

Bukit Timah: the History and Significance of a Small Rain-forest Reserve

by

RICHARD T. CORLETT, Ph.D. (Australian National University)

Lecturer, Department of Botany, National University of Singapore,
 Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 0511, Republic of Singapore;
 Currently: Lecturer, Department of Botany, University of Hong Kong,
 Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong.

INTRODUCTION

The threats to tropical rain-forest world-wide are well known and a source of grave concern. In Southeast Asia, we

are rapidly approaching a situation where the only surviving primary forest will be the fragments preserved in national parks and Nature reserves (Aiken & Leigh, 1985). Undoubtedly, the preservation of large areas—of the order of thousands of square kilometres—of little-disturbed forest should be the primary goal for conservationists, but many governments are unwilling or unable to set aside large areas of land for a use whose potential economic returns are long-term and largely intangible. Even if large reserves are acquired, there will always be some species or habitats which are not included. Moreover, the educational and recreational functions of a reserve system are best met by areas close to major population centres, where large reserves are seldom possible. Thus, whatever the overall conservation situation, small reserves (tens or hundreds of hectares in area) may have an important role to play in the

tropics as they do in other parts of the world. Doubts have been expressed, however, about the ability of such small rain-forest areas to maintain much of their original diversity (Wilcox, 1980; Frankel & Soule, 1981). There is now a vast literature on the theory of reserve design, but still no agreement on this question—beyond, perhaps, the necessity of study on a case-by-case basis. The ambitious Minimum Critical Size of Ecosystems project in Brazil (Lovejoy *et al.*, 1983; Lewin, 1984) will eventually produce answers to many of the questions about the conservation value of rain-forest reserves of different sizes, but the most important results will not be available for another decade or more. In any case, the purely biological problems of reserves, particularly small ones, are often dwarfed by the influence of social and political factors.

In this paper I trace the history of what is perhaps the oldest small rain-forest reserve in the world, and attempt to assess its past, present, and future, contributions to the conservation of Singapore's rain-forest biota. I hope this case-history will serve as both warning and encouragement to those involved in the management of similar areas. Bukit Timah Nature Reserve today consists of 71 hectares of forest (a mixture of lowland and coastal hill dipterocarp forest) on the slopes and summit of Singapore's highest hill, Bukit Timah (162.5 m) (Figs 1 and 2). It is only 8 km from the city centre and a few minutes' walk from three crowded shopping-centres. The main body of the hill is of granite. Mean annual rainfall at the summit is 2,579 mm, more or less evenly spread

through the year. The temperature averages 26°C and shows almost no seasonal variation. A general account of Singapore's vegetation is available in Wee & Corlett (1986).




FIG. 1. Sketch-map showing the location of Bukit Timah Nature Reserve. (Dotted line = boundaries of Bukit Timah Nature Reserve [BT] and the water catchment area; res. = reservoir.) Inset maplet shows Singapore and adjacent parts of Peninsular Malaysia. The site of the original British settlement is indicated by the small cross to the south-south-east of the lower right-hand corner of the hatched vertical oblong in the inset maplet.




FIG. 2. Sketch-map of Bukit Timah Nature Reserve. (Large-dotted line = boundary of the Reserve and the contiguous part of the water catchment; small-dotted lines = major footpaths; double lines = roads; S = summit; T = Telecom tower.)

For a brief earlier account of Bukit Timah Nature Reserve, see Polunin (1981).

HISTORY

The modern history of Singapore* started with the foundation of a British colony at the mouth of the Singapore River in 1819. This colony later developed into the town. Any previous settlement appears to have been entirely coastal, and the interior of the island to have been trackless rain-forest. Although Bukit Timah hill was clearly visible from the sea, it was separated from the new town by 8 kilometres of dense forest. *The Singapore Chronicle* reported in 1825 that 'Bukit Timah... has never been visited by a European resident, seldom by a native' (Moore, 1975). However, the expansion of gambier and pepper cultivation into the interior rapidly reduced the width of the barrier. Thus already in 1827, when a Mr Prince reached the top of Bukit Timah hill—apparently the first European to do so—three-quarters of his route from the town lay through gambier and pepper plantations (Buckley, 1984).

* Singapore is the name used to refer to the City, the main Island, and the Republic—which last consists of the main island and several smaller islands.

