



REVIEW

Recent advances in managing and understanding diabetic nephropathy [version 1; referees: 3 approved]

Sydney C.W. Tang¹, Gary C.W. Chan¹, Kar Neng Lai^{1,2}

¹Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

²Nephrology Department, Hong Kong Sanatorium and Hospital, Happy Valley, Hong Kong

v1 First published: 31 May 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1044 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7693.1)

Latest published: 31 May 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1044 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7693.1)

Abstract

Diabetic nephropathy is the commonest cause of end-stage renal disease in most developed economies. Current standard of care for diabetic nephropathy embraces stringent blood pressure control via blockade of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and glycemia control. Recent understanding of the pathophysiology of diabetic nephropathy has led to the development of novel therapeutic options. This review article focuses on available data from landmark studies on the main therapeutic approaches and highlights some novel management strategies.



This article is included in the **F1000 Faculty Reviews** channel.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status:

	Invited Referees		
	1	2	3
version 1 published 31 May 2016			

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

- 1 **Bruce M Hendry**, King's College London UK
- 2 **York PC Pei**, University of Toronto Canada
- 3 **Todd S Ing**, Loyola University Chicago USA

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Sydney C.W. Tang (scwtang@hku.hk)

How to cite this article: Tang SCW, Chan GCW and Lai KN. **Recent advances in managing and understanding diabetic nephropathy [version 1; referees: 3 approved]** *F1000Research* 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1044 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7693.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7693.1))

Copyright: © 2016 Tang SCW *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 81570647), the National Basic Research Program of China 973 program no. 2012CB517600 (no. 2012CB517606), an Endowment Fund established for the “Yu Professorship in Nephrology” awarded to Sydney C.W. Tang, and generous donations from Mr. Winston Leung of Keen Union Investment Ltd, and Mr. Chan Kwok Keung of the Hong Kong Concrete and the Continental Cement and Gypsum Co. Ltd. We apologize to those investigators whose work was not cited here due to space limitation.

Competing interests: None to declare.

First published: 31 May 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):1044 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7693.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7693.1))

Introduction

Diabetic nephropathy (DN) affects approximately one-third of individuals with diabetes mellitus (DM) and carries with it considerable cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Despite modern management of DM, the prevalence of this clinical entity continues to increase in association with an escalating diabetic population and, surprisingly, the excess mortality risk of DM is practically exclusively correlated with the occurrence of DN. Realistically, finding therapeutic modalities to stem this inexorable tide hinges upon a thorough understanding of the pathogenetic mechanisms leading to DN.

Recent evidence shows that DN comprises a heavy inflammatory element triggered by metabolic disorders, protein overload, and hemodynamic abnormalities¹⁻³. Although traditionally viewed to be glomerular in origin, emerging data suggest that the tubular epithelial cell plays an important role in orchestrating renal inflammation in DN. The activation of NF- κ B and pro-inflammatory chemokines/cytokines in tubular epithelial cells were associated with the extent of the proteinuria and interstitial cell infiltration⁴. Targeting some of NF- κ B-related inflammatory molecules may have therapeutic potential. For instance, blocking CCL2 has shown promise in preliminary clinical trials and will be discussed below. Another potentially important mediator of metabolic inflammation during DN is the Toll-like receptor (TLR). Overexpression of TLR2 and TLR4 in monocytes is positively correlated with hemoglobin A1c (HbA_{1c}) levels in diabetic patients⁵, and TLR4 is also expressed in the renal tubules of human kidney biopsies of DN⁶. As blockade of TLR signaling has not yet been developed for clinical application, it will not be further discussed. Herein, we review the established therapeutic armamentarium and the progress in this emerging field, highlighting some novel management strategies arising from recent understanding of the mechanistic pathways leading to DN.

Current standard of approach to diabetic nephropathy Glycemic optimization

Extended observations from the EDIC (*Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications*) study on the original Diabetes Control and Complications Trial cohort of type 1 diabetics clearly demonstrated a legacy effect of early intensive diabetic control beyond 18 years, with an overall risk reduction of 44% in developing chronic kidney disease (CKD) with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) lower than 60 ml/min/1.73m²⁷⁻⁹. For type 2 diabetics, the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS)¹⁰ with follow-up of 3,867 newly diagnosed patients showed that, compared with the conventional group (achieved HbA_{1c} 7.9%), the risk in the intensive group (HbA_{1c} 7.0%) was 12% lower for any diabetes-related endpoint; 10% lower for any diabetes-related death; and 6% lower for all-cause mortality. The majority of the lowered risk in any diabetes-related aggregate endpoint was attributable to a 25% risk reduction in microvascular endpoints. More recently, the ADVANCE (*Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified Release Controlled Evaluation*) trial, that included 11,140 patients¹¹, also demonstrated the value of tight glycemic control in terms of reduction of albuminuria (risk reduced by 9% and 30% for micro- and macro-albuminuria, respectively) and the risk of end-stage renal disease (ESRD, by 65%).

