

1

2

3

4 Testing the hyper-articulation and prosodic hypotheses of child-directed speech: Insights

5 from the perceptual and acoustic characteristics of child-directed Cantonese tones

6 Puisan Wong¹ and Kelly Wing Sum Ng²

7 ¹Corresponding author. Division of Speech and Hearing Sciences, Faculty of Education, The University

8 of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong. Email: pswResearch@gmail.com

9 ²Division of Speech and Hearing Sciences, Faculty of Education, The University of Hong Kong,

10 Pokfulam, Hong Kong. Email: kellynws@connect.hku.hk

11 Acknowledgements

12 This research was supported by funding from the General Research Fund (GRF) of the Research

13 Grant Council of Hong Kong to the first author.

14

15 Abstract

16 **Purpose:** The function of child-directed speech has been debated for decades. This study
17 examined the perceptual and acoustic characteristics of child-directed and adult-directed
18 Cantonese tones to test the hyper-articulation and prosodic hypotheses that have been
19 proposed to account for the acoustic modifications in child-directed speech.

20 **Method:** Sixty-two mother-child dyads participated in the study. The mothers verbally
21 labelled 30 pictures in monosyllabic isolated words and in the final position of a carrier
22 sentence to the experimenter and their one- to five-year-old children. The 8634 adult-directed
23 and child-directed productions were low-pass filtered to eliminate lexical information and
24 presented to five judges for tone identification. Acoustic analysis was performed on the
25 productions.

26 **Results:** Acoustically, child-directed tones were produced with elevated pitch and the pitch
27 level decreased as the child's age increased. Acoustic contrasts between phonetically similar
28 and more confusing tones were not enhanced in child-directed speech, and, unexpectedly,
29 child-directed tones were identified with lower accuracy than adult-directed tones. The
30 perceptual errors of child-directed tones mirrored the errors found in identifying tones
31 excised from sentence final position, which had a pitch lowering effect on the tones. The
32 lower perceptual accuracy, the lack of enhanced acoustic contrasts in confusing tone pairs,
33 and the similarities in the error patterns in identifying tones in child-directed speech and tones
34 in utterance final position suggest that the acoustic modifications in child-directed tones are
35 prosodic effects serving pragmatic purposes.

36 **Conclusion:** The findings reject the hyper-articulation hypothesis and support the prosodic
37 hypothesis of child-directed speech.

38

Introduction

39 Adults and children from different cultures instinctively adopt a special speech
40 register, called child-directed speech (CDS), when talking to young children (Fernald, et al.,
41 1989; Shatz & Gelman, 1973). Prosodically, CDS is produced with higher pitch (Fernald &
42 Simon, 1984; Garnica, 1977), larger pitch range (Cooper & Aslin, 1994; Fernald & Kuhl,
43 1987), and greater pitch variations (Fernald, 1989; Fisher & Tokura, 1996) than adult-
44 directed speech (ADS). These prosodic characteristics appear to be universal and have been
45 reported in different languages (Fernald et al., 1989; Grieser & Kuhl, 1988). The function of
46 the acoustic modifications in CDS is unclear. The hyper-articulation hypothesis proposes that
47 adults enhance the acoustic contrasts of phonemes in CDS to facilitate speech and language
48 acquisition in children, while the prosodic hypothesis suggests that adults modify the acoustic
49 signal in CDS for pragmatic purposes such as expressing affective emotions (e.g., Benders,
50 2013) and regulating children's attention (see Fernald, 1992). This study tested these two
51 hypotheses by comparing adult-directed and child-directed Cantonese tones.

52 Evidence for the Hyper-articulation Hypothesis for Child-directed Speech

53 Most of the supporting evidence for the hyper-articulation hypothesis derived from
54 studies that compared the vowel space formed by the formant frequencies of three corner
55 vowels /i, u, a/ in CDS and ADS (Kuhl et al., 1997; Liu, Tsao, & Kuhl, 2009). Larger vowel
56 space in CDS has been found across non-tonal (Burnham, Kitamura, & Vollmer-Conna, 2002;
57 Kuhl et al., 1997), tonal (Liu, Tsao, & Kuhl, 2009) and pitch-accent (Andruski, Kuhl, &
58 Hayashi, 1999) languages. These studies suggested that mothers exaggerated the vowels in
59 CDS to increase the acoustic distance between vowel categories, making the vowel contrasts
60 more salient for children's learning.

61 Studies that examined the relationship between mothers' use of CDS and their
62 children's language ability offered compelling supports to the hyper-articulation hypothesis.
63 Liu, Kuhl, & Tsao (2003) reported that the size of the vowel space of mothers' speech to their
64 children below one year of age correlated positively to the children's ability to discriminate
65 consonants (Liu, Kuhl, & Tsao, 2003) and the children's expressive language ability when
66 they turned five years old (Liu, 2014). Supported by the finding that two-year-old children
67 learned words better in CDS than in ADS (Ma, Golinkoff, Houston, & Hirsh-Pasek, 2011),
68 these studies strongly suggested that mothers hyper-articulate the phonemes in CDS for
69 didactic purposes.

70 **Challenges to the Hyper-articulation Hypothesis**

71 Yet, the hyper-articulation hypothesis was challenged by studies that measured not
72 only the corner vowels but also the inner vowels in the vowel space. Cristia and Seidl (2014)
73 and McMurray, Kovack-Lesh, Goodwin, and McEchron (2013) found that although the
74 corner vowels were expanded in CDS compared to ADS, not all interior vowels in CDS
75 shifted in the directions that enhanced phonetic contrasts. The formant measurements of some
76 inner vowels clustered closer together in the vowel space and larger acoustic variability of the
77 same vowel was found in CDS than in ADS, leading to substantial overlaps in the vowel
78 categories in CDS compared to ADS.

79 No study has compared the perceptual accuracy of the segmental phonemes produced
80 in ADS and CDS. A couple of studies used statistical models and computer algorithms to
81 examine the discriminability of the phonemes in ADS and CDS. McMurray et al (2013)
82 collected AD and CD vowel productions using a book reading task and employed a statistic
83 model to identify the vowels. Vowels in CDS were more difficult to identify than in ADS.

84 Martin et al., (2015) used a computer algorithm to discriminate Japanese AD and CD
85 phonemes and found similar results.

86 More importantly, not all studies found expanded vowel space in CDS. Benders
87 (2013), Dodane and Al-Tamimi (2007), and Englund and Behne (2006) reported reduced
88 vowel space in CDS in English, Dutch, French, and Japanese. Mixed findings in studies that
89 examined differences in voice onset time of stop consonants in CDS and ADS (Englund,
90 2005; Sundberg & Lacerda, 1999; McMurray et al., 2013) further challenged the hyper-
91 articulation hypothesis.

92 **The Prosodic Hypothesis of Child-directed Speech**

93 The inconsistent findings in the aforementioned studies casted doubts on the proposal
94 that mothers enhance the phonetic contrasts in CDS, leading to the proposal of the prosodic
95 hypothesis which postulates that mothers acoustically modify their speech to their children
96 for pragmatic purposes, such as expression of affects and regulating attention (Trainor &
97 Desjardins, 2002; Uther et al., 2007). The observed expanded vowel space in CDS is only a
98 by-product of the affective prosody in CDS (Benders, 2013; McMurray et al., 2013).

99 The prosodic hypothesis has been further supported by the acoustic similarities
100 between affective speech and CDS. Like CDS, affective speech tends to have higher pitch
101 and greater pitch range (Singh, Morgan, & Best, 2002). When participants were asked to
102 express target utterances emotionally in ADS, the acoustic features of ADS resembled those
103 in CDS (Trainor, Austin, & Desjardins, 2000). Singh et al. (2002) tested children's responses
104 to mothers' happy and neutral ADS and CDS. They found that infants demonstrated
105 significantly longer looking time when listening to happy CDS than neutral ADS and to
106 happy ADS than neutral CDS, showing that it was the happy emotions that resulted in

107 significantly longer looking time in infants. The findings also suggested that the acoustic
108 modifications observed in CDS may serve affective rather than didactic purposes.

109 Observations of heightened pitch levels in speech directed to foreigners and pets also
110 supported the prosodic function of CDS. Uther et al. (2007) compared the prosody in CDS,
111 ADS and foreigner-directed speech. Significantly higher pitch was found in CDS than in
112 foreigner-directed speech or ADS. The authors concluded that adults did not raise the pitch in
113 CDS for didactic purposes; otherwise pitch should be higher in both CDS and foreigner-
114 directed speech. Burnham et al. (2002) incorporated pet-directed speech into their study.
115 They hypothesized that speakers would not exaggerate their speech for didactic purposes
116 when talking to pets, but would raise their pitch levels for affective expressions. The results
117 showed that the pitch in both CDS and pet-directed speech were higher than in ADS, with no
118 difference in the pitch levels in CDS and pet-directed speech, supporting that acoustic
119 modifications in CDS serve pragmatic rather than didactic functions.

120 **Acoustic Modifications in Child-directed Lexical Tones**

121 The vast majority of research on CDS focused on the segmental characteristics of
122 non-tonal languages and few examined tonal languages. In tonal languages, pitch serves both
123 phonemic and prosodic purposes. Pitch patterns within a syllable, called lexical tones, play
124 the same role as the vowels and consonants in marking lexical contrasts. Thus, words
125 produced with the same segmental structure (i.e., the same syllable) but different pitch
126 contours in the syllable convey different meanings. Pitch is also used at the utterance level to
127 serve pragmatic purposes such as indicating questions and statements and expression of
128 emotions (Xu, Kelly, & Smillie, 2013). Therefore, the use of pitch in tonal languages
129 provides unique opportunities for testing the hyper-articulation and prosodic hypotheses. If
130 the hyper-articulation hypothesis is true, pitch modifications in CDS would enhance the tonal

131 contrasts. If the prosodic hypothesis is true, mothers would modify pitch at the utterance level
132 which would not enhance tonal contrasts and may compromise the identity of lexical tones. If
133 both hypotheses are true, an enhancement in the tonal contrasts and additional pitch
134 modifications that do not serve the enhancement of tonal contrasts would be observed.

135 Several studies have examined the acoustic features of child-directed Mandarin lexical
136 tones. Mandarin has four lexical tones (i.e., High-level, High-rising, Low-dipping and High-
137 falling) (Howie, 1976) and the primary and sufficient cue for tone identification is the level
138 and shape of the fundamental frequency (f_0) or pitch contour (Fu & Zeng, 2000; Xu & Wang,
139 2001). Liu et al. (2007, 2009) measured adult-directed and child-directed Mandarin tones and
140 found higher mean F_0 , larger F_0 range and longer duration in CDS than ADS. Based on the
141 findings, they claimed that mothers hyper-articulated the tones in CDS. However, the same
142 acoustic characteristics could also result from affective or emotional expressions. Because no
143 acoustic measures were performed on the shapes of the tones, which are the distinctive
144 perceptual cues of Mandarin tones (Xu & Wang, 2001), and no perceptual evaluation was
145 performed on the tones, it was unclear whether the contrasts of the tones in CDS were
146 enhanced.

147 Also, tone productions in ADS and CDS in the study may have been confounded by
148 the differences in the prosodic and phonetic contexts of the tones produced in CDS and ADS
149 because in the study, child-directed tones were collected from spontaneous productions of the
150 mothers when they were playing with their children with some selected toys, whereas adult-
151 directed tones were recorded while the mothers were talking about their children's interest to
152 the experimenter. The same tones or target words produced in the two speech registers were
153 likely produced in different utterance positions (e.g., isolation, utterance initial medial and
154 final), emotional contexts (e.g., expression of excitement, sadness, happiness during play),
155 phonetic contexts (e.g., coarticulation of tones in different tonal contexts), and sentence

156 structures (e.g., questions, statement). These contexts have been found to influence the F0 of
157 tones (Xu, 1997, 2001; Ma, Ciocca, & Whitehill, 2006). It is, therefore, unclear whether the
158 observed acoustic differences were attributed to the different phonetic and prosodic contexts
159 in the speech samples or differences in the two speech register used for different
160 conversational partners.

