



# Hemagglutinin and Neuraminidase Antibodies Are Induced in an Age- and Subtype-Dependent Manner after Influenza Virus Infection

Sook-San Wong,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Ben Waite,<sup>d</sup> Jacqui Ralston,<sup>d</sup> Tim Wood,<sup>d</sup> G. Edwin Reynolds,<sup>e\*</sup> Ruth Seeds,<sup>d\*</sup> E. Claire Newbern,<sup>d</sup> Mark G. Thompson,<sup>f</sup> Q. Sue Huang,<sup>d</sup> Richard J. Webby,<sup>c</sup> the SHIVERS Investigation Team

<sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China
<sup>b</sup>School of Public Health, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, People's Republic of China
<sup>c</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee, USA
<sup>d</sup>Institute of Environmental Science and Research, Ltd., NCBID–Wallaceville, Wallaceville, New Zealand
<sup>e</sup>Immunisation Advisory Centre (IMAC), University Services, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand
<sup>f</sup>Influenza Division, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

ABSTRACT Despite evidence that antibodies targeting the influenza virus neuraminidase (NA) protein can be protective and are broadly cross-reactive, the immune response to NA during infection is poorly understood compared to the response to hemagglutinin (HA) protein. As such, we compared the antibody profile to HA and NA in two naturally infected human cohorts in Auckland, New Zealand: (i) a serosurvey cohort, consisting of pre- and post-influenza season sera from PCR-confirmed influenza cases (n = 50), and (ii) an immunology cohort, consisting of paired sera collected after PCRconfirmation of infection (n = 94). The induction of both HA and NA antibodies in these cohorts was influenced by age and subtype. Seroconversion to HA was more frequent in those <20 years old (yo) for influenza A (serosurvey, P = 0.01; immunology, P = 0.02) but not influenza B virus infection. Seroconversion to NA was not influenced by age or virus type. Adults ≥20 yo infected with influenza A viruses were more likely to show NA-only seroconversion compared to children (56% versus 14% [5 to 19 yo] and 0% [0 to 4 yo], respectively). Conversely, children infected with influenza B viruses were more likely than adults to show NA-only seroconversion (88% [0 to 4 yo] and 75% [5 to 19 yo] versus 40% [ $\geq$ 20 yo]). These data indicate a potential role for immunological memory in the dynamics of HA and NA antibody responses. A better mechanistic understanding of this phenomenon will be critical for any future vaccines aimed at eliciting NA immunity.

**IMPORTANCE** Data on the immunologic responses to neuraminidase (NA) is lacking compared to what is available on hemagglutinin (HA) responses, despite growing evidence that NA immunity can be protective and broadly cross-reactive. Understanding these NA responses during natural infection is key to exploiting these properties for improving influenza vaccines. Using two community-acquired influenza cohorts, we showed that the induction of both HA and NA antibodies after infection is influenced by age and subtypes. Such response dynamics suggest the influence of immunological memory, and understanding how this process is regulated will be critical to any vaccine effort targeting NA immunity.

**KEYWORDS** influenza, antibody, hemagglutination inhibition, neuraminidase inhibition, serology

Neuraminidase (NA) is the second most abundant glycoprotein on the surface of the influenza virus. It functions as a sialidase to facilitate virus trafficking through host mucosal barriers, as well as egress from infected cells. Inhibition of its activity has been

**Citation** Wong S-S, Waite B, Ralston J, Wood T, Reynolds GE, Seeds R, Newbern EC, Thompson MG, Huang QS, Webby RJ, the SHIVERS Investigation Team. 2020. Hemagglutinin and neuraminidase antibodies are induced in an age- and subtype-dependent manner after influenza virus infection. J Virol 94:e01385-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01385-19.

**Editor** Adolfo García-Sastre, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

**Copyright** © 2020 Wong et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Richard J. Webby, richard.webby@stjude.org.

\* Present address: G. Edwin Reynolds Auckland's Regional Public Health Service, Auckland, New Zealand; Ruth Seeds, Ministry of Primary Industry, Upper Hutt, New Zealand.

Received 10 September 2019 Accepted 19 December 2019

Accepted manuscript posted online 15 January 2020

Published 17 March 2020



FIG 1 Sampling and recruitment of individuals in each study cohort.

the cornerstone of currently recommended influenza antivirals (https://www.cdc.gov/ mmwr/pdf/rr/rr6001.pdf). Although immunity to NA limits disease severity (1–4), relatively little is known about population immunity to the protein or the robustness of the antibody response against it following infection. These facets of NA immunity have been identified as crucial knowledge gaps in the campaign to develop better seasonal influenza vaccines (5–7).

The paucity of data on NA immunity has historically been impeded by the lack of an appropriate and scalable assay. The traditional NA inhibition (NAI) assay that was based on the enzymatic cleavage of sialic acids on the substrate fetuin was laborious and required the use of hazardous chemicals such as arsenite and thiobarbituric acid (8). However, the development and validation of an enzyme-linked lectin assay (ELLA) has enabled rapid and high-throughput testing of NAI antibodies (9, 10), leading to renewed interest in evaluating the role of NA antibodies during influenza virus infection and vaccination (1, 2, 4, 11, 12). The ELLA has shown high subtype specificity and reproducibility when tested with antigen-specific ferret antisera (9) and higher sensitivity compared to the traditional NAI assay (13).

In this study, we explored the antibody response to HA and NA following community-acquired influenza virus infection in two cohorts enrolled through the Southern Hemisphere Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness Research Surveillance (SHIVERS) study based in Auckland, New Zealand (14) (Fig. 1). The cohorts utilized distinct

**TABLE 1** Characteristics of participants, influenza infections, and timing of serum collections in the serosurvey, immunology, and summer cohorts<sup>a</sup>

	Serosurve (n = 50)	ey cohort	lmmuno cohort ( <i>r</i>	logy 1 = 94)	Summer cohort $(n = 47)$			
Patient characteristics or time parameters	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Age (yr)								
0–4	16	32	7	7	0	0		
5–19	15	30	5	5	1	2		
20+	19	38	82	87	46	98		
Sex								
Male	16	32	44	47				
Female	34	68	50	53				
Ethnicity								
Maori	7	14	15	16				
Pacific	5	10	23	25				
Asian	9	18	8	9				
European descent/other	29	58	47	51				
Infection subtype								
A (not subtyped)	10	20	15	16				
A (H3)	15	29	53	56				
A (H1)	0	0	1	1				
B (not subtyped)	0	0	2	2				
B (Victoria)	15	29	4	4				
B (Yamagata)	11	22	19	20				
Median days (range)								
From first serum collection to symptom onset	134 (22-1	93) $n = 39$						
From symptom onset to first serum collection	154 (22-1	<i>JJJJJJJJJJJJJ</i>	12 (1_28)	n = 58				
From first serum collection to swah specimen	141 (28-2	02)	12 (1-20)	,, ii = 50				
From swah specimen to first sera collection	171 (20-2	.02)	6(0, 22) = 02					
Between serum collections	218 (132-	-274)	16 (5–27)	16 (5-27), n = 93				

 $^{a}$ n, Number of PCR-confirmed influenza cases. For the serosurvey cohort (n = 50 individuals), one subject was positive for influenza A and B. For the immunology cohort, ethnicity data were only available for 93 participants.

sampling protocols that were representative of epidemiological and clinical studies. We examined the seroconversion frequencies to HA using the standard hemagglutination inhibition (HAI) assay and NA using ELLA in individuals with PCR-confirmed influenza virus infections. Since recent studies suggest that the HAI assay may have limited sensitivity in detecting recent influenza virus infections (15–17), we further examined the added benefit of using NAI antibody responses as an alternative serologic marker of infection. Data from this study suggest that the dynamics of antibody responses to both HA and NA after infection are influenced by age and subtype and that the use of the ELLA to detect NAI antibodies after infection can circumvent some of the current limitations associated with HAI assays.