These encouraging data must be interpreted with caution, as reduction in albuminuria may be offset by the negative consequences of hypoglycemia from strict diabetic control. In the UKPDS¹⁰, patients in the intensive group had significantly more hypoglycemic episodes than those in the conventional group, regardless of whether data were analyzed by intent-to-treat or actual therapy. The ACCORD (*Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes*) trial was terminated early due to excess mortality in the intensive therapy arm (HbA_{1c} target <6.0%) versus the standard arm (HbA_{1c} 7.0–7.9%)¹². Likewise, severe hypoglycemia observed in the ADVANCE cohort was linked to a range of adverse clinical effects, which prompted speculation on what constitutes optimal diabetic control¹³.

The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists recommends an HbA_{1c} target of <6.5%, while the American Diabetes Association sets a goal of HbA_{1c} <7%, aiming to strike a balance between the risk of hypoglycemia and the clear benefit of renoprotection¹⁴.

Blood pressure control: the renin-angiotensin system

In patients with DM, hypertension has long been known to be an independent, modifiable variable which predisposes individuals to the development and acceleration of micro- and macro-vascular problems. Prospective observational data from UK Prospective Diabetes Study 36 showed that, for every 10 mmHg reduction in systolic blood pressure, there was a decrease in all DM-related complications and death by 12% and 15%, respectively¹⁵. This is echoed by *post-hoc* analyses of 1,513 type 2 DM patients with confirmed DN and hypertension in the RENAAL (*Reduction of Endpoints in NIDDM with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan*) trial that demonstrated that the risk of ESRD or death was raised by 6.7% for each 10 mmHg increase in baseline systolic blood pressure¹⁶.

Blockade of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) using angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi) or angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB) is superior to using other anti-hypertensive agents in DN. They provide other renoprotective benefits beyond simply regulation of blood pressure, which are apparent from the results of the MARVAL (*Micro-Albuminuria Reduction with Valsartan*) study. For any given level of blood pressure reduction, after 24 weeks valsartan was shown to perform better than amlodipine in reducing micro-albuminuria (56% compared to 92% from baseline) in 332 type 2 DM individuals¹⁷. Treatment with ACEi was found to restrict development to macro-albuminuria by 60% in a meta-analysis of 698 non-hypertensive type 1 DM patients with micro-albuminuria. Additionally, an increased odds ratio of 3.07 (95% confidence interval [CI] 2.15 – 4.44; P < 0.001) for regression to normo-albuminuria was demonstrated¹⁸. Moreover, a sub-study of the IRMA-2 (*Irbesartan in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Micro-albuminuria*) trial showed that the reduction in micro-albuminuria by RAS blockade may persist, even after treatment withdrawal, which implies that glomerular structural normalization may be occurring¹⁹. In addition to the effects on micro-albuminuria, RAS blockade is equally effective in controlling macro-albuminuria^{20,21}.

Ameliorating albuminuria forms an integral treatment goal to reduce hard renal endpoints for RAS blockade. Irbesartan was found to decrease the risk of serum creatinine doubling and

progression to ESRD by 33% and 23%, respectively, in the IDNT (*Irbesartan Diabetic Nephropathy Trial*) involving 1,715 hypertensive type 2 DN patients and a mean follow-up of 2.6 years²². Similar observations have arisen from the *post-hoc* analyses of RENAAL, in which a 50% decrease in albuminuria after 6 months of losartan treatment correlated with a 45% decreased risk for ESRD at 4 years of follow-up²³. These findings recapitulate the renoprotective effect of captopril in type 1 diabetics with overt nephropathy²⁰.

There is little direct comparison between ACEi and ARB and they appear to have comparable efficacy in DN, although intractable dry cough may be associated with ACE inhibition. These findings are reinforced by the DETAIL (*Diabetics Exposed to Telmisartan and Enalapril*) trial, a randomized clinical trial (RCT) comparing telmisartan to enalapril in 250 type 2 DN patients. After 5 years, the degree of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) decline, albuminuria and ESRD incidence were no different between the study arms²⁴.