161 Wong (2018) examined the perceptual and acoustic properties of child-directed and
162 adult-directed Mandarin tones in monosyllabic and disyllabic words produced in isolation in
163 a picture reading task. The acoustic data confirmed that mothers produced the tones in CDS
164 with significantly higher pitch levels and more positive pitch slopes than in ADS. Given that
165 even the high level and the falling tones were also produced with more positive slopes in
166 CDS than in ADS, the acoustic modifications in CDS did not appear to enhance the tonal
167 contrasts and seemed to support the prosodic account. More importantly, child-directed tones
168 were identified with significantly lower accuracy than adult-directed tones. Thus, the findings
169 did not support that mothers hyper-articulated the tones in CDS. However, given that
170 Mandarin has a simpler tonal system and each of the four tones has distinct pitch contours, it
171 is possible that Mandarin-speaking mothers do not need to hyper-articulate the tones in
172 Mandarin to enhance tonal contrasts.

173 Cantonese is particularly appropriate for testing the two hypotheses of CDS because it
174 has a more complex tonal system with tonal contrasts that are confusing even for some native
175 Cantonese speakers (see Wong & Chan, 2018, for details). Phonemically, Cantonese has six
176 tones: Tone 1 (T1, High-Level, HL), Tone 2 (T2, High-Rising, HR), Tone 3 (T3, Mid-Level,
177 ML), Tone 4 (T4, Low-Falling, LF), Tone 5 (T5, Low-Rising, LR), and Tone 6 (T6, Low-
178 Level, LL). Unlike Mandarin tones which are mostly contrasted by F0/pitch shapes,
179 Cantonese tones are contrasted by both F0 /pitch levels and F0/pitch shapes. There are three
180 level tones (T1 (HL), T3 (ML), T6 (LL)), two rising tones (T2 (HR), T5 (LR)) and one

181 falling tone (T4 (LF)) in Cantonese. Figure 1 shows the F0 contours of the six tones produced
182 by nine female speakers in 718 monosyllabic words (Wong & Chan, 2018). Two pairs of
183 Cantonese tones, namely HR (T2) and LR (T5), and ML (T3) and LL (T6), have similar pitch
184 shapes and relative small differences in pitch levels (Fig 1). Even native Cantonese-speaking
185 adults have particular difficulties with these two pairs of tones (Ciocca & Lui, 2003; Lee,
186 Chan, Lam, van Hasselt, & Tong, 2015; Wong & Leung, 2018) and some native speakers
187 merge these pairs of tones and do not differentiate them in their perception and/or production
188 (Kei, Smith, So, Lau, & Capell, 2002; Mok, Zuo, & Wong, 2013). Given the lower perceptual
189 accuracy in these Cantonese tone pairs and because Cantonese-speaking children as old as six
190 years of age have not mastered the perception or production of the six Cantonese tones in
191 monosyllabic words (Wong, Fu & Cheung, 2017; Wong & Leung, 2018), if the hyper-
192 articulation hypothesis is right, mothers would produce Cantonese tones, particularly the
193 more confusing tone pairs, more distinctively in CDS to facilitate the discrimination of the
194 tones in young children. It was, therefore, predicted that the acoustic differences of the
195 confusing tone pairs would be larger in CDS than in ADS and higher perceptual accuracy
196 would be found for child-directed tones than adult-directed tones. On the other hand, if the
197 prosodic hypothesis is correct, mothers would use more varied pitch to express pragmatic
198 functions such as affections when speaking to children. Thus, the change of pitch at the
199 prosodic level may affect the F0 contours of the lexical tones at the syllable level, leading to
200 poorer identification of the tones in CDS. Also, contrastive acoustic differences of the
201 confusing tone pairs would not be systematically enhanced in CDS.

202 *Figure 1.* Fundamental frequency contours of Cantonese tones spoken by female
203 speakers

204

205 Few studies have tested the hyper-articulation hypothesis of CDS using Cantonese
206 tones. Rattanasone, Burnham and Reilly (2013) compared the tone space of the three corner
207 tones (T1 (HL), T2 (HR) and T4 (LF)) in CDS and ADS and found significantly larger tone
208 space in CDS than in ADS. They claimed that Cantonese-speaking mothers exaggerated the
209 acoustic differences in tones for didactic purposes, supporting the hyper-articulation
210 hypothesis. However, tone space formed by the onset and offset frequencies of the corner
211 tones may not represent meaningful acoustic cues for perceiving Cantonese tones. First, tone
212 contours at the onset of a syllable are affected by various factors such as the initial
213 consonants (Xu & Xu, 2003) and phonetic contexts (Xu, 1997, 2001; Wong & Strange, 2017)
214 and are not reliable cues for tone discrimination. The perceptual targets and reliable cues for
215 lexical tones are the F0/pitch levels and shapes towards the end of the syllable (Xu, 1997;
216 Whitehill, Ciocca, & Chow, 2000), which were not measured in the study. Second, the three
217 corner tones are among the most easily identifiable tones in Cantonese (Ciocca & Lui, 2003;
218 Lee et al., 2015). Third, the study did not control for the phonetic and prosodic variations in
219 the tones produced in child-directed and adult-directed conditions. Therefore, the acoustic
220 differences measured may be reflective of effects of contextual differences rather than effects
221 of CDS on tones.

222 **Developmental Changes of Child-directed Speech**

223 Limited research has investigated the developmental trend in the acoustic
224 modifications in CDS. The current findings show that as the children get older, the effect of
225 CDS decreases. Warren-Leubecker and Bohannon (1984) found that English-speaking
226 mothers produced higher pitch in their speech to two-year-olds than to five-year-olds.
227 Similarly, Amano, Nakatani, and Kondo (2006) found that Japanese mothers of children
228 between birth and five years of age lowered the pitch in CDS when speaking to older than to
229 younger children. Only one study examined developmental changes in CDS in tone

230 languages. Liu et al. (2009) found higher pitch level, larger tone space and larger pitch range
231 in Mandarin-speaking mothers' speech to two-year-olds than to 5-year old children, which in
232 turn were significantly different than in ADS. However, the studies did not examine the
233 developmental changes of tone shapes, which were important acoustic cues for Mandarin
234 tones. Therefore, the purpose of the developmental acoustic differences in CDS remains
235 unclear.

236 **The Present Study**

237 Without perceptual judgment of CDS and ADS, it remains unclear whether the
238 observed acoustic differences in CDS enhanced the phonetic contrasts of the speech sounds.

239 This study tested the hyper-articulation and prosodic hypotheses of CDS by
240 examining both the perceptual and acoustic characteristics of monosyllabic Cantonese tone
241 productions in ADS and CDS in mothers of one- to five-year-old children using a picture
242 reading task. It was hypothesized that if the hyper-articulation hypothesis is true, more
243 distinctive acoustic cues for the tones would be found in CDS, possibly leading to better
244 perceptual identification of the tones in CDS than in ADS, particularly for the more
245 confusing tone pairs. Also, the acoustic distance of the cues for discriminating the more
246 confusing tones would be larger in CDS than in ADS. The degree of acoustic enhancement of
247 the distinctive acoustic cues would decrease with the increase of the child's age. If the
248 prosodic hypothesis is correct, pitch would be used for pragmatic purposes and could affect
249 the pitch contours of tones, leading to lower perceptual accuracy in child-directed tones.
250 Acoustic modifications would be found in child-directed tones but the acoustic differences
251 may not enhance the tonal contrasts of the tones. No study has compared the perceptual
252 accuracy of CDS and ADS. To further determine whether the observed differences in CDS
253 were prosodic effects, perceptual and acoustic characteristics of tones in CDS and in sentence

254 final position were compared. Previous acoustic studies found that pitch of the tones was
255 heightened in CDS (Liu et al., 2007; 2009) but lowered in sentence final position (Ma et al.,
256 2006; Vance, 1976). It was hypothesized that if pitch modifications in child-directed tones
257 are prosodic effects, perceptual and acoustic similarities would be found in child-directed
258 tones and tones in sentence final position. The research questions included (1) What are the
259 acoustic characteristics of child-directed Cantonese tones? (2) What are the perceptual
260 characteristics of child-directed tones? (3) Do mothers hyper-articulate the tones in child-
261 directed speech? (4) Are the perceptual characteristics of child-directed Cantonese tones
262 indicative of prosodic effects?

263 **Methods**

264 The protocol used in this study was approved by the Human Research Ethics
265 Committee of the University of Hong Kong.

266 **Adult Participants**

267 Forty-eight Cantonese-speaking mothers (Mean $_{Age}$ = 35.59 years, SD $_{Age}$ = 3.76 years)
268 (Appendix A) provided written informed consent for the participation of themselves and their
269 children. Twelve mothers participated with two of their children and one mother participated
270 with three children. Altogether, there were 62 mother-child dyads. Mothers filled out a
271 questionnaire, and passed a Cantonese tones perception test (CanTIT) (Mean score $_{Form A}$ =
272 29.85 out of 30 points, SD $_{Form A}$ = 0.40 points) and a pure tone hearing screening test at 500
273 Hz, 1K Hz, 2K Hz, 4K Hz and 8K Hz at 20 dB HL bilaterally under headphones using pure
274 tone audiometry. All mothers reported that Cantonese was their first and strongest language
275 and the language they used with their children. All mothers were born and raised in Hong
276 Kong, except M29, who was born in Guangdong province in China and spoke Xin Xing
277 Cantonese, a Cantonese dialect with the same tonal system as Hong Kong Cantonese, before

278 migrating to Hong Kong at nine years old. She was not excluded in this study because she
279 passed the Hong Kong Cantonese tones perceptual test (CanTIT: Form A) with the maximum
280 score and she was not an outlier in any of the measures used in this study. Seven mothers
281 knew other Chinese dialects but reported that they never used them to speak to their children.

282 **Child Participants**

283 Sixty-two children (Appendix A) provided assent to participation. Sixteen of them
284 were one-year-olds, sixteen were two-year-olds, fifteen were three-year-olds and fifteen were
285 five-year-olds. All children were normally developing with no remarkable developmental
286 history. One- to five-year-old children were administered the Cantonese Child
287 Developmental Inventory (CDI), designed for these age groups. Six-year-old children were
288 conducted the Cantonese Oral Language Deficiency Early Identification Test for Pre-primary
289 Children (學前兒童粵語表達能力識別測驗) (Po Leung Kuk, 2012). No child scored at or
290 below one standard deviation below the mean in the age-appropriate language screening test.

291 **Stimuli**

292 Forty-two Cantonese monosyllabic words were selected (Appendix B). Twelve words
293 with entering tones, which are reduced tones occur in close syllables with final stop
294 consonants and are conventionally considered as the allotones of T1 (HL), T3 (ML) and T6
295 (LL). These tones were included in the picture naming task and tone judgement but were
296 excluded for further analysis because of the drastic acoustic differences on the tone shapes
297 and duration from their full tone counterparts (Wong & Chan, 2018). The 30 words were
298 mostly selected based on their familiarity to young children and whether the target words
299 could be presented clearly in pictures. All tones had at least one word with a long vowel and
300 a diphthong. All tones also had a word with a high vowel, except T2. Of the 30 words with
301 full tones, 18 (3 words x 6 tones) were familiar words produced by 90% or more of 30-

302 month-old children growing up in Hong Kong (Tardif, Fletcher, Liang, & Kaciroti, 2009).
303 Twelve words (2 words x 6 tones) were not found in the vocabulary of pre-school children
304 (Tardif et al., 2009). Three additional words were included for three practice trials before the
305 experimental trials.

306 **Procedure**

307 Each of the 62 mother-child dyads attended a ninety-minute session either in a quiet
308 room in their home or in a sound-attenuated recording room in the University of Hong Kong.
309 The mothers verbally labelled 45 pictures representing the practice and target words two
310 times to the experimenter and to their children. In the first block, mothers produced the
311 monosyllabic words in isolation. In the second block, they produced the tones in the final
312 position of the carrier sentence “聽我讀 /t^hɛŋ1 ɲɔ2 tɔk6/ [Listen to me saying ____]. Mothers
313 with more than one child repeated the procedures with each of their children.