## RESULTS

**Cohort characteristics.** Table 1 presents the characteristics of the study participants and sampling details in the two cohorts of this study. The 50 PCR-confirmed influenza positive serosurvey cohort participants (one participant was infected with both IAV and IBV) were relatively equally distributed across the three age groups (< 5 years old [yo], 5 to 19 yo, and  $\geq$ 20 yo). Twenty-one (42%) participants identified as Maori (n = 7), Pacific (n = 5), or of Asian (n = 9) descent, and the remaining participants were of European descent (classified as "others") (Table 1). There were equal proportions of IAV (25/51, 49%) and IBV (26/51, 51%), and both B lineages were equally represented (B/Vic, 15/51, 29%; B/Yam, 11/51, 22%). The median time from preseason serum collection to symptom onset was 134 days (approximately 4 months; range, 22 to 193 days). The median interval between the preseason and postseason serum collections was approximately 7 months (218 days; range, 132 to 274 days).

		Inf	luenza	AΑ						Infl	uenza	В					
Age (vr)	Antibody titer <sup>a</sup>	n	НАІ	95% Cl	P for	NAI	95% Cl	P for	By HAI or NAI	n	НАІ	95% CI	P for	NAI	95% CI	P for	By HAI or NAI
0-4	GMT 1	9	7	5_9		5	5			8	8	5-13		11	7–18		
0 1	GMT 2	2	, 148	97–226		59	31–112			0	15	9–27		761	435–1,332		
	GMFC		22	14–35		12	10-14				2	0-4		70	68–72		
	%SC		100	100-100		67	28–105		100		13	-17-42		100	100-100		100
5–19	GMT 1	7	14	6–31	0.1882 <sup>b</sup>	24	15–40	0.0005 <sup>b</sup>		8	5	5	0.1874 <sup>b</sup>	31	11–86	0.0007 <sup>b</sup>	
	GMT 2		131	46-378	0.1064 <sup>c</sup>	238	124–457	0.0282 <sup>c</sup>			22	11-44	0.2988 <sup>c</sup>	1522	683-3,393	0.0520 <sup>c</sup>	
	GMFC		10	8–12	0.0005 <sup>d</sup>	10	8–12	0.6408 <sup>d</sup>			4	2–6	0.1248 <sup>d</sup>	49	47-51	0.0251 <sup>d</sup>	
	%SC		86	51-121	0.0133 <sup>e</sup>	100	100-100	0.1898 <sup>e</sup>	100		25	-14-64	0.0905 <sup>e</sup>	100	100-100	1.0000 <sup>e</sup>	100
20+	GMT 1	9	12	7–19		13	7–24			10	6	5–8		149	64–348		
	GMT 2		50	23-110		101	53-191				33	17–64		2744	1,383–5,445		
	GMFC		4	3–6		8	6–10				5	3–7		18	17–20		
	%SC		44	4–85		89	63–115		100		60	23–97		100	100-100		100

TABLE 2 HAI and NAI antibody responses to influenza A and B in subjects with PCR-confirmed influenza in the serosurvey cohort

<sup>a</sup>GMT, geometric mean titer; GMFC, geometric mean fold change. The percent seroconversion (%SC) was determined as the percentage of individuals that seroconverted, defined by a 4-fold rise in antibody titer in the specified assay between the first and second sera.

<sup>b</sup>Age versus GMT 1 by ANOVA.

Age versus GMT 2 by ANOVA.

<sup>d</sup>Age versus GMFC by ANOVA.

eAge versus the percent seroconversion by ANOVA.

In the immunology cohort, 82 of 94 (87%) paired sera were collected from adults ( $\geq$ 20 yo). Forty-six (49%) participants identified as Pacific (n = 23), Maori (n = 15), or of Asian (n = 8) descent, and the remaining 47 were of European descent. Most infections were due to influenza A viruses (IAVs; 74%) or influenza B viruses (IBVs) of Yamagata lineage (20%). Because PCR testing and serum collection was conducted only following presentation with symptoms, the median time from symptom onset to first serum collection was 12 days (range, 1 to 28).

**HAI and NAI-antibody responses in the serosurvey cohort.** The serosurvey cohort allowed us to collect true baseline samples prior to substantial community influenza activity. HAI and NAI antibody titers were determined on pre- and postseason serum samples from individuals with laboratory-confirmed influenza during the season. For participants with IAV infections (Table 2), the baseline HAI geometric mean titers (GMTs) were low in this cohort across all age groups, whereas there were relatively small increases in baseline NAI GMTs with increasing age (the GMTs and 95% confidence intervals [95% CI] for the 0 to 4, 5 to 19, and  $\geq$ 20 yo groups were 5 [5 to 5], 24 [15 to 40], and 13 [7 to 24], respectively; *P* = 0.0005). The geometric mean fold change (GMFC) between the pre- and postseason sera decreased with increasing age for HAI (GMFC [95% CI] for the 0 to 4, 5 to 19, and  $\geq$ 20 yo groups were 22 [14 to 35], 10 [8 to 12], and 4 [3 to 6], respectively; *P* = 0.0005) but not for NAI. There were no age-dependent differences on the NAI GMFC responses. Baseline titers did not appear to influence the magnitude of fold change for the HAI or NAI antibody titers (Fig. 2A and B).

We next looked at seroconversion events detected by each assay, as determined by a  $\geq$ 4-fold increase in titer and meeting the minimum threshold titer of 40. The frequency of HAI seroconversion in IAV was lowest in adults (44% [95% CI = 4 to 85%]) compared to children (86% [95% CI = 51 to 121%]) and young children (100%) (*P* = 0.0133). In contrast, the frequencies of NAI seroconversion ranged from 67 to 100% and were not statistically different by age.

For IBV, the baseline GMT increased significantly with age for NAI (the GMTs [95% CI] for 0 to 4, 5 to 19, and  $\geq$ 20 yo subjects were 11 [7 to 18], 31 [11 to 86], and 149 [64 to 348], respectively; *P* < 0.001] but not for HAI, which was relatively low in all age groups. HAI seroconversion to IBV was more common in adults than in younger participants (the percent seroconversion [95% CI] for the 0 to 4, 5 to 19, and  $\geq$ 20 yo groups were 13 [–17 to 42], 25 [–14 to 64], and 60 [23 to 97], respectively), although this had weak statistical support (*P* = 0.09). NAI seroconversion was detected in 100% of the IBV-infected individuals, with children having a larger GMFC compared to adults.



**FIG 2** Relationship between preseason hemagglutination inhibition (HAI) (A and C) and neuraminidase-inhibition (NAI) (B and D) antibody titers with titer fold increase in postseason sera in the serosurvey cohort. (A and B) A/H3N2 cases; (C and D) influenza B cases. The *x* axes indicate the baseline HA or NAI titers, and the *y* axes indicate the percentages of responders showing the antibody fold increase according to the range indicated in the legend. The range is indicated as the fold change in titers: gray indicates titer changes of  $\leq$ 2-fold, while red, orange, and yellow indicate titer changes of  $\geq$ 4-fold.

Although the magnitude of the response was greater in those with low baseline NAI titers (<40), individuals with high baseline titers (between 160 and 640) were still capable of mounting at least a 4-fold increase in titer (Fig. 2C and D).