It must be borne in mind that secondary prevention trials have so far provided all existing data for RAS blockade. In addition, the use of the dihydropyridine class of calcium channel blockers (CCB) in the control group in some of the RCTs, such as MARVAL (17), could be a potential confounder, as this class of CCB is known to increase afferent arteriolar vasodilation and therefore may aggravate microalbuminuria in the control group. The National Kidney Foundation KDOQI clinical practice guidelines have not recommended using ACEi or ARB for the primary prevention of DN in normotensive individuals with normo-albuminuria²⁵.

Exploiting the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone axis

There is a theoretical pharmacologic basis for combining ACEi and ARB to maximize RAS blockade. In the CALM (*Candesartan and Lisinopril Micro-albuminuria*) study, a combination of candesartan and lisinopril was shown to lower micro-albuminuria more effectively than either drug alone at 12 weeks²⁶. However, longer follow-up studies were never able to reproduce these short-term results. Moreover, no trial has as yet clearly demonstrated a more favorable renal outcome with dual RAS blockade. The findings from one RCT—ONTARGET (*Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial*), in which ramipril, telmisartan or both were administered to 25,620 high vascular risk patients (37.5% diabetics)—question the use of dual blockade, as combination therapy was shown to increase the composite outcome of dialysis, doubling of serum creatinine, and death (hazard ratio [HR] 1.09; 95% CI 1.01 – 1.18; $P \leq 0.037$)²⁷. The immediate response from the renal community was that ONTARGET was likely to be off target²⁸. More recently, however, VA NEPHRON-D (*Veterans Affairs Nephropathy in Diabetes*) looked at 1,448 type 2 DN patients with eGFR 30–89.9 mL/min/1.73m² treated with losartan alone or in combination with lisinopril²⁹. After a median follow-up of just 2.2 years, the trial ended early due to no renal benefit being observed with dual therapy and an excessive risk of hyperkalemia (9.9% vs. 4.4%) and acute kidney injury (18% vs. 11%). In DN patients with more advanced CKD, dual RAS inhibition would carry an even greater risk. In general, therefore, combination therapy cannot be advised for DN management.

Apart from combining ACEi and ARB, aldosterone antagonism may be another approach to complementing RAS blockade. In fact, meta-analyses have demonstrated that a supplement of a mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist (MRA) given to those treated with ACEi or ARB produces a decrease in proteinuria in the CKD population³⁰. Such beneficial effects were likewise observed in DN cohorts following administration of non-selective (spironolactone)^{31–33} and selective (eplerenone)³⁴ MRA. However, several of the studies exploring the use of aldosterone antagonism in combination with RAS inhibition found evidence for a greater risk of hyperkalemia.

Finerenone is a new nonsteroidal MRA with increased receptor selectivity compared to spironolactone and greater receptor affinity than eplerenone *in vitro*, along with a less frequent occurrence of hyperkalemia than spironolactone³⁵. In a recent trial³⁶ that recruited patients with type 2 DM and urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) above 30 mg/g, finerenone added to ACEi or ARB produced a dose-dependent decrease in UACR without inducing hyperkalemia at day 90. The study had several important limitations³⁷. For example, 60% of participants had GFR >60 mL/min/1.73m², and consequently had a greatly decreased risk of hyperkalemia when compared with participants that had more severe renal disease. Additionally, two-thirds of the patients were receiving loop or thiazide diuretics, which facilitate kaliuresis. Finally, only a small drop in blood pressure was observed in those having the highest dose of finerenone, contrasting with earlier reports showing that steroidal MRAs lower blood pressure when combined with other medications, including RAS blockers. This might indicate a different mechanism of action of steroidal and nonsteroidal MRAs.

Lipid Lowering Therapy

Statins are the most widely used class of drug for lipid lowering in individuals with type 2 diabetes, reflecting the indisputable evidence that lowering of LDL cholesterol in individuals with type 2 diabetes is associated with reduced cardiovascular events and mortality. The role of lipid-lowering treatments in renoprotection for patients with diabetes, however, is debatable. In the Medical Research Council/British Heart Foundation (MRC/BHF) Heart Protection Study³⁸, subgroup analysis for participants with diabetes, allocation to simvastatin (40 mg/day) significantly decreased the rise in serum creatinine values. Subjects with late stage CKD were not studied, as those with serum creatinine >200 μmol/L were excluded from the trial. On the other hand, allocation to simvastatin plus ezetimibe in the Study of Heart and Renal Protection (SHARP)³⁹ comprising 23% diabetic subjects did not produce significant reductions in any of the prespecified measures of renal disease progression among the subgroup of 6,247 nondialysis patients with a mean eGFR of 26.6 mL/min/1.73m². Whether lipid lowering could only confer tangible renoprotection during early rather than late CKD requires further investigation.