314 **Perceptual Judgment of tones**

315 Sound files from two child-mother dyads (M46, M52) and sound files in isolated
316 word condition of a mother (M55) were excluded due to corruption, leaving 8634 sound files
317 for tone judgment. Target words in sentence final condition were excised and saved in
318 individual files. Because lexical biases may affect accurate identification of phonetic
319 differences (Davis & Johnsrude, 2007; Oller & Eilers, 1975), ADS were low-pass filtered at
320 400 Hz, whereas CDS were low-pass filtered at 500 Hz so that pitch information of the word
321 was retained while lexical information was removed (Wong, 2012a; 2013). CDS were low-
322 pass filtered at a higher cut-off frequency because it is usually produced with high pitch. The
323 tone productions were blocked by speakers and speech registers. The four conditions of tone
324 productions (i.e., isolated tones in ADS, isolated tones in CDS, tones in sentence final in
325 ADS, tones in sentence final in CDS) of the same speaker were put in four experimental

326 blocks in the same experiment. Thus there were 60 experiments. Each had 4 blocks of 42 tone
327 productions, except that there were only two blocks of tones for M55.

328 Five native Cantonese-speaking undergraduate students (aged from 20 to 23 years old)
329 born and raised in Hong Kong reporting Cantonese as their first and strongest language
330 served as judges. None reported having any difficulties with speech or language. They
331 listened to the tones in the 60 experiments in multiple 1-2 hour sessions. Blocks of tone
332 productions and productions within blocks were presented via headphones in random orders.
333 Each judge identified the tones by typing the tone number representing the tones. All judges
334 re-rated productions of six mothers of children in different age groups (10% of all production)
335 to determine intra-judge reliability.

336 **Acoustic Analysis of tones**

337 Following the methods in Wong (2012b) and Wong, Fu, & Cheung, (2017) the
338 recorded tones were manually segmented into the initial section, pitch section and final
339 section using Praat (Boersma & Weenink, 2014). The initial section started from the
340 beginning of the articulation of the word to the end of the first regular vocal pulse. The final
341 section started from the beginning of the last regular vocal pulse to the end of the articulation.
342 The pitch section included all the regular vocal pulses throughout the productions except the
343 first and the last vocal cycle. The markings of the vocal pulses generated by Praat were
344 checked for error and corrected manually.

345 The pitch section was divided into 20 intervals equal in duration. To better reflect
346 pitch perception, F0 was converted to the psycho-acoustic scale of semitones (St) using 1 Hz
347 as reference frequency (Nolan, 2003). Because F0 contours of tones in the first half of the
348 syllable are affected by factors such as the preceding tone (Wong & Strange, 2017; Xu & Liu,
349 2006) and the aspiration feature of initial consonants (Wong & Xu, 2007; Xu & Xu, 2003),

350 and because reliable cues and pitch target for tone identification occur towards the end of the
351 syllable (Xu, 1997; Xu & Wang, 2001; Whitehill, Ciocca, and Chow, 2000), acoustic
352 comparisons were focused on the tone contours in the last 50% of the syllable (Wong, Fu &
353 Cheung, 2017). Following Wong and Chan (2018) and Wong, Fu and Cheung (2017), eight
354 acoustic parameters that characterized the pitch levels and pitch shapes of the tones were
355 measured. To normalize for individual differences on vocal pitch, measured pitch values were
356 converted to pitch height values by subtracting the mean pitch across all productions of the
357 speaker from the measured pitch (Wong, 2012b; Wong et al., 2017) This method has been
358 proved to successfully normalize tones produced by speakers with different pitch ranges such
359 as male and female, and children and adults (Wong, Fu, & Cheung, 2017; Wong & Chan,
360 2018). Table 1 provides description of the acoustic terms and the eight acoustic parameters
361 used.

362 ---- Table 1 ----

364 **Results**

365 In the following analyses, tones in isolated words in ADS (AD-Iso), which represent
366 tone productions in neutral contexts, are used as reference. Acoustic and perceptual
367 differences between AD-Iso and tones produced in isolation in CDS (CD-Iso) represent effect
368 of the child-directed register on tones, while acoustic and perceptual differences between
369 AD-Iso and tones excised from sentence final position in ADS (AD-SentF) represent effect of
370 sentence final prosody on tones. Tone productions in CDS in sentence final position (CD-
371 SentF) is affected by the combined effect of sentence final prosody and the child-directed
372 register. They were included in the testing procedure to balance the tasks in ADS and CDS,
373 and were included in the statistical models. However, detailed analysis was not performed on

374 this condition because of the impossibility to separate the two effects (sentence final prosody
375 and CDS) on the tones. Data from M55 were discarded for further analysis due to missing
376 data on isolated word productions.

377 Mothers who participated in the study with more than one child were included in the
378 analyses because they provided information on the effect of children's age on CDS by the
379 same mothers and because analyses on a subset of the data with only one child for each
380 mother showed the same statistical results and correlational patterns, except that there was no
381 significant differences in slope 50% for T5 in AD-Iso and CD-Iso and that the Mid Pitch
382 Height of T4 (LF) was significantly higher in AD-Iso than in AD-SentF ($p < .001$, $r = .272$).

383 **Inter-judge Reliability and Intra-judge Reliability in Tone Judgement**

384 The judges were highly reliable and consistent in Cantonese tone identification.
385 Kappa statistics, which take into account agreement by chance, were used to examine the
386 level of agreement among the five judges in their ratings of all the tones produced by the
387 mothers, and the level of agreement of their ratings and re-ratings of the tones produced by
388 six of the mothers (about 10% of all productions). According to the conventional
389 interpretation of the kappa coefficients (Landis and Koch, 1977; Posner et al., 1990), results
390 of Cohen's Kappa (κ) revealed substantial inter-judge reliability between each pair of judges,
391 κ ranged from 0.718 to 0.785. Fleiss's Kappa (κ) of 0.749 also showed substantial agreement
392 among all the judges. Cohen's Kappa for intra-judge reliability ranged from 0.777 to 0.838,
393 for the five judges, indicating substantial to almost perfect intra-judge agreement.

394 **Acoustic Characteristics of Child-directed Cantonese Tones**

395 **Effect of the child-directed register on the six tones.** Figure 2 shows the mean pitch
396 contours of the six tones in isolated words and in sentence final position in CDS and ADS. As

397 shown, the pitch contours of the tones in CDS (CD-Iso and CD-SentF) were higher than in
398 ADS (AD-Iso and AD-SentF) with the tonal contours in sentence final position lower than in
399 isolated productions in both AD and CD conditions. The essentially parallel contours of the
400 same tone in most of the conditions, except in sentence final conditions suggested that the
401 shapes of the tone contours were by and large maintained across the conditions.

402 A four-way repeated measure ANOVA (register (AD, CD) x prosodic context
403 (isolation, sentence final) x word familiarity (familiar, unfamiliar) x tones (6 tones)) was
404 performed for each of the eight acoustic parameters (see Table 1). The results showed main
405 effects of registers, prosodic contexts, and target tones on all acoustic parameters (all ps
406 < .001) except that main effects of register and prosodic context were not significantly
407 different for slopes. To examine the effect of CDS on the acoustic measurements of each tone,
408 post-hoc pairwise comparisons were performed to compare the acoustic differences of each
409 tones in CD-Iso and AD-Iso. All the six pitch height parameters were significantly higher in
410 CD-Iso than in AD-Iso for all the six tones (Tables 2-7), indicating that CDS had pitch
411 heightening effects on the six tones. Durations were all longer in CD-Iso than in AD-Iso. The
412 slopes in CD-Iso and AD-Iso were comparable for T3 (ML), T4 (LF) and T6 (LL) (Table 2-
413 7), but the pitch contour of the two rising tones, T2 (HR) and T5 (LR), rose more sharply in
414 CD-Iso than in AD-Iso. For T1 (HL), the slope of the pitch contour fell more sharply in CD-
415 Iso than in AD-Iso. These findings showed that tones in CDS were produced with higher
416 pitch levels and longer durations. Some of the tones in CDS maintained the same tone shapes
417 as in ADS. Of the tones that exhibited significantly different contour shapes in CDS, the
418 modified pitch contour shapes may deviate from the expected pitch shape of the tones (e.g.,
419 High level tone fell significantly more sharply in CDS).

420

421

422

423

424 *Figure 2.* Mean pitch contours of the six tones in isolated words and in sentence final position in
425 child-directed and adult-directed speech

426

427

428

----- Table 2-7 -----

429

430 **Developmental changes of the acoustic parameters of the tones in CDS.** Pearson's

431 correlations were performed between the age of the children and the values of the eight

432 acoustic parameters in the four production contexts. The results in Table 8 showed no

433 significant developmental changes of the acoustic measures in the two AD conditions.

434 Significant negative correlations were found between age and the six pitch height measures in

435 the CD-Iso condition, except for Mid Pitch Height for T4 (LF) and Min Pitch Height for T4

436 (LF) and T5 (LR), indicating that the pitch level of mothers' tones in CDS decreased as the

437 child's age increased. Yet, no significant correlation was found between age of children and

438 tone duration or pitch slopes in CDS. The findings showed that mother mainly modified the

439 pitch levels but not the pitch shapes or tone duration when speaking to children of different

440 ages, and the degree of modifications decreased as the child got older. The correlations in

441 CD-SentF were similar to those in CD-Iso (Table 8), but with smaller effects, suggesting that

442 the sentence final context may have offset some of the effects of CDS.

443

444

----- Table 8 -----

445

446 **Perceptual Characteristics of Child-directed Cantonese Tones**

447 Perceptual accuracy of tones in CD-Iso and AD-Iso was compared to examine the
448 effect of child-directed register on Cantonese tone identification accuracy. Figure 3 shows the
449 perceived accuracy of the tones in word isolation and sentence final position in adult-directed
450 and child-directed speech. Tables 9-12 show the identification accuracy and error patterns of
451 the tones in the four production contexts by the judges. Tables 9 and 10 show the percent
452 correct and substitution patterns of the six tones in AD-Iso and CD-Iso, respectively. Because
453 the data violated the assumptions for parametric statistics, tone accuracy values were
454 converted to rational arcsine units (RAUs), which approximated percentage values but have
455 the statistical properties of the arcsine transformation (Studebaker, 1985; Studebaker,
456 McDaniel, & Sherbecoe, 1995). A three-way ANOVA (Prosodic context x tone x register)
457 was performed on the RAU scores. The results showed significant main effect of tone ($F(3.97,$
458 $229.99) = 122.01, p < .001, \eta^2 = .68$), significant interaction effects of prosodic context x tone
459 ($F(4.04, 234.21) = 3.84, p = .005, \eta^2 = .062$), prosodic context x register ($F(1, 58) = 17.25, p$
460 $< .001, \eta^2 = .23$), and tone x register ($F(4.278, 248.118) = 11.076, p, .001, \eta^2 = .16$).
461 Pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni adjustments for multiple comparisons showed lower
462 overall tone accuracy in CD-Iso than in AD-Iso ($p = .001$, Table 13). Among the six tones,
463 T5 (LR) and T6 (LL) were perceived with lower accuracy in CD-Iso than in AD-Iso (ps
464 $< .001$, Table 13). Error patterns in Tables 9 and 10 show that more T5 (LR) productions
465 were perceived as T2 (HR) and more T6 (LL) were perceived as T3 (ML) in CD-Iso. These
466 findings revealed that tones were perceived with lower accuracy in CDS than in ADS, with
467 more tones with lower pitch levels being perceived as tones with similar pitch shapes but
468 higher pitch levels (i.e., T5 (LR) \rightarrow T2 (HR), T6 (LL) \rightarrow T3 (ML)).

469

470

471 *Figure 3. Perceptual accuracy of the six tones and with all tones collapsed in different*
472 *prosodic contexts in child-directed and adult-directed speech.*

473 *Notes. “AD-Iso” stands for adult-directed tones produced in isolation. “CD-Iso” stands for*
474 *child-directed tones produced in isolation. “AD-SentF” stands for adult-directed tones*
475 *excised from sentence final position. “CD-SentF” stands for child-directed tones excised*
476 *from sentence final position.*

477

478

479 ----- Tables 9-12 -----

480 ----- Table 13 -----

481

482

483 **Do mothers hyper-articulate the tones in CDS?**

484 To determine whether mothers hyper-articulated the tones in CDS, the acoustic differences in
485 mean pitch levels and the slopes of the tones in the two confusing tones pairs (e.g., slope of
486 T2 (HR) minus slope of T5 (LR), and pitch level of T3 (ML) minus pitch level of T6 (LL)) in
487 AD-Iso and CD-Iso were compared. Pitch levels and slopes were selected because they are
488 phonemically contrastive for the confusing tones. No significant differences were found in
489 the four paired sample t-tests (p s=.269 to .745), suggesting that the pitch level and pitch
490 shape differences in the confusing tones were comparable in AD-Iso and in CD-Iso and no
491 exaggeration of the acoustic differences was found in the two speech registers.