We next looked at seroconversion events to HA and NA at an individual level. In the serosurvey cohort, concurrent HAI and NAI seroconversions for IAV occurred in 67% (6/9), 86% (6/7), and 33% (3/9) of the 0 to 4, 5 to 19, and  $\geq$ 20 yo subjects (Fig. 3), respectively. HAI seroconversions only (no NAI seroconversions) occurred in 16% (4/25) (3 in 0 to 4 yo subjects and 1 in  $\geq$ 20 yo subjects) of the PCR-positive cases. NAI-only



**FIG 3** Percentages of individuals that seroconverted as determined by HAI assay only, NAI assay only, or both HAI and NAI assays in PCR-confirmed influenza cases in the serosurvey cohort. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

		Infl	uenza /	A						Infl	uenza	В					
Age (yrs)	Antibody titer <sup>a</sup>	n	HAI	95% Cl	P for age	NAI	95% CI	P for age	By HAI or NAI	n	HAI	95% CI	P for age	NAI	95% CI	P for age	By HAI or NAI
0–4	GMT 1	6	86	12-609		36	5–247			1	10	NA		5	NA		
	GMT 2		1220	401–3,714		160	36–709				10	NA		160	NA		
	GMFC		14	3–73		5	1–20				0	NA		32	NA		
	%SC		83	41–126		50	-8-108		83		0	NA		100	NA		100
5–19	GMT 1	4	320	184–557	0.1262 <sup>b</sup>	34	24–47	0.9960 <sup>b</sup>		1	20	NA	0.8833 <sup>b</sup>	2560	NA	0.0002 <sup>b</sup>	
	GMT 2		226	153–335	0.0185 <sup>c</sup>	28	19–42	0.1067 <sup>c</sup>			10	NA	0.5437 <sup>c</sup>	2560	NA	0.0483 <sup>c</sup>	
	GMFC		1	1	0.0014 <sup>d</sup>	1	1	0.0673 <sup>d</sup>			1	NA	0.4127 <sup>d</sup>	1	NA	0.1885 <sup>d</sup>	
	%SC		0	0–0	0.0156 <sup>e</sup>	0	0–0	0.2082 <sup>e</sup>	0		0	NA	0.5760 <sup>e</sup>	0	NA	0.3368 <sup>e</sup>	0
20+	GMT 1	59	59	40-88		34	25–46			23	11	7–18		1280	815–2,011		
	GMT 2		144	91–227		55	39–77				32	18–58		3258	2063-5145		
	GMFC		2	2–3		2	1–2				3	2–5		3	1–5		
	%SC		34	22–46		25	14–37		44		39	18–61		35	14–56		48

TABLE 3 HAI and NAI antibody responses to influenza A and B in subjects with PCR-confirmed influenza in the immunology cohort

<sup>a</sup>GMT, geometric mean titer; GMFC, geometric mean fold change. The percent seroconversion (%SC) was determined as the percentage of individuals that seroconverted, as defined by a 4-fold rise in antibody titer by the specified assay between the first and second sera.

<sup>b</sup>Age versus GMT 1 by ANOVA.

<sup>c</sup>Age versus GMT 2 by ANOVA.

<sup>d</sup>Age versus GMFC by ANOVA.

eAge versus the percent seroconversion by ANOVA.

seroconversions (no HAI seroconversions) occurred in 24% (6/25) of individuals, mostly in adults (0%, 14% [1/7], and 56% [5/9] in the respective age groups). In contrast to the trend observed for IAV, the HAI and NAI seroconversions in IBV-infected individuals occurred in 12.5% (1/8), 25% (2/8), and 60% (6/10) of 0 to 4, 5 to 19, and  $\geq$ 20 yo subjects, respectively. In addition, 17/26 of the IBV-infected individuals that did not show HAI seroconversion, seroconverted to NA (88% (7/8), 75% (6/8), and 40% (4/10) in the 0 to 4, 5 to 19, and  $\geq$ 20 yo groups, respectively). No HAI-only seroconversions were detected.

HAI and NAI antibody responses in the immunology cohort. The challenge of conducting immunologic studies in medical care facilities is that infections, as well as the corresponding immune responses, are often well under way by the time patients present. Therefore, true baseline blood draws are difficult to obtain. This was likely the case in our immunology cohort, since the baseline antibody GMTs to HA and NA were higher compared to the serosurvey cohort across all age groups (Table 3). Within this cohort there were no statistical differences in the IAV baseline GMT for HAI or NAI among the different age groups, but there were age-specific differences in the GMFC responses (HAI GMFC, P = 0.0014; NAI GMFC, P = 0.067). Subjects <5 yo showed the highest GMFC in both assays. The frequency of HAI seroconversions was highest among young children (0 to 4 yo; 83% [95% CI = 41 to 126%]) compared to adults ( $\geq$  20 yo; 34% [95% CI = 22 to 46%]), while NAI seroconversions did not show any agedependent trends. Unlike the serosurvey cohort, a large percentage of IAV cases across a broad range of baseline HAI and NAI titers failed to mount at least a 4-fold increase in antibody titers (Fig. 4A and B). An exception was the NAI response against IBV, where nonresponders (FC  $\leq$  2) had high baseline (>320) NAI titers (Fig. 4C and D).

Concurrent HAI and NAI seroconversions to IAV were marginally more frequent in subjects <5 yo (3/6, 50%) than in adults (9/59, 15%; P = 0.07, Fisher exact test) (Fig. 5). HAI-only seroconversion only was observed in 13/69 (19%) of individuals, whereas NAI-only seroconversions were only observed in 6/69 (9%) participants.

Age-dependent observations were limited in IBV cases due to low enrollment in the <20 yo age bracket. In subjects  $\geq$ 20 yo, 39% (95% CI = 18 to 61%) and 35% (95% CI = 14 to 56%) seroconverted as determined by HAI and NAI assays, respectively. Concurrent HAI and NAI seroconversions were detected in only 6/25 (24%) individuals, while HAI- or NAI-only seroconversion occurred in 3/25 (12%) individuals, respectively.



**FIG 4** Relationship between baseline first HAI (A and C) and NAI (B and D) titers with the antibody titer fold increase in the second sera in the immunology cohort. (A and B) A/H3N2 cases; (C and D) influenza B cases. The *x* axes indicate the baseline HA or NAI titers, and the *y* axes indicate the percentages of responders showing an antibody fold increase according to the range indicated in the legend. The range is indicated as the fold change in titers: gray indicates titer changes of  $\leq$ 2-fold, while red, orange, and yellow indicate titer changes of  $\geq$ 4-fold.

**NAI antibodies as serologic markers of influenza virus infections.** Given the poor detection of HAI seroconversion in certain age groups, we sought to determine whether the NAI antibody responses could be used as an alternative serological marker to detect recent infections. We first determined the overall seroconversion frequencies in both cohorts by either assay or both assays, and we then evaluated the performance of these assays using a statistical metric.