There is no record of when the forest on Bukit Timah Hill first became isolated but, after a road to the summit made Bukit Timah easily accessible in 1843, a visitor remarked that 'dark masses of primeval forest stretch away from Bukit Timah on every side' (Buckley, 1984). As late as 1848, more than sixty per cent of the island was still under forest (Thomson, 1850).

However, in 1854, the great British naturalist, Alfred Russel Wallace, lived for several weeks with a Jesuit missionary near the foot of the hill, and his description of the area, although making no explicit reference to Bukit Timah itself, implies that forest was now reduced to isolated patches, mostly on hill-tops (Wallace, 1869). Forest that was not cleared for agriculture was subject to harvesting of timber, firewood, and minor forest products—such as rattans, resins, and gums. Oxley (1847) reported that in only four years the Gutta Percha tree (*Palaquium gutta*) had been almost eliminated from Singapore by the destructive extraction of its latex, which contains a thermoplastic polymer that is used for coating submarine telegraph cables. Other trees with valuable timber were probably also selectively harvested from the remaining forest, including that on Bukit Timah, and Wallace attributed the number and diversity of the beetles which he collected to the activities of Chinese wood-cutters.

Early Protection of Bukit Timah

There seems to have been little attempt to regulate the exploitation of forest resources although, from time to time, concern was expressed about forest clearance. Logan (1848), in a paper warning of the possible effects of the clearance of hill forest on the climate of Penang, contrasted the situation there with that in Singapore, where 'the present zealous Governor has absolutely prohibited the further destruction of forests on the summits of hills'—including, presumably, Bukit Timah. Concern for the climatic effects of deforestation, particularly of hill-tops, continued. Accordingly when the Colonial Engineer, J.F.A. McNair, described the state of the forests of the Malay peninsula in 1879, he stated that, in Singapore, 'a reserve is kept round the principle hill [Bukit Timah] for a reserve purpose'.

Thus in 1882, when Nathaniel Cantley, the Superintendent of the Singapore Botanic Gardens, was commissioned to prepare a report on the forests of the Straits Settlements, Bukit Timah hill had apparently already been under some kind of protection for more than three decades. Cantley, noting that only seven per cent of Singapore's forest remained, and that there were 'absolutely no Forest Rules or Regulations, or Forest Law of any kind', recommended the establishment of forest reserves and the reafforestation of wasteland (Cantley, 1884). The proposed reserves were to supply timber and firewood, prevent soil erosion, protect the water-supply, and improve the climate. Cantley admitted, however, that most areas contained very little timber of any value.

Cantley's proposals were accepted. Bukit Timah had the greatest extent of good forest of all the reserves on Singapore Island, and demarcation of its boundaries received priority. A fire-break, ten kilometres long and five metres wide, was cut around the margin of the reserve in 1884. However, according to the first Annual Report of the newly-created Forest Department, not much more than a third

FIG. 3. 'Original' Lowland Forest Reserve. Joreground, rooted outside the reserve boundary are visible.

(totalling 122 hectares) was 'under timber'. The land was cleared and planted by 'grass and fern' and 'brushwood'.

A start was made in 1883, and by 1886 a total of 122 hectares with a mixture of native trees (*Tectona grandis*) and other species. Planting of waste areas was supervised by the Forest Department. However, the protection of the reserve from thefts had been problematic. In 1884, Bukit Timah seems to have been the only of the other reserves on Singapore Island. A photograph of an area of lowland tropical rain-forest on

*The celebrated botanist, who was involved in the rubber industry, whose later years of retirement in Singapore were spent in a wife and lively interest in the forest.

Reserve, and Fig. 4 is taken in an area of dipterocarp-dominated forest in the Reserve.

The forest reserve system eventually incorporated 11% of Singapore's land area, although only part of this was actually forested, and much of the forest was mangrove. In 1894, the Annual Report of the Forest Department commented that, because of the small extent and poor quality of the reserves, 'their utility as a source of revenue was subordinated to their climatic and hygienic uses'.