492 **Are the perceptual characteristics of child-directed Cantonese tones indicative of** 493 **prosodic effects?**

494 To answer the fourth question, first, acoustic characteristics of tones in AD-SentF were
495 compared to those in AD-Iso to confirm whether sentence final prosody had pitch lowering

496 effects on the tones produced by the mothers. Then, the perceptual accuracies of the tones in
497 AD-Iso and AD-SentF contexts were compared to determine the effect of pitch lowering on
498 the perceptual accuracy of tones. Lastly, effects of sentence final prosody on tone perception
499 were compared to the effects of CDS on tone perception to examine whether the observed
500 perceptual differences in CDS was affected by prosodic effects.

501 **Effects of sentence-final prosody on the acoustics of the tones.** As mentioned
502 above, results of the four-way repeated measure ANOVA (register x prosodic context x word
503 familiarity x tones) showed significant main effects of registers and prosodic contexts on all
504 the acoustic measurements (all p s < .001), except for slopes. Pairwise comparisons with
505 Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons were conducted to compare the acoustic
506 measures in AD-SentF and AD-Iso. The results in Tables 14-19 showed that with the
507 exception of the Mid Pitch Height in T4, all the six pitch height measures were significantly
508 lower in AD-SentF than in AD-Iso, confirming a pitch lowering effect of sentence-final
509 prosody on tones. These findings indicated that pitch levels of tones were lower in sentence-
510 final position than in isolation, whereas the duration and pitch slopes were maintained.

511 ----- Tables 14-19 -----

512

513 **Effects of pitch lowering on tone perceptual accuracy.** Tables 9 and 11 present the
514 accuracy scores and error patterns of the perceptual accuracy of the tones in AD-Iso and AD-
515 SentF, respectively. Results of the three-way ANOVA (prosodic context x tone x register)
516 showed that the overall tone accuracy in AD-SentF was lower than in AD-Iso, ($p = .004$,
517 Table 13), indicating more perception errors in identifying tones in sentence final position, a
518 pitch lowering context. Pairwise comparisons were performed to compare the accuracy of
519 each tone in AD-SentF and AD-Iso to determine which of the six tones were affected by the

520 pitch-lowering context. The results showed that T2 (HR) and T3 (ML) were identified with
521 significantly lower accuracy in AD-SentF than in AD-Iso ($p_s = .011$ and $= .003$, respectively,
522 Table 8), indicating that sentence-final pitch lowering prosody negatively affects the
523 perception of these two tones. Table 11 shows that more productions of T2 (HR) were
524 perceived as T5 (LR) and more productions of T3 (ML) were perceived as T6 (LL) in AD-
525 SentF than in AD-Iso, suggesting that under pitch lowering prosodic effects, tones with
526 relatively higher pitch levels were more likely to be mis-categorized as tones with similar
527 contour shapes but lower pitch levels (i.e., T2 (HR) \rightarrow T5 (LR), and T3 (ML) \rightarrow T6 (LL)).

528 **Similarities and differences between the effects of CDS and sentence final**
529 **prosody on tones.** Acoustically, CDS had a pitch heightening effect on the six pitch height
530 measures (Tables 2-7) while sentence final prosody had a pitch lowering effect on the pitch
531 heights of the tones (Tables 14-19). Perceptually, the perceptual rates of tones in CD-Iso
532 (Table 10) and AD-SentF (Table 11) were lower than in AD-Iso (Tables 9), particularly in the
533 two confusing tone pairs (i.e., T2 (HR) - T5 (LR), and T3 (ML) – T6 (LL) (Tables 9-12, 13
534 and results presented above). Comparing to the neutral condition (AD-Iso), tones in AD-
535 SentF were produced with lower pitch (Table 14) and more tones with higher pitch levels
536 were misperceived as tones with similar pitch shapes but lower pitch levels (i.e., more T2
537 (HR) \rightarrow T5 (LR) and more T3 (ML) \rightarrow T6 (LL) (Tables 9, 11), leading to more errors in T2
538 (HR) and T3 (ML) in AD-SentF than in AD-Iso. Taken together both CDS and sentence final
539 prosody had an adverse effect on tone perception accuracy, but the effects were in opposite
540 directions, with CDS having larger effects on tones with lower pitch and sentence final
541 prosody having larger effects on tones with higher pitch.

542 **Discussion**

543 This study was set out to test the hyper-articulation and prosodic hypotheses on the
544 function of the acoustic modifications observed in CDS by examining child-directed and
545 adult-directed Cantonese tones.

546 **Acoustic characteristics of Child-directed Cantonese Tones**

547 The first research question examined the acoustic characteristic of child-directed
548 Cantonese-tones. The results confirmed that mothers acoustically modified their tones when
549 speaking to young children. All the tones were produced with higher pitch levels and longer
550 durations in CDS than in ADS. However, the shapes of the tone contour changed in various
551 directions in CDS and the changes did not enhance tone identification. Three of the tones
552 maintained the same pitch shapes in ADS-Iso and CDS-Iso. However, T1 (HL) was produced
553 with a pitch contour dropping significantly more sharply than the level pitch contour of T1
554 (HL) in AD-Iso. The two rising tones were produced with steeper rising slopes in CD-Iso
555 than in AD-Iso, but such acoustic modifications did not enhance tone perception accuracy in
556 CDS, as indicated by the significantly lower overall tone identification accuracy in CDS, and
557 in particular, lower tone identification accuracy for T5 (LR) and T6 (LL) in CD-Iso than in
558 AD-Iso.

559 Consistent developmental changes were observed in the acoustic properties of child-
560 directed Cantonese tones. Mothers decreased the pitch level of the tones as the age of the
561 child increased. Tone duration and tone shape in CDS, however, did not change with the
562 child's age. These findings were consistent with the findings in Garnica (1977), who reported
563 that the pitch height and pitch range in mothers' speech directed to two-year-old children
564 were higher than to five-year-olds. Stern, Spieker, Barnett and MacKain (1983) who
565 examined the acoustic properties of CDS in mothers' speech also reported similar
566 developmental changes. They found that mothers' pitch range to four- month-old children

567 was larger than to new-borns and 12- and 14-month old children. Amano et al. (2006),
568 however, reported shorter developmental changes in Japanese mothers. They found that
569 mothers' pitch level decreased when they addressed infants between 0-1;6, but the pitch level
570 did not differ from that in ADS when they talked to children older than 1;7. Because the
571 study examined mothers' productions recorded from their daily conversations with their
572 children without controlling the phonetic, prosodic and emotional contexts, the findings may
573 be affected by the variations in phonetic and prosodic contexts in the tones produced to
574 different children

575 Heightened pitch levels appear to be a universal feature of CDS. Studies that
576 compared pitch levels in adult-directed and CDS consistently found higher pitch in child-
577 directed productions than adult-directed productions, and the finding have been reported in a
578 range of non-tonal languages, such as English (Lam & Kitamura, 2012), German (Fernald &
579 Mazzie, 1991) and Norwegian (Englund & Behne, 2006), and in several tonal languages such
580 as Mandarin (Liu et al., 2009) and Cantonese (Ng, 2016; Rattanasone et al., 2013).

581 **Perceptual characteristics of child-directed Cantonese tones**

582 Listeners made significantly more perception errors in child-directed tones than adult-
583 directed tones, particularly in the tones of the two easily confusing tone pairs (T2 (HR) vs. T5
584 (LR) and T3 (ML) vs. T6 (LL)). T5 (HR) and T6 (LL) were identified significantly poorer in
585 CDS than in ADS despite the fact that CDS were low-pass filtered at a higher cut-off
586 frequency. The error patterns showed that tones with lower pitch levels were more likely to
587 be identified as tones with similar tone shapes but higher pitch levels in CDS. Thus, more T5
588 (LR) was mis-perceived as T2 (HR) and more T6 (LL) was mis-perceived as T3 (ML) in
589 CDS than in ADS. Very few previous studies compared the perceptual accuracy of CDS and
590 ADS. Those that compared perceptual accuracies in ADS and CDS consistently found lower

591 accuracy in CDS. Using a similar research design, Wong, (2018) reported lower
592 identification accuracy in child-directed monosyllabic and disyllabic Mandarin tones. Using
593 statistical and computer models to categorize the phonemes based on the acoustic
594 measurements obtained from CDS and ADS, Martin et al., (2014) and McMurray et al (2013)
595 also reported poorer identification of phonemes in CDS than in ADS.

596 **Do mothers enhance the phonetic contrasts of the more confusing Cantonese Tones in**
597 **CDS?**

598 The findings of this study did not show evidence that mothers hyper-articulated the
599 tones in CDS. The most compelling evidence was that child-directed tones were identified
600 with lower accuracy than ADS. Also, no significant difference in the acoustic distance in the
601 pitch levels and the pitch shapes of the two easily confused tone pairs was found in ADS and
602 CDS. Moreover, though acoustic modifications were found in child-directed Cantonese tones,
603 the major changes involved heightening of pitch levels. There were some changes in the pitch
604 shapes of the tones in CDS. However, the changes sometimes moved away from the expected
605 canonical form of the tones (e.g., a steeper falling contour in T1 (HL) in CDS than in ADS)
606 and the modified contour shapes of the tones in CDS did not enhance the perceptual accuracy
607 of the tones (e.g., steeper rising contours in T5 (LR) in CDS resulted in poorer identification
608 of T5 (LR) in CDS.

609 The findings in this study are inconsistent with the findings in previous studies that
610 supported hyper-articulation in child-directed tones (e.g., Liu et al., 2003, 2007, 2009;
611 Rattanasone et al. (2013). Differences in the research design might explain the discrepancies
612 in the findings between these studies and those in the present study. First, all previous studies
613 that examined child-directed lexical tones did not control the speech production contexts.
614 ADS was typically collected in a formal interview with the mothers while CDS was usually

615 collected during mothers' free play with the children. As indicated above, pitch levels and
616 contours of tones are affected by prosodic, phonetic and emotional contexts. Thus, the pitch
617 measures obtained in these samples may have been confounded by these effects. This study,
618 however, tightly controlled the production contexts in ADS and CDS. Only tones produced in
619 monosyllabic words in isolation in the same picture reading task in ADS and CDS were
620 compared. Second, in previous studies, limited acoustic parameters were measured and the
621 acoustic parameters selected might not be relevant to tone identification. Liu et al. (2007,
622 2009) based their findings on the comparisons of mean F0, F0 range, tone duration and F0
623 turning points of the four Mandarin tones. No comparison was made on the tone shapes, the
624 perceptual cues for Mandarin tones. The conclusion that mothers hyper-articulated the tones
625 was based on the significantly higher pitch in CDS than in ADS, and the same order of pitch
626 duration and pitch height in child-directed and adult-directed tones. However, these
627 measurements could also be explained by prosodic differences in the productions in the two
628 registers. Rattanasone et al. (2013) compared the pitch at the onset and offset of three of the
629 Cantonese tones (T1 (HL), T2 (HR) and T4 (LF)). These acoustic parameters were not the
630 perceptual cues for the identification of the tones (Khouw & Ciocca, 2007; Xu & Wang,
631 2001). The present study, however, sampled a number of acoustic parameters including mean
632 pitch, the pitch levels at five critical points, and the contour shape of the tones from the
633 second half of the tone contours that have been found to provide more reliable cues for tone
634 identification (Khouw & Ciocca, 2007; Xu & Wang, 2001). Acoustic contrasts in the
635 primary cues for distinguishing easily confused tones were also compared to determine
636 whether mothers exaggerated the acoustic distance of the more confusing tones. Third, none
637 of the previous studies that concluded that mothers enhanced the acoustic cues of the tones in
638 CDS examined tone perception accuracy in CDS. Rattanasone et al. (2013) examined vowel
639 space and tone space of CDS in Cantonese. They found contradictory findings between the

640 two measures. Mothers increased the tone space but did not increase the vowel space in CDS.
641 Based on the expanded tone space in CDS, the authors concluded that mothers hyper-
642 articulated the tones. However, tone space only took into account the area formed by the F0
643 onset and offset of T1 (HL), T2 (HR) and T4 (LF) (Barry & Blamey, 2004). Larger tone
644 space does not necessarily mean that the tones are more perceptually distinct. In the present
645 study, despite the findings of acoustic modifications in the tones, the perceptual results
646 showed that these acoustic changes did not lead to better identification of the tones and,
647 therefore, rejected the hyper-articulation hypothesis.