**FIG 5** Percentages of individuals that seroconverted as determined by HAI assay, NAI assay, or both HAI and NAI assays in PCR-confirmed influenza cases in the immunology cohort. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

		Influenza A (H3N	l2) (95% Cl) score		Influenza B (95%	o CI) score	
Cohort	Assay	к	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	к	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
Serosurvey	HAI	0.48 (0.33-0.64)	0.76 (0.55–0.91)	0.87 (0.81-0.92)	0.24 (0.06-0.42)	0.35 (0.17-0.56)	0.90 (0.85-0.94)
	NAI	0.47 (0.32-0.61)	0.84 (0.64-0.95)	0.84 (0.77-0.89)	0.56 (0.43-0.69)	1.00 (0.81-1.00)	0.83 (0.77-0.89)
	HAI and NAI	0.45 (0.28-0.63)	0.60 (0.39-0.79)	0.91 (0.86-0.94)	0.28 (0.10-0.47)	0.35 (0.17-0.56)	0.92 (0.87-0.96)
	HAI or NAI	0.48 (0.36-0.62)	1.00 (0.80–1.00)	0.80 (0.73–0.85)	0.53 (0.40–0.66)	1.00 (0.81–1.00)	0.82 (0.75–0.87)
Immunology	HAI	0.27 (0.14–0.41)	0.35 (0.24–0.48)	0.92 (0.83–0.97)	0.48 (0.28–0.69)	0.35 (0.16–0.57)	0.99 (0.95–1.00)
	NAI	0.24 (0.12-0.35)	0.26 (0.17-0.39)	0.97 (0.90-1.00)	0.48 (0.28-0.68)	0.39 (0.20-0.61)	0.97 (0.93-0.99)
	HAI and NAI	0.18 (0.08-0.27)	0.18 (0.09-0.29)	1.00 (0.93-1.00)	0.34 (0.13 0.55)	0.22 (0.07-0.44)	0.99 (0.95-1.00)
	HAI or NAI	0.33 (0.19–0.47)	0.44 (0.32–0.57)	0.89 (0.79–0.95)	0.60 (0.41-0.78)	0.52 (0.31–0.73)	0.97 (0.93–0.99)

**TABLE 4** Kappa ( $\kappa$ ) agreement and test sensitivity scores for HAI and NAI assays in detecting influenza A and B infections in PCRconfirmed influenza cases<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>The strength of agreement is based on the Landis and Koch scale [18]: <0.2 = poor or slight,  $\geq 0.2$  to <0.4 = fair, 0.4 to <0.6 = moderate, 0.6 to <0.8 = substantial, and 0.8 to 1 = almost perfect to perfect.

In the serosurvey cohort, the overall (IAV and IBV) frequencies of HAI or NAI seroconversion events were 55% (95% CI = 41 to 69%, n = 28) and 92% (95% CI = 85 to 99%, n = 47), respectively. In the immunology cohort, the overall frequencies of HAI or NAI seroconversion events were 36% (95% CI = 26 to 46%, n = 34) and 28% (95% CI = 19 to 37%, n = 27), respectively. However, using either assay to define seroconversions captured 100 and 46% of all the infected cases in the serosurvey and immunology cohorts, respectively. Thus, including NAI seroconversions can improve the accuracy of the serologic detection of recent influenza virus infections.

Interassay diagnostic agreement with the PCR positivity and sensitivity and the specificity of serologic assays. We used  $\kappa$  statistics to evaluate the level of agreement between PCR subtype positivity and serologic seroconversions by each assay when used alone and in combination (Table 4). Higher  $\kappa$  scores (scaled from 0 to 1) indicate a better agreement between the two methods (18).

The  $\kappa$  scores calculated for IAV cases for PCR versus HAI alone and PCR versus NAI alone in both cohorts were comparable (serosurvey  $\kappa$  [95% CI] = 0.48 [0.33 to 0.64] versus 0.47 [0.32 to 0.61]; immunology  $\kappa$  [95% CI] = 0.27 [0.14 to 0.41] versus 0.24 [0.12 to 0.35]). The  $\kappa$  scores calculated for IBV cases for PCR versus NAI ( $\kappa$  [95% CI] = 0.56 [0.43 to 0.69]) were higher compared to PCR versus HAI ( $\kappa$  [95% CI] = 0.24 [0.06 to 0.42]) in the serosurvey cohort but not in the immunology cohort. However, the  $\kappa$  scores were highest for PCR versus HAI or NAI (IAV = 0.33 to 0.48, IBV = 0.53 to 0.60). Thus, HAI and NAI assays have comparable sensitivities for IAV, but the NAI assay was more sensitive for IBV (sensitivity score [95% CI] = 1.00 [0.81 to 1.00]) compared to HAI (sensitivity score [95% CI] = 0.35 [0.17 to 0.56]). All assays had high specificity scores (range, 0.81 to 1), although, unsurprisingly, the combination of HAI and NAI assays offered the highest specificity (0.91 to 1).

The HAI and NAI assays also detected 4 and 20% seroconversions against H1N1 strain, respectively, in the serosurvey cohort and 1 and 13% seroconversions, respectively, in the immunology cohort (Table 5).

**Specificity of assay in the control (summer) cohort.** To further examine the specificity of the assays, we tested paired sera collected for the diagnosis of nonrespiratory illnesses during the months when influenza activity was not epidemic. Our rationale for this was that any seroconversions detected were more likely due to assay complications rather than true infections. The percentages reported here represent seroconversion events against either IAVs (H1 and H3 subtypes) or IBVs (Yamagata and Victoria lineages) (Table 6). As a group, no significant rise in GMFC was detected for any virus. The frequency of a HAI-seroconversion events against IAVs, was 3% (95% CI = -1 to 6%) (3/94). All of these seroconversion events were directed against H3. The frequency of NAI seroconversion against IAVs was 4% (95% CI = 0 to 8%, 4/94). The frequency of NAI seroconversion against IBV was 2% (95% CI = 0 to 5%, 2/94), and no HAI seroconversion events were directed to multiple antigens. Two individuals seroconverted to both N1 and N2, and

Serosurvey cohort								Immunology cohort						
Virus	Age (yr)	Antibody titer <sup>a</sup>	n	HAI	95% Cl	NAI	95% Cl	By HAI/NAI (%)	n	HAI	95% Cl	NAI	95% CI	By HAI/NA (%)
Influenza A	0-4	GMT 1	9	29	-58-117	17	-54-88		6	10	10	5	5	
		GMT 2		37	-48-122	25	-109-160			14	-9-37	9	-42-59	
		GMFC		1	1–2	2	-0.02-3			2	-0.8-4	2	-8-12	
		No. (% SC)		1 (11)		1 (11)		22		1 (17)		1 (17)		30
	5–19	GMT 1	7	24	-3-52	108	16,200		4	8	6–11	57	34–79	
		GMT 2		24	-17-66	160	6–314			7	4-10	40	15-64	
		GMFC		1	1	2	1–2			1	0.2-2	1	1	
		No. (% SC)		0 (0)		1 (11)		11		0 (0)		0 (0)		0
	20+	GMT 1	9	22	2-41	93	-99-285		59	20	1-40	42	-60-144	
		GMT 2		23	2–45	93	-75-261			19	2–37	54	-288-397	
		GMFC		1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1–2	
		No. (% SC)		0 (0)		0 (0)		0		0 (0)		7 (10)		10
Influenza B	0–4	GMT 1	8	57	-33-146	57	-17-130		1	10	NA <sup>b</sup>	5	NA	
		GMT 2		62	-27-151	80	-70-230			10	NA	5	NA	
		GMFC		1	1	1	-0.3-3			1	NA	1	NA	
		No. (% SC)		0 (0)		1 (13)		13		0 (0)		0 (0)		0
	5–19	GMT 1	8	52	-39-142	247	-340-834		1	10	NA	80	NA	
		GMT 2		57	-34-148	269	-121-659			5	NA	40	NA	
		GMFC		1	1	1	1			0.5	NA	0.5	NA	
		No. (% SC)		0 (0)		0 (0)		0		0 (0)		0 (0)		0
	20+	GMT 1	10	49	-12-111	121	-124-366		23	11	1-21	45	-821-911	
		GMT 2		53	-22-128	279	-48-572			12	-2-27	65	-798-927	
		GMFC		1	1	2	48-52			1	1	1	1–2	
		No. (% SC)		0 (0)		2 (20)		20		0 (0)		2 (9)		9

<sup>a</sup>GMT, geometric mean titer; GMFC, geometric mean fold change. The percent seroconversion (%SC) was determined as the percentage of individuals that seroconverted, as defined by a 4-fold rise in antibody titer by the specified assay between the first and second sera. <sup>b</sup>NA, not applicable.

one individual seroconverted to three antigens; H3 and NA to both B lineages (Table 7). No individual seroconverted to both HA and NA simultaneously.

