

## Pulsed $\gamma$ -ray emission from magnetar 1E 2259+586

Jason Hung Kit Wu<sup>1†</sup>, Chung Yue Hui<sup>2</sup>, Regina Hsiu Hui Huang<sup>1</sup>, Albert Kwok Hing Kong<sup>1</sup>, Kwong Sang Cheng<sup>3</sup>, Jumpei Takata<sup>3</sup>, Pak Hin Thomas Tam<sup>1</sup>, Eric Man Ho Wu<sup>3</sup>, Joe Liu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Astronomy and Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Astronomy and Space Science, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 305-764, Korea

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

Anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs) are thought to be magnetars which are young isolated neutron stars with extremely strong magnetic fields of  $>10^{14}$  Gauss. Their tremendous magnetic fields inferred from the spin parameters provide a huge energy reservoir to power the observed X-ray emission. High-energy emission above 0.3 MeV has never been detected despite intensive search. Here, we present the possible Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) detection of  $\gamma$ -ray pulsations above 200 MeV from the AXP, 1E 2259+586, which puts the current theoretical models of  $\gamma$ -ray emission mechanisms of magnetars into challenge. We speculate that the high-energy  $\gamma$ -rays originate from the outer magnetosphere of the magnetar.

**Keywords:** pulsars, gamma-rays

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Neutron stars are now known to have many different manifestations besides rotation-powered and accretion-powered pulsars. While pulsars typically have a surface magnetic field of  $\sim 10^{12}$  G, it has been suggested that neutron stars can possess magnetic fields with a strength as high as  $\sim 10^{15}$  G (Duncan et al. 1992). These highly magnetized neutron stars are called magnetars. The existence of magnetars provides a unique laboratory for exploring the physics of compact objects in the presence of a ultra-strong magnetic field. Based on current observations and theories, the emissions from magnetars mainly emerge in X-ray energy bands; their broad band spectral shapes can be well described by a blackbody component (with a hard tail probably due to Compton scattering) below 10 keV, which is likely from the magnetar's surface, plus a non-thermal component dominating above 10 keV, which is from the magnetosphere (Thompson et al. 2002). On the basis of theoretical models of high-energy emission from magnetars, it is not expected to detect emission above  $\sim 1$  MeV (Thompson et al. 1995). Although Castro et al. (2012)

have report the  $\gamma$ -ray emission from CTB 109, which is also well-known for hosting an AXP 1E 2259+586, they concluded that 1E 2259+586 is not likely to be contributing the observed  $\gamma$ -ray flux. We have done a detail timing analysis based on the timing ephemeris reported in (Icdem et al. 2012), a 5-sigma  $\gamma$ -ray pulsation from 1E 2259+586 was found. The possible detection of the  $\gamma$ -ray pulsation suggest that 1E 2259+586 could also contribute part of the  $\gamma$ -ray flux.

### 2. DATA ANALYSIS

For the spectral analysis, we used the LAT data between 2008 August 04 and 2011 November 09 (3.5 years of data). To reduce and analyze the data, the Fermi Science Tools v9r23p1 package, available from the Fermi Science Support Center, was used. We used Pass 7 data and selected events in the Source class (i.e. event class 2) only. In addition, we excluded the events with zenith angles larger than  $100^\circ$  to greatly reduce the contamination by Earth albedo gamma-rays. The instrumental response functions (IRFs) P7SOURCE V6 were adopted throughout the study. Fig. 1 shows the


© This is an open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received Nov 30, 2012 Revised Dec 25, 2012 Accepted Dec 30, 2012


†Corresponding Author

E-mail: wuhkjason@gmail.com

Tel: +886-3-574-2511, Fax: +886-3-572-3052



**Fig. 1.** Fermi LAT energy spectrum of CTB 109/1E 2259+586. We tried to model the  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum CTB109/1E 2259+586 by assuming a power law (straight line) or a power law with an exponential cutoff (curved line) model.



**Fig. 2.** Fermi-LAT pulse profile of 1E 2259+586 in epoch 2,  $\gamma$ -ray photons with energy  $>200$  MeV were selected within an aperture radius of  $0.6^\circ$  around the source position.

binned energy spectrum of CTB 109/1E 2259+586.

As 1E 2259+586 is known to have frequent glitches that are sudden increases in its spin frequency, we only used data taken after the last glitch seen on 2009 February 18 to search for  $\gamma$ -ray pulsation. By the timing ephemeris report by (Icdem et al. 2012), the period after the microglitch 2 is found to be 6.979060682s, with the aid of the Fermi plugin for TEMPO2, we assigned a spin phase for each  $\gamma$ -ray photons with energy greater than 200 MeV and fall within  $0.6^\circ$  from the AXP position.

Pulsed  $\gamma$ -ray emission was found after 120 days since the latest microglitch reported, the folded pulse profile for MJD 55000 - MJD 55407 only is shown in Fig. 2 with a 5-sigma pulsation significance using H-test. The 120 days delay of the  $\gamma$ -ray pulsation could be explained by the decrease of the soft X-ray flux during the above period as the soft X-ray photon would cause photon pair creation which eventually prevents those  $\gamma$ -ray photons to escape from the magnetar. Swift X-ray observation reveal that the soft X-ray

flux on 1E 2259+586 during the above period is two times smaller than other epoch. From the folded lightcurve in MJD 55000 - MJD 55407 we can estimate the pulsed fraction to be  $\sim 30\%$ . Previous searches on magnetars by Abdo et al. (Abdo et al. 2010) shows no conclusive evidence using 17 months of LAT data, the non-detection on 1E 2259+586 can be explained as Abdo et al. only include LAT photons up to 01 January 2010 ( $\sim 55200$  MJD), which only covers part of the epoch of MJD 55000 - MJD 55407 so that pulsed  $\gamma$ -ray from 1E 2259+586 is not detectable during the previous search.