648 **Are the perceptual characteristics of Cantonese tones indicative of prosodic effects?**

649 To better answer the question, this study compared the acoustic and perceptual
650 characteristics of child-directed tones to tones affected by pitch-lowering effects in sentence
651 final position. Acoustically, similar to those reported in previous studies (e.g., Ma, Ciocca &
652 Whitehill, 2004; Vance, 1976; Liu et al., 2007), this study found that sentence final prosody
653 had pitch lowering effect on Cantonese tones, while the child-directed register had pitch
654 heightening effect on tones. Perceptually, similar error patterns were found in identifying
655 tones affected by pitch lowering effect in sentence final and tones affected by pitch
656 heightening effect in CDS. Tones in these two contexts were identified with lower accuracy
657 than adult-directed tones in isolation. Identification accuracy of the easily confusing tone
658 pairs (T2 (HR) vs. T5 (LR), and T3 (ML) vs. T6 (LL)), which had similar pitch shapes and
659 relatively small differences in the pitch levels, were particularly more difficult in these two
660 contexts. The main differences in tone perceptual accuracy were that in the pitch lowering
661 context in sentence final position, the tones in the confusing tone pairs with higher pitch
662 levels (i.e., T2 (HR) and T3 (ML)) were more likely to be mistaken as the tone counterpart
663 that had similar pitch shape but lower pitch levels (i.e., T2 (HR) being perceived as T5 (LR)
664 and T3 (ML) being perceived as T6 (LL)). Yet, in pitch heightening contexts in CDS, the

665 opposite occurred. Tones in the confusing tone pairs with lower pitch levels were more likely
666 to be mistaken as the tone counterpart that had similar pitch shape but higher pitch levels (i.e.,
667 T5 (LR) being perceived as T2 (HR) and T6 (LL) being perceived as T3 (ML)). The findings
668 suggested that the perceptual characteristics of child-directed tones could be the effects of the
669 pitch raising prosodic contexts in CDS.

670 A number of studies have investigated the relationship between pitch and emotions.
671 Happy emotions (Xu et al., 2013) and positive moods (Singh et al., 2002; Trainor et al., 2000)
672 are expressed in high pitch, regardless of the speech register (Singh et al., 2002; Trainor et al.,
673 2000). Thus, the raising of pitch in CDS may be mother's expression of positive affects
674 towards their children in CDS. If the purpose of the acoustic modifications in CDS was to
675 serve pragmatic functions, it was not surprising that the pitch changes in CDS went in various
676 directions and can sometimes deviated from the expected pitch levels and pitch shapes of the
677 tones. It may also explain the inconsistent findings in the vowel space and voice onset time of
678 stop consonants found in CDS.

679 To examine the pitch lowering prosodic effect on tone perception so as to understand
680 the possible effects of pitch raising on tone perception in CDS, this study determined
681 accuracy of tones excised from word final position in sentences. Though the findings showed
682 that tones that were taken from sentence final were identified with lower accuracy rates than
683 tones produced in isolation, because tone perception is adjusted by the pitch level of the tones
684 in the immediate contexts (i.e., preceding and following the target tone) (Wong & Diehl,
685 2003), the accuracy rates of the tones are expected to be higher when they are presented in
686 the original sentence with information about the pitch levels of the preceding tones.

687 It is less clear how well the perceptual accuracy of CD tones in isolation can be
688 improved without linguistic cues. Native speakers have no difficulties normalizing tones

689 produced by speakers of very different intrinsic pitch (e.g., male and female, Wong & Chan,
690 2018). However, unlike tones in sentence final position, which differed from tones in
691 isolation mostly in pitch level only, CD tones differed from AD tones in pitch heights, pitch
692 shapes and durations, and the direction of change was not as consistent as in AD tones
693 produced in sentence final position (compare Tables 5 vs. 6 and Tables 2 vs. 6). Yet, tone
694 ambiguity is expected to be largely resolved in real life situations given the support of
695 linguistic (e.g., lexical status, and semantic and syntactic contexts) and environmental cues.

696 **Conclusion**

697 In conclusion, this study investigated the function of CDS using both perceptual and
698 acoustic analyses of child-directed and adult-directed Cantonese tones. Mothers elevate the
699 pitch levels when speaking to young children. However, they did not enhance the distance of
700 the acoustic cues contrasting the more confusing tones. Overall, tone perception accuracy was
701 lower in CDS than in ADS. The major errors in the perception of child-directed tones involve
702 the mis-perception of tones of lower pitch levels as tones with similar pitch shapes but higher
703 pitch levels. These results do not support the hyper-articulation hypothesis of CDS. The
704 results appear to support the pragmatic hypotheses of CDS because there is strong and
705 consistent evidence that mothers do acoustically modify their speech when talking to young
706 children. However, these acoustic changes do not always enhance the tonal contrasts in CDS
707 and may sometimes lead to poorer tone identification in CDS. The acoustic characteristics in
708 CDS resemble those in affectionate speech and mirror the effects of sentence final prosody.
709 Patterns of tone perception accuracy in CD tones also mirror those due to pitch lowering
710 effect of sentence final prosody.

711

712 References

- 713 Amano, S., Nakatani, T., & Kondo, T. (2006). Fundamental frequency of infants' and parents'
714 utterances in longitudinal recordings. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*,
715 *119*(3), 1636-1647.
- 716 Andruski, J. E., Kuhl, P. K., & Hayashi, A. (1999). Point vowels in Japanese mothers' speech
717 to infants and adults. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *105*(2), 1095-
718 1096.
- 719 Barry, J. G., & Blamey, P. J. (2004). The acoustic analysis of tone differentiation as a means
720 for assessing tone production in speakers of Cantonese. *The Journal of the Acoustical*
721 *Society of America*, *116*(3), 1739-1748.
- 722 Benders, T. (2013). Mommy is only happy! Dutch mothers' realisation of speech sounds in
723 infant-directed speech expresses emotion, not didactic intent. *Infant Behavior and*
724 *Development*, *36*(4), 847-862.
- 725 Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (2014). Praat: Doing phonetics by computer (Version 5. 3. 79)
726 [Computer software]. Available from <http://www.praat.org/>
- 727 Burnham, D., Kitamura, C., & Vollmer-Conna, U. (2002). What's new, pussycat? On talking
728 to babies and animals. *Science*, *296*(5572), 1435.
- 729 Chao, Y. R. (1947). *Cantonese primer*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- 730 Ciocca, V., & Lui, J. (2003). The development of the perception of Cantonese lexical tones.
731 *Journal of Multilingual Communication Disorders*, *1*(2), 141-147.
- 732 Cooper, R. P., & Aslin, R. N. (1994). Developmental differences in infant attention to the
733 spectral properties of infant-directed speech. *Child Development*, *65*(6), 1663-1677.

- 734 Cristia, A., & Seidl, A. (2014). The hyper-articulation hypothesis of infant-directed speech.
735 *Journal of Child Language*, 41(4), 913-934.
- 736 Davis, M. H., & Johnsruide, I. S. (2007). Hearing speech sounds: Top-down influences on the
737 interface between audition and speech perception. *Hearing Research*, 229(1), 132-147.
- 738 Dodane, C., & Al-Tamimi, J. (2007, August). An acoustic comparison of vowel systems in
739 adultdirected-speech and CDS: Evidence from French, English & Japanese. In J.
740 Trouvain & W. J. Barry (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 16th International Congress of*
741 *Phonetic Sciences (ICPhS XVI)* (pp. 1573-1576). Saarbrücken: Saarland University.
- 742 Englund, K. (2005). Voice onset time in infant directed speech over the first six months. *First*
743 *Language* 25, 220–34.
- 744 Englund, K., & Behne, D. (2006). Changes in infant directed speech in the first six months.
745 *Infant and Child Development*, 15(2), 139-160.
- 746 Fernald, A. (1989). Intonation and communicative intent in mothers' speech to infants: Is the
747 melody the message? *Child Development*, 60(6), 1497-1510.
- 748 Fernald, A. (1992). Meaningful melodies in mothers' speech to infants. In H. Papousek, U.
749 Jürgens, & M. Papoušek (Eds.), *Nonverbal vocal communication: Comparative and*
750 *developmental approaches* (pp. 262-282). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 751 Fernald, A., & Kuhl, P. (1987). Acoustic determinants of infant preference for motherese
752 speech. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 10(3), 279-293.
- 753 Fernald, A., & Mazzie, C. (1991). Prosody and focus in speech to infants and adults.
754 *Developmental Psychology*, 27(2), 209-221.

- 755 Fernald, A., & Simon, T. (1984). Expanded intonation contours in mothers' speech to
756 newborns. *Developmental Psychology*, 20(1), 104-113.
- 757 Fernald, A., Taeschner, T., Dunn, J., Papousek, M., de Boysson-Bardies, B., & Fukui, I.
758 (1989). A cross-language study of prosodic modifications in mothers' and fathers' speech
759 to preverbal infants. *Journal of Child Language*, 16(3), 477-501.
- 760 Fisher, C., & Tokura, H. (1996). Acoustic cues to grammatical structure in infant-directed
761 speech: Cross-linguistic evidence. *Child Development*, 67(6), 3192-3218.
- 762 Fu, Q. J., & Zeng, F. G. (2000). Identification of temporal envelope cues in Chinese tone
763 recognition. *Asia Pacific Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing*, 5(1), 45-57.
- 764 Garnica, O. K. (1977). Some prosodic and paralinguistic features of speech to young children.
765 In C. E. Snow & C. A. Ferguson (Eds.), *Talking to children: Language input and*
766 *acquisition* (pp. 63-88). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 767 Grieser, D. L., & Kuhl, P. K. (1988). Maternal speech to infants in a tonal language: Support
768 for universal prosodic features in motherese. *Developmental Psychology*, 24(1), 14-20.
- 769 Howie, J. M. (1976). *Acoustical studies of Mandarin vowels and tones* (Vol. 6). Cambridge
770 University Press. Chapter 1, pp. 1-31.
- 771 Kei, J., Smith, V., So, L. K., Lau, C. C., & Capell, K. (2002). Assessing the accuracy of
772 production of Cantonese lexical tones: A comparison between perceptual judgement and
773 an instrumental measure. *Asia Pacific Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing*, 7(1),
774 25-38.
- 775 Khouw, E., & Ciocca, V. (2007). Perceptual correlates of Cantonese tones. *Journal of*
776 *Phonetics*, 35(1), 104-117.

- 777 Kuhl, P. K., Andruski, J. E., Chistovich, I. A., Chistovich, L. A., Kozhevnikova, E. V.,
778 Ryskina, V. L., Stolyarova, E. I., Sundberg, U., & Lacerda, F. (1997). Cross-language
779 analysis of phonetic units in language addressed to infants. *Science*, 277(5326), 684-686.
- 780 Lam, C., & Kitamura, C. (2010). Maternal interactions with a hearing and hearing-impaired
781 twin: Similarities and differences in speech input, interaction quality, and word
782 production. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 53(3), 543-555.
- 783 Lam, C., & Kitamura, C. (2012). Mommy, speak clearly: Induced hearing loss shapes vowel
784 hyper-articulation. *Developmental Science*, 15(2), 212-221.
- 785 Landis, J. R., and Koch, G. G. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement for
786 categorical data. *Biometrics* 33, 159–174.
- 787 Lee, K. Y., Chan, K. T., Lam, J. H., van Hasselt, C. A., & Tong, M. C. (2015). Lexical tone
788 perception in native speakers of Cantonese. *International Journal of Speech-Language*
789 *Pathology*, 17(1), 53-62.
- 790 Liu, H. M. (2014). Lexical and acoustic features of maternal utterances addressing preverbal
791 infants in picture book reading link to 5-year-old children's language development.
792 *Early Education and Development*, 25(8), 1103-1117.
- 793 Liu, H. M., Kuhl, P. K., & Tsao, F. M. (2003). An association between mothers' speech
794 clarity and infants' speech discrimination skills. *Developmental Science*, 6(3), F1-F10.
- 795 Liu, H. M., Tsao, F. M., & Kuhl, P. K. (2007). Acoustic analysis of lexical tone in Mandarin
796 infant-directed speech. *Developmental Psychology*, 43(4), 912-917.