# DISCUSSION

Our study evaluated the induction of HA and NA antibodies in individuals with community-acquired influenza through two cohorts with a distinct sampling design. We found that the dynamics of HA and NA antibody responses were age and virus dependent after influenza virus infection. HAI seroconversion events in IAV cases were highest in young children ( $\leq$ 5 yo) but then decreased with increasing age. These young children, who are likely experiencing their first influenza virus infection, were also more likely to seroconvert to both HA and NA or show an HA-dominant antibody response.

**TABLE 6** HAI and NAI antibody responses to influenza A (A/H3 and A/H1pdm09) and B (B/Yam and B/Vic) viruses in the non-influenza season (summer) cohort<sup>a</sup>

			HAI		NAI	
Туре	Total no. of reactions <sup>b</sup>	Antibody titer <sup>c</sup>	n	95% CI	n	95% Cl
Influenza A (H1 and H3)	94	First sera GMT	17	14–22	39	28–54
		Second sera GMT	18	15–23	43	31–60
		GMFC	1	0-2	1	0–2
		Seroconversion (%)	3	-1-6	4	0–8
		No. of individuals that seroconverted	3		2	
Influenza B (Yam and Vic)	94	First sera GMT	11	9–13	905	707–1,159
		Second sera GMT	11	9–14	967	767–1,220
		GMFC	1	0-2	1	0-2
		Seroconversion (%)	0		2	0–5
		No. of individuals that seroconverted	0		1	

<sup>a</sup>Summer in the Southern Hemisphere: December 2015 to March 2016.

<sup>b</sup>A total of 47 paired samples were tested against two influenza A or two influenza B virus strains, making a total of 94 reactions for each virus type.

<sup>c</sup>The percent seroconversion refers to the percentage of reactions that showed a 4-fold increase in antibody titer as determined by the specified assay between the first and second sera.

		Individual				
Antigen <sup>a</sup>	Sera	1	2	3	4	5
IAV_H1	First	40	5	5	10	5
	Second	40	5	20	10	5
IAV_H3	First	10	10	5	5	10
	Second	40	10	40	5	40
IAV_N1	First	80	20	320	10	320
	Second	160	80	320	80	320
IAV_N2	First	40	10	40	10	20
	Second	40	80	40	40	40
IBV (Victoria)_HA	First	5	80	5	5	5
	Second	5	80	5	5	5
IBV (Victoria)_NA	First	640	2,560	40	320	640
	Second	640	2,560	160	320	640
IBV (Yamagata)_HA	First	5	80	5	5	40
	Second	5	80	5	5	40
IBV (Yamagata)_NA	First	640	2,560	40	320	320
	Second	640	2,560	160	320	2,560

**TABLE 7** HAI and NAI-antibody titers of the first and second serum sample in the five individuals who met the seroconversion criteria from the summer cohort

aIAV, influenza A virus; IBV, influenza B virus. Seroconversion events are indicated in boldface.

The latter finding is consistent with the expectation of HA being immunodominant compared to NA in HA-naive individuals (19, 20). The age-dependent increase in baseline NAI, but not HAI, titers in our cohorts suggests either an age-associated shift in antibody immunodominance or (perhaps more likely) a closer match between the NA antigen used in our assay to the prior circulating strain (i.e., a less-drifted NA antigen) (12). This could explain the NA-only seroconversions seen in IAV- infected adults. The presence of NA-specific memory B cells could favor the NA-specific antibody responses over HA in these adults, a model previously proposed to explain the "damping" of NA antibody responses in HA-primed individuals (21-23). Several studies have reported that the elderly subjects may have a more NA-biased antibody response compared to the younger subjects and that evidence of original antigenic sin can be observed for NA antibody responses as well (12, 24, 25). Based on these observations, it appears that NA antibodies to strains encountered early in life may persist and, like HA antibodies, can be "back-boosted" (26). It is therefore likely that the relative conservation of this antigen can further contribute to boost the preexisting NA antibody response in the adults.

Compared to the NAI assay, the HAI assay was surprisingly insensitive in detecting seroconversions against IBVs. This was also observed in our larger serosurvey cohort comprising of the PCR-negative cases (n = 701), where the proportion of IBV NAI seroconverters was higher than the HAI seroconverters, particularly in young children (27). This is in contrast to the findings by Rajendran et al., who reported lower postvaccination NAI titers to IBV in children compared to adults (12), suggesting that, like IAV, there are differences in the NA antibody response after IBV infection versus vaccination (28). Whether the reduced IBV HAI sensitivity seen in our study was due to technical reasons (i.e., a mismatched antigen or the influence of egg-adapted antigens) or antigenic competition, we found that the NAI assay compensated for these limitations, since 100% of the IBV cases were captured.

That the ELLA was particularly sensitive for IBV suggests that NA antibodies against IBVs could be more broadly cross-reactive than HA antibodies, as had been reported recently for IAV (28). However, we cannot exclude the possibility of nonspecific inhibition of NA activity by HA antibodies, particularly those that bind outside of the

**TABLE 8** Details on the time interval between the first serum collection from symptom onset or swab specimen and the times between serum collection, as stratified by age and ethnicity, in the serosurvey and immunology cohorts<sup>a</sup>

	Ser	osurv	ey cohort ( $n = 50$ )			Imn	nunol	ogy cohort ( <i>n</i>	= 94)	
			Median days (rai	nge)				Median day	s (range)	
Characteristics	n	%	A	В	с	n	%	A	В	с
Age (yrs)										
0-4	16	32	128.5 (53–180)	134.5 (63–188)	220 (132–259)	7	7	7 (1–14)	2 (0–6)	17 (14–24)
5-19	15	30	142.5 (22–193)	147 (28–176)	215 (133–249)	5	5	17 (10-28)	12 (7–23)	14 (12–19)
20+	19	38	137.5 (29–170)	144.5 (35–178)	216 (144–274)	82	87	12 (1–26)	6 (0–19)	16 (5–27)
Sex										
Male	16	32	113 (29–193)	118 (35–185)	216.5 (139–274)	44	47	12 (1–28)	5 (0-23)	17 (5–27)
Female	34	68	141 (22–180)	148 (28–188)	218.5 (132–259)	50	53	13.5 (1–26)	6 (0–15)	14 (12–24)
Ethnicity										
Maori	7	14	137.5 (105–165)	148.5 (113–169)	232 (207–259)	15	16	12 (1–18)	5 (0-13)	16 (12–24)
Pacific	5	10	79.5 (22–113)	87.5 (28–118)	210 (132–274)	23	25	11 (5–14)	6 (1–10)	15 (14–27)
Asian	9	18	141.5 (109–163)	148 (115–167)	214 (144–250)	8	9	16 (12–17)	5.5 (2-12)	16 (14–21)
European-descent/Other	29	58	138.5 (29–193)	144.5 (35–188)	219 (139–249)	47	51	12.5 (1–28)	6 (0–23)	16 (5–24)

<sup>a</sup>Time intervals in the table are abbreviated as follows: A, time from first serum collection to symptom onset; B, time from first serum collection to swab specimen; and C, time between serum collections.

globular head which were not detected by HAI assays (since most of our participants had very low preexisting HAI titers to IBVs) (29, 30). How many of these antibodies are present and thus the extent of interference in polyclonal serum are unknown.