### 3. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

If the detection of the pulsed  $\gamma$ -ray from 1E 2259+586 is genuine, it makes 1E 2259+586 as the first magnetar with GeV  $\gamma$ -ray emission, it also demonstrates that magnetars are capable to emit GeV  $\gamma$ -rays similar to canonical  $\gamma$ -ray pulsars which are powered by the rotational energy; this is indeed predicted more than a decade ago that GeV  $\gamma$ -rays can originate from the outer magnetosphere of a magnetar (Cheng et al. 2001). However, the acceleration mechanism of the observed pulsed  $\gamma$ -ray radiations is probably different from the existing model. First, the observed pulsed  $\gamma$ -ray must be produced far from the magnetar's surface to avoid absorption through the one photon pair-creation process, and/or the two photon pair-creation process. Under the circumstance of magnetar, it is likely that the observed pulsed  $\gamma$ -rays are produced at  $> 1000$  km above the stellar surface. Second, the luminosity of the observed pulsed  $\gamma$ -ray,  $L_\gamma \sim 10^{34}$  erg/s (assuming isotropic emissions), is well above its spin down power,  $L_{sd} \sim 10^{31}$  erg/s, suggesting that unlike canonical pulsars, the emission process is not powered by its rotational energy loss. Finally, the observational results obtained by X-ray and  $\gamma$ -ray instruments have suggested that the high-energy emissions of magnetars do not extend beyond several hundred keV (Kuiper et al. 2004, den Hartog et al. 2008a,b, Enoto et al. 2010, Kuiper et al. 2012, Abdo et al 2010). This suggest that the pulsed  $\gamma$ -rays should be produced by different mechanism from that of the X-ray emissions.

### REFERENCES

Abdo AA, Ackermann M, Ajello M, Allafort A, Baldini L, et al., Search for Gamma-ray Emission from Magnetars with the Fermi Large Area Telescope, *ApJ*. 725, L73-L78 (2010). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/725/1/L73>  
 Castro D, Slane P, Ellison DC, Patnaude DJ, Fermi-LAT

- Observations and A Broadband Study of Supernova Remnant CTB 109, *ApJ*, 756, 88-97 (2012). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/756/1/88>
- Cheng KS, Zhang L, High-Energy Gamma-Ray Emission from Anomalous X-Ray Pulsars, *ApJ*, 562, 918-924 (2001). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/323857>
- den Hartog PR, Kuiper L, Hermsen W, Detailed high-energy characteristics of AXP 1RXS J170849-400910 - Probing the magnetosphere using INTEGRAL, RXTE and XMM-Newton, *A&A*, 489, 263-279 (2008a). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200809772>
- den Hartog PR, Kuiper L, Hermsen W, Kaspi VM, Dib R, et al., Detailed high-energy characteristics of AXP 4U 0142+61 - Multi-year observations with INTEGRAL, RXTE, XMM-Newton and ASCA, *A&A*, 489, 245-261 (2008b). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200809390>
- Duncan RC, Thompson C, Formation of very strongly magnetized neutron stars - Implications for gamma-ray bursts, *ApJ*, 392, L9-L13 (1992).
- Enoto T, Nakazawa K, Makishima K, Nakagawa YE, Sakamoto T, et al., Suzaku Discovery of a Hard X-Ray Tail in the Persistent Spectra from the Magnetar 1E 1547.0-5408 during its 2009 Activity, *PASJ*, 62, 475-485 (2010).
- Icdem B, Baykal A, Inam SC, RXTE timing analysis of the anomalous X-ray pulsar 1E 2259+586, *MNRAS*, 419, 3,109-3,114 (2012), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19953.x>
- Kuiper L, Hermsen W, den Hartog PR, Collmar W, Discovery of luminous Pulsed hard X-ray Emission from anomalous X-ray Pulsars 1RXS J1078-4009, 4U 0142+61, and 1E 2259+586 by INTEGRAL and RXTE, *ApJ*, 645, 556- 575 (2006). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/504317>
- Kuiper L, Hermsen W, den Hartog PR, Urama JO, Temporal and Spectral Evolution in X-ray and gamma-Rays of Magnetar 1E 1547.0-5408 since its 2008 October Outburst: The Discovery of Transient Hard Pulsed Component after its 2009 January Outburst, *ApJ*, 748, 133-153 (2012). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/748/2/133>
- Kuiper L, Hermsen W, Mendez M, Discovery of hard nonthermal pulsed X-ray emission from the anomalous X-ray pulsar 1E 1841-045, *ApJ*, 613, 1,173-1,178 (2004). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/423129>
- Thompson C, Duncan RC, The soft gamma repeaters as very strongly magnetized neutron stars - I. Radiative mechanism for outbursts, *MNRAS*, 275, 255-300 (1995).
- Thompson C, Lyutikov M, Kulkarni SR, Electrodynamics of Magnetars: Implications for the Persistent X-ray Emission and Spin-down of the Soft Gamma repeaters and Anomalous X-ray Pulsars, *ApJ*, 574, 332-355 (2002). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/340586>