In the following year, 1895, the reserves were transferred to the control of the Collector of Land Revenue at the Land Office. There was never any legal extraction of timber from Bukit Timah, but illicit felling continued to be a major problem, despite the presence of forest guards. The only income derived from the reserve was from a plantation of Gutta Percha trees, later mixed with rubber, which was started on an area that had been cleared of scrub on the lower slopes of the hill in 1898 and eventually covered 40 hectares.

Of the Reserve's original area of 343 hectares, 52 hectares—including much forest—were transferred to the control of the Municipality of Singapore in 1909 as part of an extended water-catchment area to the east and north-east of Bukit Timah (cf. Fig. 1). Although most of the rest of the catchment area had previously been under cultivation, succession back to forest proceeded rapidly after protection. Small areas were also excised for granite quarries, for realignment of the railway, and for a rifle range. Despite all this, Bukit Timah survived, whereas most of the other reserves were worked for timber, handed over to squatters for growing vegetables, or otherwise 'developed'. Of Cantley's original reserves, only one other, Chan Chu Kang (Nee Soon), was saved from total clearance by incorporation into the extended water catchment in 1909.

More Recent Developments

In 1930, Bukit Timah Forest Reserve was 'revoked' and so reconstituted as to include only forest land (72 hectares). The following year a proposal was made to revoke all the reserves on Singapore Island except Bukit Timah, which was to be retained 'on grounds of amenity and its botanical interest'. This proposal was finally carried out in 1936. All the reserves were then revoked, but Bukit Timah and parts of the mangrove reserves at Pandan and Kranji were taken over by the Gardens' Department, to be held as Nature reserves. There was apparently a great deal of illegal felling of timber at Bukit Timah, particularly in the north-west, between revocation and the start of regular patrols by the Gardens staff in July 1937. Finally, in 1939, these three areas were re-gazetted as forest reserves (there being no Nature reserve legislation) and the Director of the Botanic Gardens was gazetted as Conservator of Forests. The area of Bukit Timah Reserve was now only 66 hectares.*

During Bukit Timah's 53 years as a forest reserve, the major concern of the Department of Forests was the protection of the timber resources. The Department's annual reports, not surprisingly, make no mention of animal life apart from pest species. The fauna was not unprotected, however—at least in theory. The Wild Birds Protection * Down from some of the areas indicated earlier but subsequently increased to 71 ha as confirmed by the Author.—Ed.




Fig. 3. Original Lowland Evergreen Tropical Rain-forest in Bukit Timah Nature Reserve. Except for the tops of exotic trees in the foreground, rooted outside the Reserve, no signs of human interference are visible. Photo: Dr Ivan Polunin, 1984.

(totaling 122 hectares) of the 343-hectares' reserve was under timber'. The largest area (133 hectares) was occupied by 'grass and fern', and the rest (88 hectares) was under brushwood.

A start was made on planting the wasteland areas in 1883, and by 1886 a total of 18 hectares had been planted with a mixture of native species and exotics, such as Teak (*Tectona grandis*) and Mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla*). Planting of waste areas continued under Cantley's successor, Henry Nicholas Ridley*, until a great reduction in the Forest Department vote in 1893 made anything but minimal protection of the reserves impossible. Fires and timber thefts had been problems from the beginning, but Bukit Timah seems to have been better protected than most of the other reserves on Singapore Island. Fig. 3 is a recent photograph of an area of little-disturbed lowland evergreen tropical rain-forest on a margin of Bukit Timah Nature

*The celebrated botanist, sometimes referred to as 'founder of the rubber industry', whom we had the privilege of knowing in his later years of retirement at Kew near London, and whose devoted wife and lively interest in plants enabled him to pass the century.—Ed.

forest on Bukit Timah
 a road to the summit
 in 1843, a visitor
 equal forest stretch away
 (Cantley, 1984). As late as
 island was still unde
 British naturalist, Alfred
 seeks with a Jesuit mis
 his description of the
 reference to Bukit Timah
 reduced to isolated
 ce, 1869). Forest that
 subject to harvesting of
 products—such as rat
 reported that in only
 (*Palaquium gutta*) had
 ore by the destructive
 gains a thermoplastic
 submarine telegraph
 were probably also
 ming forest, including
 distributed the number
 collected to the activ
 attempt to regulate the
 ough, from time to
 forest clearance. Logan
 possible effects of the
 of Penang, contrasted
 pore, where 'the pre-
 by prohibited the fur-
 mits of hills'—includ-
 concern for the climatic
 'hill-tops, continued.
 mer, J.F.A. McNair,
 the Malay peninsula in
 reserve is kept round
 climatic purposes',
 the Superintendent-
 s, was commissioned,
 Straits Settlements,
 dy been under some
 Singapore's forest
 only no Forest Rules
 kind', recommended
 the reafforestation
 posed reserves were
 nt soil erosion, pro-
 the climate. Cantley
 contained very little
 Bukit Timah had the
 e reserves on Singa-
 oundaries received
 the reserve in 1884.
 and five metres
 Report of the new-
 more than a third