The two sampling designs used in the serosurvey and immunology cohort are typical of most seroepidemiology and clinical studies. The serosurvey cohort utilized a pre- and post-influenza season sampling design. This allowed for baseline sera to be collected prior to the actual infection, although with such a design, a large initial cohort is required in order to capture sufficient infected cases during the influenza season. In contrast, the immunology cohort sampled individuals that actively sought health care. Correspondingly, there was a large variability in the time of the first sera sampled after symptom onset in this cohort, attributed in part to the logistical delay in sampling the influenza-like illness (ILI) cases that present to the general practitioners across Auckland. This likely contributed to the high baseline titers, thus decreasing the likelihood of meeting the seroconversion criteria (31). Indeed, when we examined in detail the sampling times broken down by age (Table 8), we found that the older-children group (5 to 19 yo), which had the highest baseline HAI titer in the immunology cohort, was also the group that had the largest time interval between symptom onset and first serum collection. This is likely associated with health-seeking behavior since older children are less likely to present with severe influenza. We attempted to correct for the variable sampling time in our analysis by excluding the outliers (i.e., those sampled <14 days or >20 days), but this resulted in the loss of statistical power without affecting the population average (data not shown). Hence, we kept the original analysis for this study. Nevertheless, despite the difference detected in the frequency of seroconversions, data from both cohorts, at least for IAV cases, suggested that adults were more likely than children to show discordant HA and NA antibody induction, confirming previous observations (32, 33).

Despite H3N2 being the dominant circulating strain during our study, some seroconversions to H1N1 were also detected in our two cohorts. Seroconversions to NA were more frequent compared to HA, potentially due to the higher cross-reactivity of NAI antibodies or to coinfection events during the influenza season. For this reason, we used the summer cohort (where sera were collected for nonrespiratory disease testing when community influenza activity is low) as a proxy for an "influenza-negative" population to evaluate the specificity of these assays. Since three of the five paired sera showed seroconversion to multiple antigens (Table 7), it is likely that these are false-positive events. With the caveat that we could not discount the possibility of any "out-of-season" influenza cases, the false-positive frequencies were between 0 and 4%

Yr	Subtype	Virus strain	Cohort(s)
2013	H1N1	A/California/7/09	Immunology
	H3N2	A/Victoria/361/2011	Immunology
	B (Victoria)	B/Brisbane/60/2008	Immunology
	B (Yamagata)	B/Massachusetts/02/2012	Immunology
2015	H1N1	A/California/7/09	Serosurvey, immunology, and summer
	H3N2	A/Switzerland/9715293/2013	Serosurvey, immunology, and summer
	B (Victoria)	B/Brisbane/60/2008	Serosurvey, immunology, and summer
	B (Yamagata)	B/Phuket/3073/2013	Serosurvey, immunology, and summer

TABLE 9 Virus strains tested for each cohort

of the total reactions tested and appeared to be comparable between HAI and NAI assays.

Principally, we found that including the NAI assay improved the serological detection of influenza cases in both our cohorts, although we acknowledge that the detection sensitivities of the HAI and NAI assays reported here may vary during different influenza seasons with different antigens. With further validation across different influenza seasons and antigens, including the NAI assay as a hierarchical or targeted testing approach can increase the power and accuracy of a seroepidemiological study and will circumvent some of the limitations and variable robustness associated with HAI assay.

Overall, our findings highlight the age and subtype-dependent nature of HA and NA antibody responses after PCR-confirmed influenza virus infections. The differences in the antibody response to HA and NA that we observed between adults and children suggests a possible influence of immunological memory on the recall response. This is an important consideration for any vaccination strategy aimed at eliciting NA immunity since it suggests that the antibody response may differ with different age groups. The mechanism underlying such response dynamics should be further investigated.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics approval.** This study received ethics approval from the New Zealand Northern (A) Health and Disability Ethics Committee under references NTX/11/11/102/AM02, AM05, AM06, AM13, and AM14.

**Study design.** The sampling designs for this study are summarized in Fig. 1. For the serosurvey cohort, randomly selected community participants enrolled at SHIVERS participating general practices provided pre-influenza season sera in March to June and post-season sera in October to November of 2015. During the influenza season (May to September), nasopharyngeal swabs from 209 unvaccinated participants with influenza-like illness (ILI; defined as cough and fever with onset  $\leq 10$  days) were tested by PCR for evidence of influenza virus infection. Fifty-one infections (24% positivity) were identified, and the sera from these individuals were analyzed for this study. The immunology cohort was recruited in the 2013 and 2015 seasons and consisted of 94 patients presenting to general practice clinics (n = 29) with acute respiratory illness or to hospitals with severe acute respiratory illness (n = 65) based on the World Health Organization disease classification (34). For this cohort, the first blood draw occurred following a positive influenza PCR test, and the second occurred at least 14 days later. Study data were collected and managed using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) tools (35) hosted at the Institute for Environmental Science and Research (ESR). To examine the specificity of the assays, a panel comprising of 47 paired sera, collected approximately14 days apart during the summer of 2016 for arbovirus/ legionella testing at the ESR, was also evaluated.

**PCR diagnosis.** Respiratory samples were tested and subtyped using the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention real-time RT-PCR protocol (16) or the AusDiagnostics PCR protocol (36), as previously described (37–39).

**Serologic testing.** Serologic testing was performed at the National Influenza Center at ESR, New Zealand. Receptor-destroying enzyme (RDE)-treated sera were tested against the strains included in the Southern hemisphere vaccine for the relevant enrollment year (A/H3, A/H1, and the two B lineages; Table 9) according to standard practice (40). The ELLA was used to test for NAI-specific antibodies, as previously described (9, 11, 41). Recombinant influenza A viruses (IAVs) composed of NA from the viruses to be tested and a mismatched HA from A/Teal/Hong Kong/W312/1997 (H6N1) were generated using the reverse-genetics method and used as antigens. The NAs used in recombinant viruses were N1 from A/California/04/2009 (H1N1) and N2 from A/Victoria/361/2011 (H3N2) and A/Switzerland/9715293/2013 (H3N2). Wild-type viruses B/Brisbane/60/2008 (Victoria lineage [Vic]) or B/Phuket/3073/2013 (Yamagata lineage [Yam]) (9) were used in the influenza B virus (IBV) ELLA. Serum samples were tested against all four dilution of 1:10. For the summer control cohort, sera from those individuals were tested against all four

influenza viruses, and the percentages of seroconversion events against IAV (A/H3 and A/H1) and IBV (B/Yam and B/Vic) were reported.

**Statistical analysis.** Seroconversion was defined as a 4-fold increase between the first and second HAI or NAI titer against the subtype of the infecting virus. In addition, if the first titer was below the detection threshold (<10), the second titer had to be  $\geq$ 40. IAV cases that were not subtyped were assumed to be A/H3N2, since it was the predominant subtype circulating in both seasons of the study. Non-lineage-typed IBVs were assumed to be the B antigens with the highest increase in titer. Geometric mean titers (GMTs) were reported as the back-transformed average of the log<sub>2</sub> antibody titers, and the geometric mean fold change (GMFC) was reported as the back-transformed average of the differences between the first and second log<sub>2</sub> antibody titers (41). Age-specific effects on the antibody responses were analyzed by using analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests.

Kappa ( $\kappa$ ) statistics were used to describe the level of agreement between PCR diagnosis and seroconversion status by HAI only, by NAI only, by both HAI and NAI, and by either HAI or NAI. The strength of agreement between the two assays was categorized based on the Landis and Koch kappa benchmark scale (23). Test sensitivity and specificity scores were also calculated for each assay or combination of assays. For the serosurvey cohort, the negative cases were the ILI individuals that were PCR negative during the season (n = 209). For the immunology cohort, the negative cases were derived from the summer cohort. For IAV, we considered PCR subtype positivity as the gold standard outcome, and we used the homologous subtype seroconversion event as the test to ascertain agreement. For IBV, we only considered PCR type and any flu B serotype seroconversion for agreement. All calculations were generated by using the R package epiR (42, 43).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Cherise M. Guess from St. Jude Children's Research Hospital for manuscript editing services. We also thank Mark Zanin from Guangzhou Medical University for critical readings of the manuscript.