- 797 Liu, H. M., Tsao, F. M., & Kuhl, P. K. (2009). Age-related changes in acoustic modifications
798 of Mandarin maternal speech to preverbal infants and five-year-old children: A
799 longitudinal study. *Journal of Child Language*, 36(4), 909-922.
- 800 Ma, J. K., Ciocca, V., & Whitehill, T. L. (2006). Effect of intonation on Cantonese lexical
801 tones. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 120(6), 3978-3987..
- 802 Ma, W., Golinkoff, R. M., Houston, D. M., & Hirsh-Pasek, K. (2011). Word learning in
803 infant-and adult-directed speech. *Language Learning and Development*, 7(3), 185-201.
- 804 Martin, A., Schatz, T., Versteegh, M., Miyazawa, K., Mazuka, R., Dupoux, E., & Cristia, A.
805 (2015). Mothers speak less clearly to infants than to adults: A comprehensive test of the
806 hyperarticulation hypothesis. *Psychological science*, 26(3), 341-347.
- 807 McMurray, B., Kovack-Lesh, K. A., Goodwin, D., & McEchron, W. (2013). Infant directed
808 speech and the development of speech perception: Enhancing development or an
809 unintended consequence? *Cognition*, 129(2), 362-378.
- 810 Mok, P. P., Zuo, D., & Wong, P. W. (2013). Production and perception of a sound change in
811 progress: Tone merging in Hong Kong Cantonese. *Language variation and change*,
812 25(3), 341-370.
- 813 Ng, H. Y. (2016) *Mothers do not enhance phonemic contrasts of Cantonese lexical tones with*
814 *didactic intent in infant-directed speech*. (Unpublished bachelor dissertation). The
815 University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong.
- 816 Nolan, F. (2003). Intonational equivalence: An experimental evaluation of pitch scales. In M.
817 Solé, D. Recasens, & J. Romero (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 15th International Congress*
818 *of Phonetic Sciences* (pp. 771-774). Barcelona, Spain.

- 819 Oller, D. K., & Eilers, R. E. (1975). Phonetic expectation and transcription validity.
820 *Phonetica*, 31(3-4), 288-304.
- 821 Po Leung Kuk. (2012). *Cantonese oral language deficiency early identification test for pre-*
822 *primary children*. Hong Kong: Po Leung Kuk District-based Speech Therapy Team.
- 823 Posner, K. L., Sampson, P. D., Caplan, R. A., Ward, R. J., and Cheney, F. W. (1990).
824 Measuring interrater reliability among multiple raters: an example of methods for
825 nominal data. *Stat. Med.* 9, 1103–1115.
- 826 Rattanasone, N. X., Burnham, D., & Reilly, R. G. (2013). Tone and vowel enhancement in
827 Cantonese infant-directed speech at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months of age. *Journal of Phonetics*,
828 41(5), 332-343.
- 829 Shatz, M., & Gelman, R. (1973). The development of communication skills: Modifications in
830 the speech of young children as a function of listener. *Monographs of the Society for*
831 *Research in Child Development*, 38(5), 1-38.
- 832 Singh, L., Morgan, J. L., & Best, C. T. (2002). Infants' listening preferences: Baby talk or
833 happy talk? *Infancy*, 3(3), 365-394.
- 834 Stern, D. N., Spieker, S., Barnett, R. K., & MacKain, K. (1983). The prosody of maternal
835 speech: Infant age and context related changes. *Journal of Child Language*, 10(1), 1-15.
- 836 Studebaker, G. A. (1985). A “rationalized” arcsine transform. *Journal of Speech and Hearing*
837 *Research*, 28(3), 455-462.
- 838 Studebaker, G. A., McDaniel, D. M., & Sherbecoe, R. L. (1995). Evaluating relative speech
839 recognition performance using the proficiency factor and rationalized arcsine differences.
840 *Journal of American Academy of Audiology*, 6, 173-182.

- 841 Sundberg, U., & Lacerda, F. (1999). Voice onset time in speech to infants and adults.
842 *Phonetica*, 56(3-4), 186-199.
- 843 Tardif, T., Fletcher, P., Liang, W., & Kaciroti, N. (2009). Early vocabulary development in
844 Mandarin (Putonghua) and Cantonese. *Journal of Child Language*, 36(5), 1115-1144.
- 845 Trainor, L. J., Austin, C. M., & Desjardins, R. N. (2000). Is infant-directed speech prosody a
846 result of the vocal expression of emotion? *Psychological Science*, 11(3), 188-195.
- 847 Trainor, L. J., & Desjardins, R. N. (2002). Pitch characteristics of infant-directed speech
848 affect infants' ability to discriminate vowels. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 9(2), 335-
849 340.
- 850 Uther, M., Knoll, M. A., & Burnham, D. (2007). Do you speak E-NG-LI-SH? A comparison
851 of foreigner- and infant-directed speech. *Speech Communication*, 49(1), 2-7.
- 852 Vance, T. J. (1976). An experimental investigation of tone and intonation in Cantonese.
853 *Phonetica*, 33(5), 368-392.
- 854 Warren-Leubecker, A., & Bohannon III, J. N. (1984). Intonation patterns in child-directed
855 speech: Mother-father differences. *Child Development*, 55(4), 1379-1385.
- 856 Wong, P. C., & Diehl, R. L. (2003). Perceptual normalization for inter- and intratalker
857 variation in Cantonese level tones. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*,
858 46(2), 413-421.
- 859 Wong, P. (2012a). Monosyllabic Mandarin tone productions by 3-year-olds growing up in
860 Taiwan and in the United States: Interjudge reliability and perceptual results. *Journal of*
861 *Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 55(5), 1423-1437.

- 862 Wong, P. (2012b). Acoustic characteristics of three-year-olds' correct and incorrect
863 monosyllabic Mandarin lexical tone productions. *Journal of Phonetics*, 40(1), 141-151.
- 864 Wong, P. (2013). Perceptual evidence for protracted development in monosyllabic Mandarin
865 lexical tone production in preschool children in Taiwan. *The Journal of the Acoustical*
866 *Society of America*, 133(1), 434-443.
- 867 Wong, P., & Chan, H. (2018). Acoustic Characteristics of Highly Distinguishable Cantonese
868 Tones. *Journal of Acoustical Society of America*
- 869 Wong, P., Fu, W. M., & Cheung, E. Y. (2017). Cantonese-Speaking Children do Not Acquire
870 Tone Perception before Tone Production—A Perceptual and Acoustic Study of Three-
871 Year-Olds' Monosyllabic Tones. *Frontiers in Psychology*. Published online: August 29,
872 2017.
- 873 Wong, P., & Leung, C. T. (2018) Suprasegmental features are not acquired early: Perception
874 and production of monosyllabic Cantonese lexical tones in four- to six-year-old children.
875 *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*.
- 876 Wong, P., & Strange, W. (2017). Phonetic complexity affects children's Mandarin tone
877 production accuracy in disyllabic words: A perceptual study. *PLOS One*. Published
878 online: August 14, 2017.
- 879 Wong, P. (2018). Mothers do not enhance tonal contrasts in child-directed speech: Perceptual
880 and acoustic evidence from child-directed Mandarin lexical tones, *The Journal of the*
881 *Acoustical Society of America*.
- 882 Wong, Y. W., & Xu, Y. (2007). Consonantal perturbation of f0 contours of Cantonese tones.
883 In *Proceedings of The 16th International Congress of Phonetic Sciences* (pp. 1293-
884 1296). Saarbrücken, Germany: Universität des Saarlandes.

- 885 Whitehill, T. L., Ciocca, V., & Chow, D. T. Y. (2000). Acoustic analysis of lexical tone contrasts in
886 dysarthria. *Journal of Medical Speech-Language Pathology*, 8(4), 337-344.
- 887 Xu, C. X., & Xu, Y. (2003). Effects of consonant aspiration on Mandarin tones. *Journal of*
888 *the International Phonetic Association*, 33(2), 165-181.
- 889 Xu, Y. (1997). Contextual tonal variations in Mandarin. *Journal of Phonetics*, 25(1), 61-83.
- 890 Xu, Y. (2001). Sources of tonal variations in connected speech. *Journal of Chinese*
891 *Linguistics*, 17, 1-31.
- 892 Xu, Y. (2004) Understanding tone from the perspective of production and perception.
893 *Language and Linguistics*, 5, 757-797
- 894 Xu, Y., Kelly, A., & Smillie, C. (2013). Emotional expressions as communicative signals. In
895 S. Hancil & D. Hirst (Eds.), *Prosody and Iconicity* (pp. 33-60). Amsterdam: John
896 Benjamins Publishing Company.
- 897 Xu, Y., & Liu, F. (2006). Tonal alignment, syllable structure and coarticulation: Toward an
898 integrated model. *Italian Journal of Linguistics*, 18(1), 125-159.
- 899 Xu, Y., & Wang, Q. E. (2001). Pitch targets and their realization: Evidence from Mandarin
900 Chinese. *Speech Communication*, 33(4), 319-337.

901 Figure Caption

902 *Figure 1. Fundamental frequency contours of Cantonese tones.*

903 *Note. 1(HL), 2 (HR), 3 (ML), 4 (LF), 5 (LR), 6 (LL) stand for T1 (High Level), T2 (High*
904 *Rising), T3 (Mid Level), T4 (Low Falling), T5 (Low Rising), and T6 (Low Level), respectively.*

905 *Figure 2. Mean pitch contours of the six tones in isolated words and in sentence final position*
906 *in child-directed and adult-directed speech*

907 *Notes. 1(HL), 2 (HR), 3 (ML), 4 (LF), 5 (LR), 6 (LL) stand for T1 (High Level), T2 (High*
908 *Rising), T3 (Mid Level), T4 (Low Falling), T5 (Low Rising), and T6 (Low Level), respectively.*
909 *AD and CD stand for adult-directed and child-directed, respectively.*

910 *Figure 3. Perceptual accuracy of the six tones in different prosodic contexts in child-directed*
911 *and adult-directed speech.*

912 *Notes. “AD-Iso” stands for adult-directed tones produced in isolation. “CD-Iso” stands for*
913 *child-directed tones produced in isolation. “AD-SentF” stands for adult-directed tones*
914 *excised from sentence final position. “CD-SentF” stands for child-directed tones excised*
915 *from sentence final position.*

Table 1

Description of Acoustic Terms and Acoustic Parameters

Acoustic term and parameter		Description
Speaker Mean Pitch (St)		Mean pitch cross all AD productions of the speaker
Pitch Height (St)		Pitch level relative to the mean pitch of the speaker (i.e., measured pitch value minus speaker mean pitch), negative values indicate that the measured pitch is lower than the Speaker Mean Pitch, positive values indicate that the measured pitch is higher than the Speaker Mean Pitch.
Pitch Target		The final 50% of the tone contours
Pitch Height measures	Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	Pitch at tone onset (i.e., time point 1) of the production relative to the mean pitch of the speaker (i.e., minus Speaker Mean Pitch)
	Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	Pitch at tone offset (i.e., time point 20) minus Speaker Mean Pitch
	Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	Pitch at the midpoint of the tone (i.e., time point 11) minus Speaker Mean Pitch. This is also the pitch height at the onset of the pitch target (i.e., the final 50% of the tone).
	Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	Minimum pitch in the second half of the tone minus Speaker Mean Pitch
	Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	Maximum pitch in the second half of the tone minus Speaker Mean Pitch
	Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	Mean Pitch in the second half of the tone minus Speaker Mean Pitch
Tone Duration 100% (ms)		Duration of the voiced section in the syllable, including all the pitch cycles in the vowels and the voiced consonants
Slope 50% (St/ms)		(Max Pitch Height 50% - Min Pitch Height 50%) divided by the duration between Max Pitch Height 50% and Min Pitch Height 50%, positive values indicate rising slopes and negative values indicate falling slopes. This measures the pitch shapes.

916

917 Note. "St" stands for semi-tone, 'ms' stands for millisecond, 'AD' stands for adult-directed.

918 Table 2

919 *Acoustic Differences in Adult-directed and Child-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Monosyllabic*920 *Words – Tone 1 (High Level)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 1 (High Level)		
	Result	p-value	d
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	0.438
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.450
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.340
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.343
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.452
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.425
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.270
Slope 50% (St/ms)	CD > AD** ^b	0.009	0.431

921 *Notes.* ^aRefer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters922 ^bCD with a larger value in the positive direction, indicating steeper rising contours923 ‘AD’ stands for adult-directed. ‘CD’ stands for child-directed. ‘St’ stands for semi-tone. ‘ms’ stands for
924 millisecond.