In the Greek atorvastatin and coronary heart disease evaluation (GREACE)⁴⁰ patients given atorvastatin had a significant reduction in urinary albumin excretion; however, separate analysis for type

2 diabetes was not included in the study. Such findings have been echoed recently by the PLANET I study⁴¹, in which treatment with atorvastatin 80 mg lowered UPCR substantially more than rosuvastatin 10 mg (-15.6%, 95% CI -28.3 to -0.5; p=0.043) or rosuvastatin 40 mg (-18.2%, -30.2 to -4.2; p=0.013). It must be cautioned that such doses of atorvastatin are unusually high for the average CKD patient.

Novel therapeutic modalities

Despite maximal RAS inhibition and other measures to control blood pressure and hyperglycemia, DN progression to ESRD remains intractable in many patients. Renewed understanding of the pathophysiology of DN has fueled the development of several potentially promising novel therapeutic options, and these are summarized below.

Pleotropic renoprotective effects of anti-diabetic drugs beyond glycaemic control

Certain hypoglycemic agents have been shown to confer independent renoprotective effects beyond their hypoglycemic action. For instance, peroxisome proliferator activator receptor-gamma (PPAR- γ) agonists, also known as thiazolidinediones (TZD), have direct renoprotective effects in experimental models⁴². However, reports from clinical studies have been varied, with some achieving encouraging results by lowering proteinuria^{43–44}, whilst some have demonstrated no meaningful effect⁴⁵. *Post-hoc* analysis of the results of the PROactive (*Prospective Pioglitazone Clinical Trial in Macro-vascular Events*) study, which involved 5,238 DM subjects with macro-vascular complications, even reported a larger decrease in eGFR with pioglitazone⁴⁶. Amongst the confusion, a meta-analysis of 15 TZD trials (10 with pioglitazone; 5 with rosiglitazone) which enrolled 2,860 patients did show a significant decline in albuminuria⁴⁷. Apart from these surrogate end-points, however, there is still no data to support the fact that TZDs may improve hard renal outcomes, and several safety concerns have now been raised regarding these drugs, including heightened cardiovascular risks^{48,49} and malignancy^{50,51}. With the current evidence, TZDs are unlikely to be a major player in the therapeutic armamentarium for DN.

Glucagon-like peptide 1, an incretin which promotes insulin and suppresses glucagon release, is produced by the gut when food is ingested and it is degraded by dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4)⁵². A novel group of hypoglycemic agents in the form of DPP-4 inhibitors have emerged in the treatment paradigm of DM, and experimental models have indicated possible renoprotective benefits^{53,54}. Currently, data has only been obtained from a few clinical trials; however, in small, uncontrolled studies, 6 months of sitagliptin⁵⁵ or 12 weeks of alogliptin⁵⁶ lowered albuminuria in patients with type 2 DM. These findings must be interpreted with caution, as the sample size was small and treatment had prompted HbA_{1c} to be lowered appropriately. Thus, it is difficult to delineate the role of the improved glycaemic control in the reduction of albuminuria. However, the results of four phase III studies, comprising 217 patients with DN on RAS inhibition, indicated that 24 weeks of linagliptin significantly reduced albuminuria (32% reduction; 95% CI -42 to -21; P < 0.05), independent of HbA_{1c}⁵⁷. The encouraging findings regarding DPP-4 inhibitors, combined with their tolerability, weight neutral benefit and low risk of hypoglycemia^{58,59} have

triggered further research into the gut-renal axis as a possible focus of future treatments⁶⁰. Indeed, numerous clinical trials are currently underway to explore incretin-based therapies for retarding the progression of DN.

Vitamin D receptor activators

Vitamin D receptor (VDR) activators demonstrated anti-inflammatory and anti-proteinuric effects in animal models of DN^{61,62}. Findings from the phase III VITAL (*Selective Vitamin D Receptor Activation with Paricalcitol for Reduction of Albuminuria in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes*) trial indicate that adjuvant paricalcitol at 2 μ g/day lowers residual albuminuria in DN⁶³. However, 42% of patients needed a reduced dose of paricalcitol due to poor tolerance, not to mention the additional drawback of the high cost of treatment. Therefore, concrete evidence demonstrating the successful use of VDR activators to retard the progression of DN is still awaited.

Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibition

Apart from their ability to enhance urinary glucose excretion and aid glycaemic control, SGLT-2 inhibitors appear to also promote an attractive cardiovascular portfolio that includes blood pressure and body weight optimization^{64–66}. In the EMPA-REG study⁶⁷ that has recruited over 7,000 type 2 diabetics at high cardiovascular risk, empagliflozin when added to standard care reduced the rates of death from cardiovascular causes (3.7%, vs. 5.9% in the placebo group; 38% relative risk reduction [RRR]), hospitalization for heart failure (2.7% and 4.1%, respectively; 35% RRR), and death from any cause (5.7% and 8.3%, respectively; 32% RRR). Unpublished data (presented at the American Society of Nephrology Kidney Week 2015 in San Diego) on renal outcomes are also promising, with significant reductions in new onset or worsening of nephropathy and the composite renal endpoints of doubling of serum creatinine, initiation of renal replacement therapy or death from renal cause.

Selective C-C chemokine receptor type 2 antagonism

Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), also called C-C chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2), one of the ligands for C-C chemokine receptor type 2 (CCR2), has been implicated not only in insulin resistance but also in progressive renal injury, and has been suggested to be a potential marker of renal disease. In DN, MCP-1 overexpression plays an indispensable role in promoting monocyte and macrophage migration and activation⁶⁸. CCX140-B is a small molecule CCR2 antagonist that inhibits CCR2 and blocks MCP-1-dependent monocyte activation and chemotaxis. Data from preclinical studies suggested that oral CCX140-B improved glycaemia and albuminuria in a mouse model of diabetes⁶⁹.

The first evidence that CCR2 inhibition lowers albuminuria in DN came from a recent European study⁷⁰. Patients with type 2 DM aged 18–75 years with UACR 100–3000 mg/g, eGFR \geq 25 mL/min/1.73m², and taking stable antidiabetic treatment and an ACEi or ARB for at least 8 weeks, were stratified to oral placebo, 5 mg CCX140-B, or 10 mg CCX140-B once a day. UACR changes from baseline during 52 weeks were -2% for placebo (95% CI -11% to 9%), -18% for 5 mg CCX140-B (-26% to -8%), and -11% for 10 mg CCX140-B (-20% to -1%). There was a -16% difference between 5 mg CCX140-B and placebo and a -10% difference between

10 mg CCX140-B and placebo, without significant difference in adverse events or renal events during the study. The data suggest that CCR2 inhibition with CCX140-B has albumin-lowering effects on top of current standard of care in patients with DN. Translation into hard evidence in follow-up studies that test whether CCX140-B also limits progression to end-stage renal disease is needed.

Conclusion

Despite improved understanding of the pathophysiology of DN over the last 2 decades, an effective and specific treatment for this inexorable condition remains limited as the incidence of type 2 DM is predicted to continue an exponential upward trajectory, particularly in the developing world. The clinician is still equipped with no more than merely RAS blockers for control of blood pressure, various hypoglycemic agents for optimizing blood glucose and perhaps statins for controlling hyperlipidemia. Large-scale clinical trials that rode on the identification of emerging pathophysiologic pathways have met successes and tribulations

[reviewed in reference 71] and we await the results of a number of further trials in the therapeutics of DN.

Competing interests

None to declare.

Grant information

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 81570647), the National Basic Research Program of China 973 program no. 2012CB517600 (no. 2012CB517606), an Endowment Fund established for the “Yu Professorship in Nephrology” awarded to Sydney C.W. Tang, and generous donations from Mr. Winston Leung of Keen Union Investment Ltd, and Mr. Chan Kwok Keung of the Hong Kong Concrete and the Continental Cement and Gypsum Co. Ltd. We apologize to those investigators whose work was not cited here due to space limitation.

