1	Running head: Profiling of aged T-reg at single-cell resolution
2	Title: Unveiling the immune system ageing in single-cell resolution
3	(Commentary, Clean Version)
4	Chun Lai CHAN*1,2, Ryohichi Sugimura*1,3#
5	1. School of Biomedical Sciences, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of
6	Hong Kong
7	2. School of Biological Sciences. Faculty of Science. The University of Hong Kong

- 8 3. Centre for Translational Stem Cell Biology, Hong Kong
- 9

### 10 #Corresponding Author: Ryohichi Sugimura (rios@hku.hk)

11 12

### Short Summary:

This writing serves as a commentary on the findings presented in the original manuscript by Yang et al. in 2023, published in the Journal of Leukocyte Biology (JLB). This commentary first summarizes the spatial-temporal dynamics of regulatory T-cells (T-reg) derived from mice (*Tabula Muris Senis*) of different ages (3M, 18M, and 24M) at different anatomical niches like lymph nodes and bone marrow. We also reported possible combinations of receptor-ligand interactions among T follicular regulatory cells (Tfr), T follicular helper cells (Tfr), and Germinal Centre (GC) B-cells, such as Calmodulin/Fas axis and PSGL-1/L-selectin axis. Then, we have elaborated on the significance of understanding aging T-reg, and have offered some possible future research directions for Yang et al., contributing to a critical analysis of their recent study. Building upon these foundations, further investigations and studies can be conducted to delve deeper into the mechanisms by which T-reg influence health upon aging, potentially unveiling novel therapeutic targets to ameliorate age-related pathogenicity.

### 13

14

## 15 **Conflicts of Interest: None**

16

### 17 (Last modified on 27/10/2023)

18 19

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Society for Leukocyte Biology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

## 2 Introduction

3

4 Regulatory T cells (T-reg) are pivotal players in humoral immunity to serve as a 'brake' to establish immunological homeostasis. This can be achieved by generating cytokines 5 6 such as TGF-β, IL-35, and IL-10, which inhibit effector T cells (ETCs) [2]. Additionally, T-7 reg can suppress humoral immunity by triggering ETCs' apoptosis and inducing dendritic cells to produce indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, which further suppresses ETCs 8 9 [3]. Another proposed mechanism involves the generation of adenosine nucleosides, catalyzed by both CD39 and CD73 [3]. T-reg-mediated immunosenescence is believed 10 11 to be dependent on aging. Palatella et al. have recapitulated several detrimental effects of aging on T-reg [4]. For instance, immunity against non-self-cells is generally 12 weakened in the elderly [4]. Although the mechanisms are intricate, the advancement of 13 14 single-cell RNA sequencing (sc-RNA seq) has revealed the transcriptional heterogeneity of T-reg, contributing to our understanding of aging immunity. Yang et al. investigated a 15 relevant topic and published their findings in the current issue of the Journal of 16 17 Leukocyte Biology [1].

18

In this writing, we aim to summarize some main points covered by Yang et al. and provide comments. Furthermore, we will propose future research directions for studying

- 21 aging T-reg.
- 22

## 23 General introduction to sc-RNA seq analysis:

24

sc-RNA seq analysis by Seurat R package was employed by Yang et al. to study 25 26 differentially expressed genes (DEG) in mouse (Tabula Muris Senis) T-reg [1,8]. The raw data were first obtained from the 'Tabula Muris consortium', a transcriptomic atlas 27 28 for studying tissue aging in mice [7]. Dead and doublet cells are filtered based on transcript abundance. The dataset was normalized using the SCTtransform function to 29 eliminate the effect of sequencing depth on T-reg heterogeneity [1,9]. Principle 30 Component Analysis was conducted for dimensionality reduction of the dataset. Then, 31 cell clusters were identified using FindClusters and FindNeighbours functions, which are 32 33 then projected on Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection [1]. DEG in each 34 cluster are identified by FindAllMarkers and quantified based on log2(fold change) of 35 transcripts [1].

36

While sc-RNA seq is powerful for understanding T-reg heterogeneity, it is still complicated by its technical limitations [10]. First, sc-RNA seq involves dissociation of viable cells by either enzymatic or heat treatment. Both require rigorous optimization to maintain cell viability [10]. Such dissociation methods can be problematic as they potentially introduce unwanted transcriptional changes, causing errors in downstream analysis. Besides, sc-RNA seq can introduce greater noise than bulk RNA-seq, as each cell is independently considered a biological replicate [10].

- 44
- 45

46

3

4

5

## The main points covered by Yang et al.

## i. Spatial-temporal dynamics of age-dependent T-reg:

6 Six clusters (C1-C6) of mouse-derived, organ-specific CD4+ T-reg have been identified
7 through sc-RNA seq as described [1]. Most of them come from thymus, as indicated by
8 the expression of thymic origin markers *lkzf2* and *Nrp1* [1].