925 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

926 Table 3

927 *Acoustic Differences in Adult-directed and Child-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Monosyllabic*928 *Words – Tone 2 (High Rising)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 2 (High Rising)		
	Result	p-value	d
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.359
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.343
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.424
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.309
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.438
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.399
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.252
Slope 50% (St/ms)	CD > AD** ^b	0.001	-0.267

929 *Note.* ^aRefer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters930 ^bCD with a larger value in the positive direction, indicating steeper rising contours931 'AD' stands for adult-directed. 'CD' stands for child-directed. 'St' stands for semi-tone. 'ms' stands for
932 millisecond.

933 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

934 Table 4

935 *Acoustic Differences in Adult-directed and Child-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Monosyllabic*936 *Words – Tone 3 (Mid Level)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 3 (Mid Level)		
	Result	p-value	d
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.414
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.416
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.389
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.312
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.467
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.413
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.253
Slope 50% (St/ms)	CD = AD	0.225	0.152

937 *Note.* ^aRefer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters938 'AD' stands for adult-directed. 'CD' stands for child-directed. 'St' stands for semi-tone. 'ms' stands for
939 millisecond.

940 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

941 Table 5

942 *Acoustic Differences in Adult-directed and Child-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Monosyllabic*943 *Words – Tone 4 (Low Falling)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 4 (Low Falling)		
	Result	p-value	d
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.414
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.444
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	0.001	-0.315
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	0.001	-0.281
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.486
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.428
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.345
Slope 50% (St/ms)	CD = AD	0.897	-0.010

944 *Note.* ^aRefer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters945 'AD' stands for adult-directed. 'CD' stands for child-directed. 'St' stands for semi-tone. 'ms' stands for
946 millisecond.

947 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

948 Table 6

949 *Acoustic Differences in Adult-directed and Child-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Monosyllabic*950 *Words – Tone 5 (Low Rising)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 5 (Low Rising)		
	Result	p-value	d
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.340
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.338
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.370
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.286
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.400
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.357
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.256
Slope 50% (St/ms)	CD > AD* ^b	0.044	-0.391

951 *Note.* ^aRefer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters952 ^bCD with a larger value in the positive direction, indicating steeper rising contours953 'AD' stands for adult-directed. 'CD' stands for child-directed. 'St' stands for semi-tone. 'ms' stands for
954 millisecond.

955 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

956 Table 7

957 *Acoustic Differences in Adult-directed and Child-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Monosyllabic*958 *Words – Tone 6 (Low Level)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 6 (Low Level)		
	Result	p-value	d
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.361
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.486
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.420
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.440
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.484
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.493
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	CD > AD**	<0.001	-0.279
Slope 50% (St/ms)	CD = AD	0.063	0.331

959 *Note.* ^aRefer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters960 'AD' stands for adult-directed. 'CD' stands for child-directed. 'St' stands for semi-tone. 'ms' stands for
961 millisecond.

962 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

963

964 Table 8

965 *Correlations between the Acoustic Parameters and Children's Age*

Condition	Acoustic Parameters ^a	T1 (HL)	T2 (HR)	T3 (ML)	T4 (LF)	T5 (LR)	T6 (LL)
Adult-directed tones in isolation	Initial Pitch Height 100%	-0.04	-0.01	-0.01	-0.11	0.05	-0.04
	Final Pitch Height 100%	-0.07	-0.03	0.01	-0.13	0.09	-0.08
	Mid Pitch Height 100%	0.01	0.11	0.06	0.01	0.13	0.04
	Min Pitch Height 50%	0.01	-0.03	0.07	-0.03	0.08	-0.01
	Max Pitch Height 50%	-0.09	0.12	0.03	-0.09	0.09	0.10
	Mean Pitch Height 50%	-0.06	0.18	0.02	-0.10	0.09	-0.01
	Tone Duration 100%	-0.01	0.09	0.13	0.09	0.07	0.20
	Slope 50%	0.14	0.06	-0.01	0.19	-0.11	0.16
Adult-directed tones in sentence final position	Initial Pitch Height 100%	0.13	-0.16	-0.08	-0.11	-0.05	0.08
	Final Pitch Height 100%	0.04	-0.11	-0.13	-0.08	0.02	-0.14
	Mid Pitch Height 100%	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.10	0.14	0.13
	Min Pitch Height 50%	0.02	-0.12	-0.03	0.10	0.02	0.05
	Max Pitch Height 50%	0.07	0.02	-0.05	-0.04	0.07	0.03
	Mean Pitch Height 50%	0.01	-0.09	-0.09	0.04	0.05	0.01
	Tone Duration 100%	-0.03	0.05	0.08	-0.04	0.07	0.05
	Slope 50%	0.06	0.07	0.16	0.23	0.06	0.13
Child-directed tones in isolation	Initial Pitch Height 100%	-0.49**	-0.27*	-0.53**	-0.32*	-0.37**	-0.35**
	Final Pitch Height 100%	-0.56**	-0.37**	-0.50**	-0.36**	-0.30*	-0.45**
	Mid Pitch Height 100%	-0.57**	-0.45**	-0.44**	-0.12	-0.36**	-0.32*
	Min Pitch Height 50%	-0.57**	-0.33*	-0.40**	-0.15	-0.26	-0.36**
	Max Pitch Height 50%	-0.57**	-0.48**	-0.52**	-0.35**	-0.41**	-0.42**
	Mean Pitch Height 50%	-0.60**	-0.52**	-0.50**	-0.30*	-0.37**	-0.43**
	Tone Duration 100%	-0.01	-0.09	-0.13	-0.16	-0.94	-0.10
	Slope 50%	0.14	-0.19	0.06	0.02	-0.21	0.17
Child-directed tones in sentence final position	Initial Pitch Height 100%	-0.28*	-0.31*	-0.23	-0.29*	-0.21	-0.21
	Final Pitch Height 100%	-0.30*	-0.38**	-0.31*	0.31*	-0.30*	-0.37*
	Mid Pitch Height 100%	-0.26*	-0.12	-0.34**	-0.06	-0.09	-0.28*
	Min Pitch Height 50%	-0.26*	-0.34**	-0.34**	-0.11	-0.27*	-0.31*
	Max Pitch Height 50%	-0.30*	-0.16	-0.34**	-0.26*	-0.13	-0.34**
	Mean Pitch Height 50%	-0.31*	-0.29*	-0.35**	-0.20	-0.26*	-0.36**
	Tone Duration 100%	-0.09	-0.01	-0.07	-0.11	0.01	-0.05
	Slope 50%	-0.02	0.10	-0.05	0.17	0.09	0.08

966 *Note.* * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level967 ^a Refer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters

968 Dark shaded cells mark significant correlations with large effects. Medium shaded cells mark significant

969 correlations with medium effects. Light shaded cells mark significant correlations with small effects

970 Child-directed tones in sentence final position represent tones affected by both sentence final prosody and child-

971 directed register

972 Table 9

973 *Confusion Matrix of Tone Production in Adult-directed Tones in Isolated Words*

Target tones	Judges' responses (%)					
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6
T1 (HL)	87	0	<u>12</u>	0	0	1
T2 (HR)	0	96	0	0	4	0
T3 (ML)	3	0	73	1	2	<u>20</u>
T4 (LF)	0	0	1	91	1	8
T5 (LR)	0	6	2	0	91	2
T6 (LL)	0	0	<u>18</u>	3	2	77

974

975 *Notes.* Correct identifications are marked by bold cells. Underlined cells mark error patterns that constitute more
976 than 10% of the trials. T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, and T6 stand for Tone 1, Tone 2, Tone 3, Tone 4, Tone 5, and Tone 6,
977 respectively. 'HL' stands for High Level. 'HR' stands for High Rising. 'ML' stands for Mid Level. 'LF' stands
978 for Low Falling. 'LR' stands for Low Rising. 'LL' stands for Low Level.

979 Table 10

980 *Confusion Matrix of Tone Production in Child-directed Tones in Isolated Words*

Target tones	Judges' responses (%)					
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6
T1 (HL)	87	0	<u>10</u>	0	0	1
T2 (HR)	0	98	0	0	2	0
T3 (ML)	<u>15</u>	0	69	2	2	<u>13</u>
T4 (LF)	0	0	1	88	2	8
T5 (LR)	0	<u>15</u>	2	1	82	1
T6 (LL)	4	0	<u>29</u>	5	2	61

981

982 *Notes.* Correct identifications are marked by bold numbers. Underlined numbers mark error patterns that
983 constitute more than 10% of the trials. T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, and T6 stand for Tone 1, Tone 2, Tone 3, Tone 4,
984 Tone 5, and Tone 6, respectively. 'HL' stands for High Level. 'HR' stands for High Rising. 'ML' stands for
985 Mid Level. 'LF' stands for Low Falling. 'LR' stands for Low Rising. 'LL' stands for Low Level.

986 Table 11

987 *Confusion Matrix of Tone Production in Adult-directed Tones in Sentence Final Position*

Target tones	Judges' responses (%)					
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6
T1 (HL)	83	1	<u>14</u>	0	0	2
T2 (HR)	0	91	0	1	8	0
T3 (ML)	1	0	65	2	2	<u>30</u>
T4 (LF)	0	1	0	90	3	5
T5 (LR)	0	4	2	1	90	3
T6 (LL)	0	0	<u>10</u>	10	4	76

988

989 *Notes.* Correct identifications are marked by bold numbers. Underlined numbers mark error patterns that
990 constitute more than 10% of the trials. T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, and T6 stand for Tone 1, Tone 2, Tone 3, Tone 4,
991 Tone 5, and Tone 6, respectively. 'HL' stands for High Level. 'HR' stands for High Rising. 'ML' stands for
992 Mid Level. 'LF' stands for Low Falling. 'LR' stands for Low Rising. 'LL' stands for Low Level.

993 Table 12

994 *Confusion Matrix of Tone Production in Child-directed Tones in Sentence Final Position*

Target tones	Judges' responses (%)					
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6
T1 (HL)	90	0	8	0	0	1
T2 (HR)	0	97	0	0	2	0
T3 (ML)	<u>10</u>	0	68	4	3	<u>15</u>
T4 (LF)	0	0	1	91	3	5
T5 (LR)	0	7	2	0	90	1
T6 (LL)	2	0	<u>16</u>	8	2	71

995

996 *Notes.* Correct identifications are marked by bold fonts. Underlined numbers mark error patterns that constitute
997 more than 10% of the trials. Data in this table represent accuracy affected by both pitch lowering effect in
998 sentence final position and pitch raising effect of the CD register and, were excluded for analyses. T1, T2, T3,
999 T4, T5, and T6 stand for Tone 1, Tone 2, Tone 3, Tone 4, Tone 5, and Tone 6, respectively. 'HL' stands for
1000 High Level. 'HR' stands for High Rising. 'ML' stands for Mid Level. 'LF' stands for Low Falling. 'LR' stands
1001 for Low Rising. 'LL' stands for Low Level.

1002 Table 13

1003 *Differences in Tone Perceptual Accuracy in Different Speech Registers and Prosodic Contexts*

Target Tone	Results	p	r
All Tones	CD-Iso < AD-Iso	0.001**	0.366
	AD-SentF < AD-Iso	0.004**	0.186
T1 (HL)	AD-SentF < AD-Iso	0.049*	0.150
T2 (HR)	AD-SentF < AD-Iso	0.011**	0.159
T3 (ML)	AD-SentF < AD-Iso	0.003**	0.272
T4 (LF)	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
T5 (LR)	CD-Iso < AD-Iso	<0.001**	0.313
T6 (LL)	CD-Iso < AD-Iso	<0.001**	0.347

1004

1005 “AD-Iso” stands for “adult-directed tones produced in isolated words”.

1006 “AD-SentF” stands for “adult-directed tones produced in sentence final position”.

1007 “CD-Iso” stands for “child-directed production in isolated words”.