References



1. Navarro-González JF, Mora-Fernández C: **The role of inflammatory cytokines in diabetic nephropathy.** *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2008; **19**(3): 433–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Wada J, Makino H: **Inflammation and the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy.** *Clin Sci (Lond).* 2013; **124**(3): 139–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
3. Lai KN, Leung JC, Tang SC: **The renin-angiotensin system.** *Contrib Nephrol.* 2011; **170**: 135–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Mezzano S, Aros C, Droguett A, et al.: **NF-kappaB activation and overexpression of regulated genes in human diabetic nephropathy.** *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2004; **19**(10): 2505–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Dasu MR, Devaraj S, Park S, et al.: **Increased toll-like receptor (TLR) activation and TLR ligands in recently diagnosed type 2 diabetic subjects.** *Diabetes Care.* 2010; **33**(4): 861–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
6. Lin M, Yiu WH, Wu HJ, et al.: **Toll-like receptor 4 promotes tubular inflammation in diabetic nephropathy.** *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2012; **23**(1): 86–102.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
7. **F** DCCT/EDIC research group: **Effect of intensive diabetes treatment on albuminuria in type 1 diabetes: long-term follow-up of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial and Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications study.** *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2014; **2**(10): 793–800.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
8. **F** de Boer IH; DCCT/EDIC Research Group: **Kidney disease and related findings in the diabetes control and complications trial/epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications study.** *Diabetes Care.* 2014; **37**(1): 24–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
9. **F** DCCT/EDIC Research Group, de Boer IH, Sun W, et al.: **Intensive diabetes therapy and glomerular filtration rate in type 1 diabetes.** *N Engl J Med.* 2011; **365**(25): 2366–76.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
10. **Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33).** UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. *Lancet.* 1998; **352**(9131): 837–53.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
11. **F** Perkovic V, Heerspink HL, Chalmers J, et al.: **Intensive glucose control improves kidney outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes.** *Kidney Int.* 2013; **83**(3): 517–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
12. Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group, Gerstein HC, Miller ME, et al.: **Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes.** *N Engl J Med.* 2008; **358**(24): 2545–59.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
13. **F** Zoungas S, Patel A, Chalmers J, et al.: **Severe hypoglycemia and risks of vascular events and death.** *N Engl J Med.* 2010; **363**(15): 1410–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
14. American Diabetes Association: **Standards of medical care in diabetes—2014.** *Diabetes Care.* 2014; **37**(Suppl 1): S14–80.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Adler AI, Stratton IM, Neil HA, et al.: **Association of systolic blood pressure with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 36): prospective observational study.** *BMJ.* 2000; **321**(7258): 412–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
16. Bakris GL, Weir MR, Shanifar S, et al.: **Effects of blood pressure level on progression of diabetic nephropathy: results from the RENAAL study.** *Arch Intern Med.* 2003; **163**(13): 1555–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Viberti G, Wheeldon NM: **Microalbuminuria Reduction With Valsartan (MARVAL) Study Investigators: Microalbuminuria reduction with valsartan in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a blood pressure-independent effect.** *Circulation.* 2002; **106**(6): 672–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
18. ACE Inhibitors in Diabetic Nephropathy Trialist Group: **Should all patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria receive angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors? A meta-analysis of individual patient data.** *Ann Intern Med.* 2001; **134**(5): 370–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
19. Andersen S, Bröchner-Mortensen J, Parving HH, et al.: **Kidney function during and after withdrawal of long-term irbesartan treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes and microalbuminuria.** *Diabetes Care.* 2003; **26**(12): 3296–302.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
20. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, et al.: **The effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy.** The Collaborative Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1993; **329**(20): 1456–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
21. Brenner BM, Cooper ME, de Zeeuw D, et al.: **Effects of losartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy.** *N Engl J Med.* 2001; **345**(12): 861–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
22. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, et al.: **Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes.** *N Engl J Med.* 2001; **345**(12): 851–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

