
| | ecotourism | ecotourism | Hong Kong's | Hong Kong's |
| | | | nature | nature |
| Valid (N) | 178 | 178 | 178 | 178 |
| Mean (M) | 3.47 | 6.84 | 4.38 | 6.83 |
| Std. Deviation (SD) | 1.89 | 1.44 | 2.22 | 1.55 |

| Table 4: Li | sts of pro-environmental knowledge, attitudes and behaviours |
|--------------|--|
| Pro-enviro | onmental knowledge (PEK) |
| PEK1: | After the completion of the ecotourism training, my knowledge abou Hong Kong nature is enhanced |
| PEK2: | After the completion of the ecotourism training, my knowledge abou ecotourism is enhanced |
| Pro-enviro | onmental attitude (PEA) |
| PEA1: | After the completion of the ecotourism training, my concern on environmental issues is greater |
| PEA2: | After the completion of the ecotourism training, my concern on nature conservation is greater |
| Pro onvir | onmental behaviour (PEB) |
| PEB1: | After the completion of the ecotourism training, my lifestyle is changed to become more environmentally friendly |
| PEB2: | After the completion of the ecotourism training, I am more concerned with our nature and environment and am willing to take action to |
| | protect our environment |
| PEB3: | After the completion of the ecotourism training, I prefer to choose environmentally friendly products and services |
| PEB4: | After the completion of the ecotourism training, I participate in voluntary work for environmental conservation |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/rapt |

| 1 |
|---|
| 2 |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| å |
| 3 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 40 |
| 10 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 24 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 41 42 |
| |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 46 |
| 47 |
| 48 |
| 49 |
| |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 56 |
| 50 57 |
| |
| 58 |
| L () |

1

| Table 5: Mean | score of pro- | environmental | knowledge, | attitude, | and behaviour |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-----------|---------------|

| Variables | Mean score | Standard Deviation |
|-----------|------------|---------------------------|
| PEK1 | 4.42 | 0.56 |
| PEK2 | 4.33 | 0.56 |
| PEA1 | 4.22 | 0.73 |
| PEA2 | 4.30 | 0.73 |
| PEB1 | 3.90 | 0.80 |
| PEB2 | 4.17 | 0.76 |
| PEB3 | 3.74 | 0.78 |
| PEB4 | 3.90 | 0.72 |

Table 6: Kruskal-Wallis test of PEKs, PEAs, PEBs, among categories in four socioeconomic variables.

| Significance level | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|--------|
| N=178 | Age Group | Education Level | Occupation | Salary |
| PEK1 | | | 4 | * |
| PEK2 | | | ** | * |
| PEA1 | * | | ** | |
| PEA2 | | | | |
| PEB1 | | | | * |
| PEB2 | ** | | | |
| PEB3 | * | | ** | ** |
| PEB4 | * | | * | |

*. Significance at the 0.05 level

**. Significance at the 0.01 level