This study was funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; 1U01IP000480-01). The project is a 5-year research cooperative agreement between the Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR) and the U.S. CDC's National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases Influenza Division. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the CDC. S.-S.W. and R.J.W. are also supported by the American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases's program Centers of Excellence for Influenza Research and Surveillance (contract numbers HHSN266200700005C and HHSN272201400006C).

The members of the SHIVERS Investigation Team included the SHIVERS Science Management Team (Nikki Turner, Michael Baker, Cameron Grant, Colin McArthur, Sally Roberts, Adrian Trenholme, Conroy Wong, Susan Taylor, Diane Gross, Jazmin Duque; Marc-Alain Widdowson); the research nurses at the Auckland District Health Board (ADHB; Bhamita Chand, Kathryn Haven, Pamela Muponisi, Debbie Aley, Claire Sherring, Miriam Rea, Judith Barry, Tracey Bushell, Julianne Brewer, and Catherine McClymont); the research nurses at the Counties Manukau District Health Board (CMDHB; Shirley Lawrence, Emma Collis, Amanda Retter, Shona Chamberlin, Reniza Ongcoy, Kirstin Davey, Emilina Jasmat, Maree Dickson, Annette Western, Olive Lai, Sheila Fowlie, Faasoa Aupa'au, and Louise Robertson); the WHO National Influenza Centre, Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR; Angela Todd, Lauren Jelley, Judy Bocacao, Wendy Gunn, Pam Kawakami, Sue Walker, Robyn Madge, and Amanda des Barres); the ADHB Laboratory (Fahimeh Rahnama); the CMDHB laboratory (Helen Qiao, Fifi Tse, Mahtab Zibaei, Tirzah Korrapadu, Louise Optland, and Cecilia Dela Cruz); the Labtests laboratory in Auckland; and sentinel general practices in Auckland, New Zealand.

#### REFERENCES

- Couch RB, Atmar RL, Franco LM, Quarles JM, Wells J, Arden N, Nino D, Belmont JW. 2013. Antibody correlates and predictors of immunity to naturally occurring influenza in humans and the importance of antibody to the neuraminidase. J Infect Dis 207:974–981. https://doi.org/10.1093/ infdis/jis935.
- Memoli MJ, Shaw PA, Han A, Czajkowski L, Reed S, Athota R, Bristol T, Fargis S, Risos K, Powers JH, Davey RT, Jr, Taubenberger JK. 2016. Evaluation of

antihemagglutinin and antineuraminidase antibodies as correlates of protection in an influenza A/H1N1 virus healthy human challenge model. mBio 7:e00417-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00417-16.

- Monto AS, Kendal AP. 1973. Effect of neuraminidase antibody on Hong Kong influenza. Lancet 1:623–625. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736 (73)92196-x.
- 4. Monto AS, Petrie JG, Cross RT, Johnson E, Liu M, Zhong W, Levine M, Katz

JM, Ohmit SE. 2015. Antibody to influenza virus neuraminidase: an independent correlate of protection. J Infect Dis 212:1191–1199. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiv195.

- 5. Dyer O. 2018. Gates Foundation challenges researchers to create universal flu vaccine with \$12m offer. BMJ 361:k1922. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k1922.
- Erbelding EJ, Post D, Stemmy E, Roberts PC, Augustine AD, Ferguson S, Paules CI, Graham BS, Fauci AS. 2018. A universal influenza vaccine: the strategic plan for the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. J Infect Dis 218:347–354. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy103.
- Krammer F, Fouchier RAM, Eichelberger MC, Webby RJ, Shaw-Saliba K, Wan H, Wilson PC, Compans RW, Skountzou I, Monto AS. 2018. NAction! How can neuraminidase-based immunity contribute to better influenza virus vaccines? mBio 9. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02332-17.
- Aymard-Henry M, Coleman MT, Dowdle WR, Laver WG, Schild GC, Webster RG. 1973. Influenzavirus neuraminidase and neuraminidaseinhibition test procedures. Bull World Health Organ 48:199–202.
- Couzens L, Gao J, Westgeest K, Sandbulte M, Lugovtsev V, Fouchier R, Eichelberger M. 2014. An optimized enzyme-linked lectin assay to measure influenza A virus neuraminidase inhibition antibody titers in human sera. J Virol Methods 210:7–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2014 .09.003.
- Lambre CR, Terzidis H, Greffard A, Webster RG. 1990. Measurement of anti-influenza neuraminidase antibody using a peroxidase-linked lectin and microtitre plates coated with natural substrates. J Immunol Methods 135:49–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(90)90255-t.
- 11. Laguio-Vila MR, Thompson MG, Reynolds S, Spencer SM, Gaglani M, Naleway A, Ball S, Bozeman S, Baker S, Martinez-Sobrido L, Levine M, Katz J, Fry AM, Treanor JJ. 2015. Comparison of serum hemagglutinin and neuraminidase inhibition antibodies after 2010–2011 trivalent inactivated influenza vaccination in healthcare personnel. Open Forum Infect Dis 2:ofu115. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofu115.
- Rajendran M, Nachbagauer R, Ermler ME, Bunduc P, Amanat F, Izikson R, Cox M, Palese P, Eichelberger M, Krammer F. 2017. Analysis of antiinfluenza virus neuraminidase antibodies in children, adults, and the elderly by ELISA and enzyme inhibition: evidence for original antigenic sin. mBio 8:02281-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02281-16.
- Fritz R, Sabarth N, Kiermayr S, Hohenadl C, Howard MK, Ilk R, Kistner O, Ehrlich HJ, Barrett PN, Kreil TR. 2012. A Vero cell-derived whole-virus H5N1 vaccine effectively induces neuraminidase-inhibiting antibodies. J Infect Dis 205:28–34. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir711.
- Huang QS, Turner N, Baker MG, Williamson DA, Wong C, Webby R, Widdowson MA. 2015. Southern hemisphere influenza and vaccine effectiveness research and surveillance. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 9:179–190. https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.12315.
- Chen MI, Barr IG, Koh GC, Lee VJ, Lee CP, Shaw R, Lin C, Yap J, Cook AR, Tan BH, Loh JP, Barkham T, Chow VT, Lin RT, Leo YS. 2010. Serological response in RT-PCR confirmed H1N1-2009 influenza a by hemagglutination inhibition and virus neutralization assays: an observational study. PLoS One 5:e12474. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012474.
- Petrie JG, Ohmit SE, Johnson E, Cross RT, Monto AS. 2011. Efficacy studies of influenza vaccines: effect of end points used and characteristics of vaccine failures. J Infect Dis 203:1309–1315. https://doi.org/10 .1093/infdis/jir015.
- 17. Veguilla V, Hancock K, Schiffer J, Gargiullo P, Lu X, Aranio D, Branch A, Dong L, Holiday C, Liu F, Steward-Clark E, Sun H, Tsang B, Wang D, Whaley M, Bai Y, Cronin L, Browning P, Dababneh H, Noland H, Thomas L, Foster L, Quinn CP, Soroka SD, Katz JM. 2011. Sensitivity and specificity of serologic assays for detection of human infection with 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus in U.S. populations. J Clin Microbiol 49:2210–2215. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00229-11.
- Landis JR, Koch GG. 1977. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 33:159–174. https://doi.org/10.2307/2529310.
- Getie-Kebtie M, Sultana I, Eichelberger M, Alterman M. 2013. Label-free mass spectrometry-based quantification of hemagglutinin and neuraminidase in influenza virus preparations and vaccines. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 7:521–530. https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.12001.
- Kilbourne ED, Cerini CP, Khan MW, Mitchell JW, Jr, Ogra PL. 1987. Immunologic response to the influenza virus neuraminidase is influenced by prior experience with the associated viral hemagglutinin. I. Studies in human vaccinees. J Immunol 138:3010–3013.
- 21. Johansson BE, Moran TM, Bona CA, Kilbourne ED. 1987. Immunologic response to influenza virus neuraminidase is influenced by prior experience with the associated viral hemagglutinin. III. Reduced generation

of neuraminidase-specific helper T cells in hemagglutinin-primed mice. J Immunol 139:2015–2019.