23. de Zeeuw D, Remuzzi G, Parving HH, *et al.*: Proteinuria, a target for renoprotection in patients with type 2 diabetic nephropathy: lessons from RENAAL. *Kidney Int.* 2004; 65(6): 2309–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
24. Barnett AH, Bain SC, Bouter P, *et al.*: Angiotensin-receptor blockade versus converting-enzyme inhibition in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2004; 351(19): 1952–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
25. National Kidney Foundation: KDOQI Clinical Practice Guideline for Diabetes and CKD: 2012 Update. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2012; 60(5): 850–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
26. Mogensen CE, Neldam S, Tikkanen I, *et al.*: Randomised controlled trial of dual blockade of renin-angiotensin system in patients with hypertension, microalbuminuria, and non-insulin dependent diabetes: the candesartan and lisinopril microalbuminuria (CALM) study. *BMJ.* 2000; 321(7274): 1440–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
27. **F** Mann JF, Schmieder RE, McQueen M, *et al.*: Renal outcomes with telmisartan, ramipril, or both, in people at high vascular risk (the ONTARGET study): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2008; 372(9638): 547–53.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
28. Ruggenenti P, Remuzzi G: Proteinuria: Is the ONTARGET renal substudy actually off target? *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2009; 5(8): 436–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. **F** Fried LF, Emanuele N, Zhang JH, *et al.*: Combined angiotensin inhibition for the treatment of diabetic nephropathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 369(20): 1892–903.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
30. Navaneethan SD, Nigwekar SU, Sehgal AR, *et al.*: Aldosterone antagonists for preventing the progression of chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009; 4(3): 542–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
31. Schjoedt KJ, Rossing K, Juhl TR, *et al.*: Beneficial impact of spironolactone on nephrotic range albuminuria in diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney Int.* 2006; 70(3): 536–42.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
32. **F** Mehdi UF, Adams-Huet B, Raskin P, *et al.*: Addition of angiotensin receptor blockade or mineralocorticoid antagonism to maximal angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in diabetic nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009; 20(12): 2641–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
33. Rachmani R, Slavachevsky I, Amit M, *et al.*: The effect of spironolactone, cilazapril and their combination on albuminuria in patients with hypertension and diabetic nephropathy is independent of blood pressure reduction: a randomized controlled study. *Diabet Med.* 2004; 21(5): 471–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
34. Epstein M, Williams GH, Weinberger M, *et al.*: Selective aldosterone blockade with eplerenone reduces albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2006; 1(5): 940–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
35. **F** Pitt B, Kober L, Ponikowski P, *et al.*: Safety and tolerability of the novel non-steroidal mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist BAY 94-8862 in patients with chronic heart failure and mild or moderate chronic kidney disease: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2013; 34(31): 2453–63.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
36. **F** Bakris GL, Agarwal R, Chan JC, *et al.*: Effect of Finerenone on Albuminuria in Patients With Diabetic Nephropathy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 2015; 314(9): 884–94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
37. **F** Weir MR: Diabetic nephropathy: Nonsteroidal MRA added to RAS blockade reduces albuminuria. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2015; 11(12): 691–2.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
38. Collins R, Armitage J, Parish S, *et al.*: MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin in 5963 people with diabetes: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2003; 361(9374): 2005–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
39. **F** Baigent C, Landray MJ, Reith C, *et al.*: The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin plus ezetimibe in patients with chronic kidney disease (Study of Heart and Renal Protection): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2011; 377(9784): 2181–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
40. Athyros VG, Mikhailidis DP, Papageorgiou AA, *et al.*: The effect of statins versus untreated dyslipidaemia on renal function in patients with coronary heart disease. A subgroup analysis of the Greek atorvastatin and coronary heart disease evaluation (GREACE) study. *J Clin Pathol.* 2004; 57(7): 728–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
41. **F** de Zeeuw D, Anzalone DA, Cain VA, *et al.*: Renal effects of atorvastatin and rosuvastatin in patients with diabetes who have progressive renal disease (PLANET I): a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2015; 3(3): 181–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
42. Isshiki K, Haneda M, Koya D, *et al.*: Thiazolidinedione compounds ameliorate glomerular dysfunction independent of their insulin-sensitizing action in diabetic rats. *Diabetes.* 2000; 49(6): 1022–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
43. Bakris GL, Ruilope LM, McMorn SO, *et al.*: Rosiglitazone reduces microalbuminuria and blood pressure independently of glycemia in type 2 diabetes patients with microalbuminuria. *J Hypertens.* 2006; 24(10): 2047–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
44. Hanefeld M, Brunetti P, Scherthanner GH, *et al.*: One-year glyemic control with a sulfonylurea plus pioglitazone versus a sulfonylurea plus metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2004; 27(1): 141–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
45. Agarwal R, Saha C, Battiwala M, *et al.*: A pilot randomized controlled trial of renal protection with pioglitazone in diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney Int.* 2005; 68(1): 285–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
46. **F** Schneider CA, Ferrannini E, Defronzo R, *et al.*: Effect of pioglitazone on cardiovascular outcome in diabetes and chronic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2008; 19(1): 182–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
47. Sarafidis PA, Stafylas PC, Georgianos PI, *et al.*: Effect of thiazolidinediones on albuminuria and proteinuria in diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2010; 55(5): 835–47.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
48. **F** Nissen SE, Wolski K: Effect of rosiglitazone on the risk of myocardial infarction and death from cardiovascular causes. *N Engl J Med.* 2007; 356(24): 2457–71.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
49. **F** Graham DJ, Ouellet-Hellstrom R, MaCurdy TE, *et al.*: Risk of acute myocardial infarction, stroke, heart failure, and death in elderly Medicare patients treated with rosiglitazone or pioglitazone. *JAMA.* 2010; 304(4): 411–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
50. **F** Turner RM, Kwok CS, Chen-Turner C, *et al.*: Thiazolidinediones and associated risk of bladder cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2014; 78(2): 258–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
51. Colmers IN, Bowker SL, Majumdar SR, *et al.*: Use of thiazolidinediones and the risk of bladder cancer among people with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *CMAJ.* 2012; 184(12): E675–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
52. Martin JH, Deacon CF, Gorrell MD, *et al.*: Incretin-based therapies—review of the physiology, pharmacology and emerging clinical experience. *Intern Med J.* 2011; 41(4): 299–307.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
53. Liu WJ, Xie SH, Liu YN, *et al.*: Dipeptidyl peptidase IV inhibitor attenuates kidney injury in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2012; 340(2): 248–55.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
54. Alter ML, Ott IM, von Websky K, *et al.*: DPP-4 inhibition on top of angiotensin receptor blockade offers a new therapeutic approach for diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney Blood Press Res.* 2012; 36(1): 119–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
55. Hattori S: Sitagliptin reduces albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Endocr J.* 2011; 58(1): 69–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
56. Sakata K, Hayakawa M, Yano Y, *et al.*: Efficacy of alogliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, on glucose parameters, the activity of the advanced glycation end product (AGE) - receptor for AGE (RAGE) axis and albuminuria in Japanese type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2013; 29(8): 624–30.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
57. **F** Groop PH, Cooper ME, Perkovic V, *et al.*: Linagliptin lowers albuminuria on top of recommended standard treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes and renal dysfunction. *Diabetes Care.* 2013; 36(11): 3460–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
58. Scherthanner G, Barnett AH, Emser A, *et al.*: Safety and tolerability of linagliptin: a pooled analysis of data from randomized controlled trials in 3572 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2012; 14(5): 470–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
59. **F** Lehrke M, Marx N, Patel S, *et al.*: Safety and Tolerability of Linagliptin in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: A Comprehensive Pooled Analysis of 22 Placebo-controlled Studies. *Clin Ther.* 2014; 36(8): 1130–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
60. Muskiet MH, Smits MM, Morsink LM, *et al.*: The gut-renal axis: do incretin-based agents confer renoprotection in diabetes? *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2014; 10(2): 88–103.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
61. Sanchez-Niño M, Bozic MD, Córdoba-Lanús E, *et al.*: Beyond proteinuria: VDR activation reduces renal inflammation in experimental diabetic nephropathy. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol.* 2012; 302(6): F647–57.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
62. **F** Zhang Z, Zhang Y, Ning G, *et al.*: Combination therapy with AT1 blocker