9

Cluster C1 can be further categorized into C1a and C1b, representing marrow-resident 10 11 and three subgroups of lymphoid-resident T-reg, respectively [1]. C1a has been found to 12 upregulate expression of Sell, Pi16, Tgfbi, and Cpt1a, while C1b shows upregulation of *Icos*, suggesting that C1a cells are less mature than those in C1b [1]. Generally, *Prkch* 13 and *ll27ra* expressions are absent in C1. In C2, there are likely T-reg cells with 14 compromised oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). C3 consists of CD4+CD8+ 15 thymocytes, which may serve as precursors of T-reg, as evidenced by the upregulation 16 17 of Cd8a, Cd8b1, Dntt, and Rag1 [1]. T-reg cells in C4 and C5 have been identified as T follicular regulatory cells (Tfr), characterized by upregulated expression of Tfr marker 18 genes Bcl6 and Cxcr5 [1]. T-reg proliferation in C4 is likely promoted by the upregulation 19 20 of glycolytic genes [1]. T-reg in C5 highly expresses CD150, suggesting a hematopoietic role in the marrow. Lastly, C6 has been identified as adipocyte-resident T-reg, as 21 evidenced by the upregulation of II10, II1rI1, Pparg, and Gata3 [1]. Yang et al. also 22 studied the temporal dynamics of C1-C6 using the propeller R package [1]. The results 23 indicated that the number of cells in C1 and C6 increases from 3M to 24M in mice, while 24 the number of cells in C3 and C5 significantly decreases from 3M to 24M [1]. 25 26 Additionally, the number of cells in C2 and C4 decreases from 3M to 18M and then 27 increases from 18M to 24M[1].

28

# 29 <u>ii. Interactomes analysis reveals possible therapeutic targets for the aging</u> 30 <u>immune system:</u>

31

32 Given the immune-suppressive role of Tfr on germinal center (GC)-derived B cells and T 33 follicular helper cells (Tfh), Yang et al. used the *iTALK'* R package to investigate 34 whether any age-dependent changes in combinations of receptor-ligand interactions occur between these cells [1]. Interactome analysis revealed that Programmed death -35 36 ligand 1 (PD-L1) on GC B cells of aging mice (18 months) can suppress the 37 development of PD-1-expressing Tfh cells and their homing to follicles [1]. The interactome analysis also displayed possible mechanisms of Tfr-mediated regulation of 38 39 The apoptosis and exhaustion through the Calmodulin/Fas axis and PSGL-1/L-selectin axis, respectively [1]. Furthermore, possible pathways for Tfh activation during aging 40 41 were discovered. In 18-month-old mice, Lymphotoxin alpha, which is essential for GC 42 formation, was upregulated in Tfh cells [1]. Jag1 and Jag2, both of which encode ligands for Notch1 receptors, were upregulated in 24-month-old mice [1]. Additionally, 43 44 significant upregulation of *Notch1* was identified in Tfh cells, indicating ongoing Tfh differentiation mediated by Notch signaling during aging [1] 45 46

### 2

#### 3 4

## Significance of the findings:

5 6 The aforementioned transcriptomic analysis reveals changes in T-reg subtypes during 7 aging, providing valuable information for diagnostic markers in pathological conditions. For example, T-reg accumulation in adipose tissue is correlated with insulin resistance 8 and an increased risk of type II diabetes [1,5]. Additionally, Safari et al. reported that 9 STUB1 expression in T-reg inhibits the FOXP3-mediated anti-inflammatory program, 10 11 potentially contributing to the development of rheumatoid arthritis [6]. By studying 12 transcriptional changes or gain/loss of T-reg subsets, we can gather more insights for diagnosing diseases and initiating early treatment. 13

14

The interactome analysis identifies receptor-ligand interactions among GC B cells, Tfr, and Tfh during aging [1]. Such understanding enables the identification of potential drug targets, particularly proteins involved in cell signaling associated with aging T-reg [1]. Researchers can then design therapeutic strategies, such as monoclonal antibodies or small molecule inhibitors, to disrupt these interactions. This approach holds the potential to reinvigorate aging immunity and improve immune function.

21

### 22 Comments on Yang et al.'s work and future research directions:

23

Yang et al. have attempted to identify DEG in clusters of T-reg derived from different 24 lymphoid and non-lymphoid organs through sc-RNA seq [1]. However, such a 25 26 tremendous load of sc-RNA see data may be a little bit overwhelming, or even challenging for readers to understand the importance of each piece of data. What are 27 28 the alternative hypotheses explaining how aging modifies the transcriptional signature of 29 T-reg? What are the central questions to aging T-reg? What knowledge gaps in aging immunity do you want to fill? If these questions are not in mind beforehand, it is like 30 finding a needle in a haystack. Perhaps we can narrow down the scope of the study if 31 32 we can try to design experiments to test only a limited number of hypotheses.