1008 “<” stands for “with lower accuracy than”, “>” stands for “with higher accuracy than”

1009 Dark shaded cells show effects of sentence final prosody on tone accuracy.

1010 Light shaded cells show effects of child-directed register on tone accuracy.

1011 T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, and T6 stand for Tone 1, Tone 2, Tone 3, Tone 4, Tone 5, and Tone 6, respectively. ‘HL’

1012 stands for High Level. ‘HR’ stands for High Rising. ‘ML’ stands for Mid Level. ‘LF’ stands for Low Falling.

1013 ‘LR’ stands for Low Rising. ‘LL’ stands for Low Level. ‘n.s.’ stands for non-significant.

1014 Table 14

1015 *Acoustic differences of Adult-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Words and in Sentence Final*1016 *Position – Tone 1 (High Level)*

1017

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 1 (High Level)		
	Result	p-value	r
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.305
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	0.001	0.186
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.291
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.254
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.237
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.236
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	Iso = SentF	0.129	0.093
Slope 50% (St/ms)	SentF > Iso** ^b	0.005	0.273

1018 *Note.* ^a Refer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters1019 ^b Slope of Tone 1 (High Level) in sentence final position had a larger value in the negative direction, indicating
1020 steeper falling contours.

1021 “Iso” stands for tone production in isolation. “SentF” stands for tones produced in sentence final position.

1022 ‘St’ stands for semi-tone. ‘ms’ stands for millisecond.

1023 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

1024 Table 15

1025 *Acoustic differences of Adult-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Words and in Sentence Final*1026 *Position – Tone 2 (High Rising)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 2 (High Rising)		
	Result	p-value	r
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.472
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.255
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.267
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.263
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.287
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.494
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	Iso = SentF	0.121	0.100
Slope 50% (St/ms)	Iso = SentF	0.138	0.049

1027 *Note.* ^a Refer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters

1028 “Iso” stands for tone production in isolation. “SentF” stands for tones produced in sentence final position.

1029 ‘St’ stands for semi-tone. ‘ms’ stands for millisecond.

1030 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

1031

1032 Table 16

1033 *Acoustic differences of Adult-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Words and in Sentence Final*1034 *Position – Tone 3 (Mid Level)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 3 (Mid Level)		
	Result	p-value	r
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	<0.001	0.507
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	<0.001	0.52
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	<0.001	0.302
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	<0.001	0.427
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	<0.001	0.427
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	<0.001	0.495
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	Iso = SentF	0.166	0.048
Slope 50% (St/ms)	Iso = SentF	0.079	-0.099

1035 *Note.* ^a Refer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters

1036 “Iso” stands for tone production in isolation. “SentF” stands for tones produced in sentence final position.

1037 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

1038

1039 Table 17

1040 *Acoustic differences of Adult-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Words and in Sentence Final*1041 *Position – Tone 4 (Low Falling)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 4 (Low Falling)		
	Result	p-value	r
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	<0.001	0.563
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	<0.001	0.267
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso = SentF	0.236	0.049
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF [*]	0.016	0.101
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	0.001	0.206
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF ^{***}	0.004	0.157
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	Iso > SentF [*]	0.024	0.091
Slope 50% (St/ms)	Iso = SentF	0.147	-0.114

1042 *Note.* ^a Refer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters

1043 “Iso” stands for tone production in isolation. “SentF” stands for tones produced in sentence final position.

1044 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

1045 Table 18

1046 *Acoustic differences of Adult-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Words and in Sentence Final*1047 *Position – Tone 5 (Low Rising)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 5 (Low Rising)		
	Result	p-value	r
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.502
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.396
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.514
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.405
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.558
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.562
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	Iso = SentF	0.195	0.045
Slope 50% (St/ms)	Iso = SentF	0.414	0.035

1048 *Note.* ^a Refer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters

1049 “Iso” stands for tone production in isolation. “SentF” stands for tones produced in sentence final position.

1050 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

1051

1052 Table 19

1053 *Acoustic differences of Adult-directed Tones Produced in Isolated Words and in Sentence Final*1054 *Position – Tone 6 (Low Level)*

Acoustic Parameters ^a	Tone 6 (Low Level)		
	Result	p-value	r
Initial Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.537
Final Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.571
Mid Pitch Height 100% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.333
Min Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.509
Max Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.395
Mean Pitch Height 50% (St)	Iso > SentF**	<0.001	0.508
Tone Duration 100% (ms)	Iso = SentF	0.582	0.046
Slope 50% (St/ms)	Iso = SentF	0.592	0.037

1055 *Note.* ^a Refer to Table 1 for the definitions of the acoustic parameters

1056 “Iso” stands for tone production in isolation. “SentF” stands for tones produced in sentence final position.

1057 * = .05 significance level ** = .01 significance level

1058

1059

1060

Appendix A

1061

Information of Participants

Mother Information			Child Information			Mother Information			Child Information		
Code	Age (Yrs)	Education Level	Age Group	Age	Gender	Code	Age (Yrs)	Education Level	Age Group	Age	Gender
1-year-old Child						3-year-old Child					
M01	31	High	1	1;2	F	M04 ^d	36	Mid	3	3;5	M
M05 ^a	36	Mid	1	1;8	M	M06 ^b	36	High	3	3;11	F
M07 ^b	36	High	1	1;2	F	M16 ^h	37	High	3	3;6	F
M08 ^b	36	High	1	1;2	F	M19	30	High	3	3;11	M
M12	30	High	1	1;8	M	M22	39	High	3	3;1	M
M13	28	Mid	1	1;7	F	M24	35	High	3	3;6	F
M14	36	High	1	1;2	F	M30 ^{c, o}	36	High	3	3;5	M
M15	26	Mid	1	1;5	M	M32	NR	High	3	3;11	F
M20	36	High	1	1;1	M	M33	33	High	3	3;1	M
M29 ^{o, p}	30	Low	1	1;7	M	M34 ⁱ	NR	High	3	3;5	F
M31 ^{c, o}	36	High	1	1;0	F	M37 ^j	38	High	3	3;6	F
M41 ^d	37	High	1	1;8	M	M43	40	High	3	3;3	M
M57 ^e	NR	NR	1	1;3	F	M47	35	High	3	3;5	M
M60 ^f	40	High	1	1;8	F	M50	NR	High	3	3;2	M
M61 ^o	37	High	1	1;7	M	M59 ^f	40	High	3	3;6	F
2-year-old Child						5-year-old Child					
M02	32	Mid	2	2;2	F	M18	41	NR	5	5;10	M
M03	36	High	2	2;0	F	M23	40	High	5	5;2	M
M09 ^g	39	High	2	2;3	M	M27 ^l	40	High	5	5;10	M
M09 ^g	39	High	2	2;3	F	M28 ^l	40	High	5	5;10	M
M11	24	Mid	2	2;7	M	M35 ⁱ	NR	High	5	5;10	M
M17 ^h	37	High	2	2;0	F	M36 ⁱ	38	Mid	5	5;7	F
M21	35	High	2	2;3	M	M38 ^o	34	High	5	5;2	F
M25	33	High	2	2;8	M	M39	40	High	5	5;1	M
M26 ^o	34	High	2	2;1	M	M40 ^d	37	NR	5	5;0	M
M44	33	High	2	2;4	M	M42 ^k	40	NR	5	5;0	M
M48	32	High	2	2;5	M	M45 ^m	36	High	5	5;9	F
M51	NR	High	2	2;0	M	M49	NR	High	5	5;11	F
M53	39	High	2	2;3	F	M54	41	High	5	5;11	F
M56	34	High	2	2;0	F	M55	36	High	5	5;4	F
M62 ^o	33	High	2	2;6	M	M58 ^e	NR	NR	5	5;0	F

Notes: Isolated Word Condition of M55 are excluded due to corrupted sound files.

Education Level: Low = Middle School or below; Mid = High School; High = Post-High School; NA = Not Applicable; NR = No response.

^a M04 = M05

^b M06 = M07 = M08

^c M30 = M31

^d M40 = M41

^e M57 = M58

^o Know another dialects

^p Not born in Hong Kong

^f M59 = M60

^g M09 = M10

^h M16 = M17

ⁱ M34 = M35

^j M36 = M37

^k M42 = M43

^l M27 = M28

^m M45 = M46

ⁿ M27 = M28

1062

Appendix B

1063

Stimuli

Word Familiarity	Tones	Chinese	English	IPA	Jyutping	Word Frequency ^a
High Familiar Words ^a	T1 (HL)	杯	Cup	/pui1/	Bui1	96%
		書	Book	/sy1/	Syu1	94%
		燈	Lamp	/tɛŋ1/	Dang1	94%
	T2 (HR)	狗	Dog	/kəu2/	Gau2	96%
		糖	Candy	/t ^h ɔŋ2/	Tong2	97%
		帽	Hat	/mou2/	Mou2	91%
	T3 (ML)	菜	Vegetables	/ts ^h ɔi3/	Coi3	91%
		喊	Cry	/ham3/	Haam3	93%
		褲	Pants	/fu3/	Fu3	96%
	T4 (LF)	鞋	Shoes	/hai4/	Haai4	99%
		頭	Head	/t ^h ɛu4/	Tau4	96%
		門	Door	/mun4/	Mun4	93%
	T5 (LR)	被	Duvet	/p ^h ei5/	Pei5	93%
		雨	Rain	/jy5/	Jyu5	94%
		眼	Eyes	/ŋan5/	Ngaan5	97%
	T6 (LL)	飯	Rice	/fan6/	Faan6	93%
		麵	Noodles	/min6/	Min6	93%
		鼻	Nose	/pei6/	Bei6	96%
Low Familiar Words ^b	T1 (HL)	溪	Creek	/k ^h ei1/	Kai1	N.A.
		鍋	Pot	/wɔ1/	Wo1	N.A.
	T2 (HR)	島	Island	/tou2/	Dou2	N.A.
		井	Well	/tsɛŋ2/	Zeng2	N.A.
	T3 (ML)	炭	Carbon	/t ^h an3/	Taan3	N.A.
		炮	Cannon	/p ^h au3/	Paau3	N.A.
	T4 (LF)	柴	Firewood	/ts ^h ai4/	Caai4	N.A.
		矛	Spear	/mau4/	Maau4	N.A.
	T5 (LR)	盾	Shield	/t ^h ɛn5/	Teon5	N.A.
		艇	Boat	/t ^h ɛŋ5/	Teng5	N.A.
	T6 (LL)	雁	Goose	/ŋan6/	Ngaan6	N.A.
		艦	Ship	/lam6/	Laam6	N.A.
High Familiar Words ^a	T7 (HS)	筆	Pencil	/pɛt7/	Bat7	94%
		一	One	/jɛt7/	Jat7	97%
	T8 (MS)	錫	Kiss	/sek8/	Sek8	90%
		腳	Feet	/kœk8/	Goek8	96%
Low Familiar Words ^b	T9 (LS)	熱	Hot	/jit9/	Jit9	94%
		襪	Socks	/mɛt9/	Mat9	90%
	T7 (HS)	穀	Grain	/køk7/	Guk7	N.A.
		禿	Bald	/t ^h ok7/	Tuk7	N.A.
	T8 (MS)	殼	Shell	/høk8/	Hok8	N.A.
Low Familiar Words ^b		塔	Tower	/t ^h ap8/	Taap8	N.A.
	T9 (LS)	鑿	Chisel	/tsøk9/	Zok9	N.A.
		墨	Ink	/møk9/	Mak9	N.A.

1064 Notes. ^a Words produced by more than 90% of 30 month old children growing up in Hong Kong (Tardif et al.,
1065 2009).

1066 ^bWords not found in Cantonese Communicative Development Inventory (CCDI) (Tardif et al., 2009)
1067 T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, and T9 stand for Tone 1, Tone 2, Tone 3, Tone 4, Tone 5, Tone 6, Tone 7, Tone
1068 8, and Tone 9, respectively. ‘HL’ stands for High Level. ‘HR’ stands for High Rising. ‘ML’ stands for Mid
1069 Level. ‘LF’ stands for Low Falling. ‘LR’ stands for Low Rising. ‘LL’ stands for Low Level. ‘HS’ stands for
1070 High Stop. ‘MS’ stands for Mid Stop. ‘LS’ stands for Low Stop. Entering tones (i.e., T7, T8 and T9) were
1071 excluded from analyses. ‘IPA’ stands for the International Phonetic Alphabet. ‘N.A.’ stands for not available.