- and vitamin D analog markedly ameliorates diabetic nephropathy: blockade of compensatory renin increase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; **105**(41): 15896–901. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
63. **F** de Zeeuw D, Agarwal R, Amdahl M, *et al.*: **Selective vitamin D receptor activation with paricalcitol for reduction of albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes (VITAL study): a randomised controlled trial.** *Lancet*. 2010; **376**(9752): 1543–51. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
64. **F** Barnett AH, Mithal A, Manassie J, *et al.*: **Efficacy and safety of empagliflozin added to existing antidiabetes treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.** *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2014; **2**(5): 369–84. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
65. Tahrani AA, Barnett AH, Bailey CJ: **SGLT inhibitors in management of diabetes.** *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2013; **1**(2): 140–51. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
66. **F** Musso G, Gambino R, Cassader M, *et al.*: **A novel approach to control hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: sodium glucose co-transport (SGLT) inhibitors: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials.** *Ann Med*. 2012; **44**(4): 375–93. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
67. **F** Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, *et al.*: **Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes.** *N Engl J Med*. 2015; **373**(22): 2117–28. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
68. Tang SC, Lai KN: **The pathogenic role of the renal proximal tubular cell in diabetic nephropathy.** *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2012; **27**(8): 3049–56. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
69. **F** Sullivan T, Miao Z, Dairaghi DJ, *et al.*: **CCR2 antagonist CCX140-B provides renal and glycemic benefits in diabetic transgenic human CCR2 knockin mice.** *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2013; **305**(9): F1288–97. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
70. **F** de Zeeuw D, Bekker P, Henkel E, *et al.*: **The effect of CCR2 inhibitor CCX140-B on residual albuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy: a randomised trial.** *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2015; **3**(9): 687–96. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
71. Chan GC, Tang SC: **Diabetic nephropathy: landmark clinical trials and tribulations.** *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2016; **31**(3): 359–68. [PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious **F1000 Faculty** and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1 **Todd S Ing**, Stritch School of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago, Maywood, IL, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 2 **York PC Pei**, Division of Nephrology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 3 **Bruce M Hendry**, Department of Renal Medicine, King's College London, London, UK
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.