- Johansson BE, Moran TM, Bona CA, Popple SW, Kilbourne ED. 1987. Immunologic response to influenza virus neuraminidase is influenced by prior experience with the associated viral hemagglutinin. II. Sequential infection of mice simulates human experience. J Immunol 139:2010–2014.
- Johansson BE, Moran TM, Kilbourne ED. 1987. Antigen-presenting B cells and helper T cells cooperatively mediate intravirionic antigenic competition between influenza A virus surface glycoproteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 84:6869–6873. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.19.6869.
- Marcelin G, Bland HM, Negovetich NJ, Sandbulte MR, Ellebedy AH, Webb AD, Griffin YS, DeBeauchamp JL, McElhaney JE, Webby RJ. 2010. Inactivated seasonal influenza vaccines increase serum antibodies to the neuraminidase of pandemic influenza A(H1N1) 2009 virus in an agedependent manner. J Infect Dis 202:1634–1638. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 657084.
- Powers DC, Kilbourne ED, Johansson BE. 1996. Neuraminidase-specific antibody responses to inactivated influenza virus vaccine in young and elderly adults. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 3:511–516. https://doi.org/10 .1128/CDLI.3.5.511-516.1996.
- 26. Fonville JM, Wilks SH, James SL, Fox A, Ventresca M, Aban M, Xue L, Jones TC, Le NMH, Pham QT, Tran ND, Wong Y, Mosterin A, Katzelnick LC, Labonte D, Le TT, van der Net G, Skepner E, Russell CA, Kaplan TD, Rimmelzwaan GF, Masurel N, de Jong JC, Palache A, Beyer WEP, Le QM, Nguyen TH, Wertheim HFL, Hurt AC, Osterhaus A, Barr IG, Fouchier RAM, Horby PW, Smith DJ. 2014. Antibody landscapes after influenza virus infection or vaccination. Science 346:996–1000. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1256427.
- 27. Huang QS, Bandaranayake D, Wood T, Newbern EC, Seeds R, Ralston J, Waite B, Bissielo A, Prasad N, Todd A, Jelley L, Gunn W, McNicholas A, Metz T, Lawrence S, Collis E, Retter A, Wong SS, Webby R, Bocacao J, Haubrock J, Mackereth G, Turner N, McArdle B, Cameron J, Reynolds EG, Baker MG, Grant CC, McArthur C, Roberts S, Trenholme A, Wong C, Taylor S, Thomas P, Duque J, Gross D, Thompson MG, Widdowson MA, SHIVERS Investigation Team. 2019. Risk factors and attack rates of seasonal influenza infection: results of the Southern Hemisphere Influenza and Vaccine Effectiveness Research and Surveillance (SHIVERS) seroepide-miologic cohort study. J Infect Dis 219:347–357. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy443.
- Chen YQ, Wohlbold TJ, Zheng NY, Huang M, Huang Y, Neu KE, Lee J, Wan H, Rojas KT, Kirkpatrick E, Henry C, Palm AE, Stamper CT, Lan LY, Topham DJ, Treanor J, Wrammert J, Ahmed R, Eichelberger MC, Georgiou G, Krammer F, Wilson PC. 2018. Influenza infection in humans induces broadly cross-reactive and protective neuraminidase-reactive antibodies. Cell 173:417–429.e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.030.
- Kosik I, Yewdell JW. 2017. Influenza A virus hemagglutinin specific antibodies interfere with virion neuraminidase activity via two distinct mechanisms. Virology 500:178–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2016 .10.024.
- Chen YQ, Lan LY, Huang M, Henry C, Wilson PC. 2019. Hemagglutinin stalk-reactive antibodies interfere with influenza virus neuraminidase activity by steric hindrance. J Virol 93:e01526-18. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JVI.01526-18.
- Chen MI, Lee VJ, Lim WY, Barr IG, Lin RT, Koh GC, Yap J, Cui L, Cook AR, Laurie K, Tan LW, Tan BH, Loh J, Shaw R, Durrant C, Chow VT, Kelso A, Chia KS, Leo YS. 2010. 2009 influenza A(H1N1) seroconversion rates and risk factors among distinct adult cohorts in Singapore. JAMA 303: 1383–1391. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.404.
- Hobson D, Curry RL, Beare AS, Ward-Gardner A. 1972. The role of serum haemagglutination-inhibiting antibody in protection against challenge infection with influenza A2 and B viruses. J Hyg (Lond) 70:767–777. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022172400022610.
- Kilbourne ED, Johansson BE, Grajower B. 1990. Independent and disparate evolution in nature of influenza A virus hemagglutinin and neuraminidase glycoproteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87:786–790. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.2.786.
- World Health Organization. 2014. Global epidemiological surveillance standards for influenza. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. http://www.who.int/influenza/resources/documents/WHO \_Epidemiological\_Influenza\_Surveillance\_Standards\_2014.pdf. Accessed 12 September 2017.
- 35. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. 2009. Research electronic data capture (REDCap): a metadata-driven method-

ology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 42:377–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .jbi.2008.08.010.

- Szewczuk E, Thapa K, Anninos T, McPhie K, Higgins G, Dwyer DE, Stanley KK, Iredell JR. 2010. Rapid semi-automated quantitative multiplex tandem PCR (MT-PCR) assays for the differential diagnosis of influenza-like illness. BMC Infect Dis 10:113. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2334-10-113.
- Bissielo A, Pierse N, Huang QS, Thompson MG, Kelly H, Mishin VP, Turner N, Shivers. 2016. Effectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccine in preventing influenza primary care visits and hospitalization in Auckland, New Zealand in 2015: interim estimates. Euro Surveill 21. https://doi.org/10 .2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.1.30101.
- Pierse N, Kelly H, Thompson MG, Bissielo A, Radke S, Huang QS, Baker MG, Turner N, team Si. 2016. Influenza vaccine effectiveness for hospital and community patients using control groups with and without noninfluenza respiratory viruses detected, Auckland, New Zealand 2014. Vaccine 34:503–509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.11.073.
- 39. Turner N, Pierse N, Huang QS, Radke S, Bissielo A, Thompson MG, Kelly

H, SHIVERS Investigation Team. 2014. Interim estimates of the effectiveness of seasonal trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine in preventing influenza hospitalizations and primary care visits in Auckland, New Zealand, in 2014. Euro Surveill 19:20934.

- World Health Organization Global Influenza Surveillance Network. 2011. Manual for the laboratory diagnosis and virological surveillance of influenza. World Health Organization/WHOGIS, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Couch RB, Atmar RL, Keitel WA, Quarles JM, Wells J, Arden N, Nino D. 2012. Randomized comparative study of the serum antihemagglutinin and antineuraminidase antibody responses to six licensed trivalent influenza vaccines. Vaccine 31:190–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine .2012.10.065.
- Stevenson M, Nunes T, Heuer C, Marshall J, Sanchez J, Thornton R, Reiczigel J, Robison-Cox J, Sebastiani P, Solymos P, Yoshida K, Jones G, Pirikahu S, Firestone S, Kyle R. 2016. epiR: tools for the analysis of epidemiological data, v0.9-79. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package= epiR.
- R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2012. R: a language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org/.