33

34 As mentioned. Yang et al's work has recapitulated many gene signatures of aging T-reg. What is their relative biological significance in contributing to aging immunity? How can 35 36 experiments be designed to validate the relative significance of certain gene sets in 37 regulating aging immunity? We think gene perturbation assays could be useful for validating the importance of marker genes in driving aging immunity. For instance, the 38 39 original authors suggested Calmodulin/Fas axis and PSGL-1/L-selectin axis are involved in promoting Tfh apoptosis and exhaustion respectively [1]. Indeed, knocking 40 41 out (KO) these genes by the Cre-LoxP system in mice, followed by RNA-sequencing of 42 Tfr and Tfh from KO mice of different biological ages, can be conducted. This is useful for studying whether the loss-of-function of these genes can reverse the immuno-43 44 suppressive role of Tfr on Tfh, potentially pinpointing targets for rejuvenating T-regmediated immunity upon aging. For instance, exhaustion markers (like PD1, LAG3, 45

1 *TIGIT*) in mice-derived Tfh can be studied to check if they are downregulated after KO immunosenescence-related genes.

3

4 Finally, Yang et.al's mainly attempted to characterize the transcriptional signature of aging T-reg derived from mice. Despite the conservation of gene expression between 5 mice and humans, it is better to study the gene expression of peripheral blood 6 7 mononuclear cells (PBMC)-derived T-reg collected from donors of different biological ages. Due to discrepancies between human and mouse genomes, resolving their 8 transcriptomic differences provides a more solid foundation for further characterization 9 of aging T-reg in clinical settings. Stratifying cohorts of PBMC donors is necessary such 10 11 that the transcriptomic heterogeneity of T-reg is minimally confounded by factors like 12 sex, ethnicity, and health conditions of donors. 13

- 14
- 15
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19

### 20 Figure 1. Possible research directions for Yang et al.

Comments and possible research directions for Yang et al. are recapitulated above.
 Firstly, knock-out mice model can be generated to study the importance of certain
 genes driving T-reg aging. Secondly, PBMC-derived T-reg can be studied to better
 understand transcriptional differences between mouse and human T-reg during aging.
 Lastly, hypotheses explaining T-reg aging can be set for further testing. Images Created
 with BioRender.com

- 27
- 28

### 29 **Declaration of Interests**

- 30 The authors declare no financial and personal conflicts of interest.
- 31 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37

### Reference

- 1. Yang KY, Liao J, Ma Z, Tse HF, Lu L, Graca L, Lui KO. Single-cell transcriptomics of
- 39 Treg reveals hallmarks and trajectories of immunological aging. Journal of leukocyte
- 40 biology. 2023. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jleuko/qiad104. doi:10.1093/jleuko/qiad104
- 41 2. Kondělková K, Vokurková D, Krejsek J, Borská L, Fiala Z, Ctirad A. Regulatory T cells
- 42 (TREG) and their roles in the immune system with respect to immunopathological
- 43 disorders. Acta medica (Hradec Kralove). 2010;53(2):73–77.
- 44 http://dx.doi.org/10.14712/18059694.2016.63. doi:10.14712/18059694.2016.63
- 45

3. Vignali DAA, Collison LW, Workman CJ, How regulatory T cells work, Nature reviews. 1 2 Immunology. 2008;8(7):523–532. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nri2343. doi:10.1038/nri2343 3 4 4. Palatella M, Guillaume SM, Linterman MA, Huehn J. The dark side of Tregs during aging, Frontiers in immunology, 2022:13:940705. 5 6 http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.940705. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.940705 7 8 5. Bapat SP, Myoung Suh J, Fang S, Liu S, Zhang Y, Cheng A, Zhou C, Liang Y, 9 LeBlanc M, Liddle C, et al. Depletion of fat-resident Treg cells prevents age-associated 10 insulin resistance. Nature. 2015:528(7580):137-141. 11 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature16151. doi:10.1038/nature16151 12 6. Safari F, Farainia S, Arva M, Zarredar H, Nasrolahi A, CRISPR and personalized Treg 13 therapy: new insights into the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Immunopharmacology 14 and immunotoxicology. 2018;40(3):201-211. 15 16 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08923973.2018.1437625. 17 doi:10.1080/08923973.2018.1437625 18 7. Tabula Muris Consortium. A single-cell transcriptomic atlas characterizes ageing 19 20 tissues in the mouse. Nature. 2020;583(7817):590-595. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2496-1. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2496-1 21 22 8. Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, Mauck WM III, Zheng S, Butler A, Lee MJ, Wilk 23 24 AJ, Darby C, Zager M, et al. Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. Cell. 25 2021;184(13):3573-3587.e29. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048. 26 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048 27 9. Hafemeister C. Satija R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-28 seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. Genome biology. 29 2019;20(1):296. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1874-1. doi:10.1186/s13059-019-30 31 1874-1 32 33 10. Ke M, Elshenawy B, Sheldon H, Arora A, Buffa FM. Single cell RNA-sequencing: A powerful yet still challenging technology to study cellular heterogeneity. BioEssays: 34 news and reviews in molecular, cellular and developmental biology. 2022;44(11). 35 36 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bies.202200084. doi:10.1002/bies.202200084 37