FIG. 4. A grove of giant *Shorea curtisii* (Dipterocarpaceae) on a ridge in Bukit Timah Nature Reserve. Much of the bare ground to the right of the centre marks the course of a footpath alongside the plank-buttresses of the dominant trees. The tallish human figures indicate the scale. Photo: Dr Ivan Polunin, 1986.

Ordinance of 1884 made it illegal to kill any birds in Singapore without a licence, except for pests and legitimate game birds (including snipe, duck, pigeon, jungle fowl, and pheasant). In 1904, this was replaced by the Wild Animal and Birds Ordinance which, while retaining the same protection for birds, gave the Governor powers to prohibit the killing of any specified bird or animal in any specified area. Sambar Deer (*Cervus unicolor*) and Barking Deer (*Muntiacus muntjak*) were given absolute protection in 1923, followed by the Pig-tailed Macaque (*Macaca nemestrina*), Banded Leaf-monkey (*Presbytis femoralis*), Slow Loris (*Nycticebus coucang*), Pangolin (*Manis javanica*), and both species of Mouse Deer (*Tragulus javanicus* and *T. napu*), in 1947. All this legislation, however, seems to have done virtually nothing to curb the depredations of weekend hunters and trappers (Chasen, 1923).

Under the Gardens' Department, the changed role of the reconstituted Reserve became immediately obvious. New paths were cut and signposted, trees were labelled, timber thefts were stopped, devastated areas were planted, and a thorough botanical survey was started. However, these changes were interrupted by the coming of World War II and the Japanese occupation of Singapore from 1942 to 1945. Bukit Timah Hill was itself on the front line of the battle for Singapore in February 1942, and must have suffered considerable damage. Corner (1981), on his first visit to Bukit Timah during the occupation, was 'astonished and appalled at the quantity of unexploded mortar shells which had fallen on the paths and among the trees'. During the

occupation, the Japanese felled some trees and carried out some excavations for defensive purposes but, on the whole, the reserves were protected (Corner, 1981). This was largely due to the efforts of Professor Kwan Koriba, who was sent out from Kyoto University to have charge of the Singapore Botanic Gardens.

After the war's end the most obvious threat to Bukit Timah Reserve was from the continued activities of the granite quarries, five of which now removed vast quantities of rock from the sides of the hill and, in places, encroached into the Reserve. The Government appointed a Selection Committee to investigate the granite quarries and the reserves. The final report of the committee, in 1951, recommended the gradual closure of the quarries at Bukit Timah, and the enactment of new legislation to protect the reserves (Burkill, 1961). As a result, the Nature Reserves Act of 1951 was passed to provide for the dedication and administration of Nature reserves 'for the purposes of propagation, protection and preservation of the indigenous fauna and flora of Singapore and for the preservation of objects and places of aesthetic, historical or scientific interest'. A secondary purpose was to provide 'facilities for the study of and research into matters relating to the flora and fauna of Singapore and the physical conditions in which they live'. A Board of Management was created to administer the reserves.

In addition to Bukit Timah, the original Nature Reserve system included the entire central water catchment area (1,622 ha of mostly secondary forest), 6 ha of cliff face

Labrador, on the south coast, respectively. The two mangrove areas and finally de 1973.

Current Legislation

The Nature Reserves Act of 1951 provides protection to plants and vertebrates. It is probably implicitly protected by the Act. Birds recorded also by the Wildlife Act. The Wildlife Act protects all birds but the Nature Reserves and the Bird Sanctuaries Act (designated sanctuaries). Subsequent to the Nature Reserves Act the Reserve have been established. Bukit Timah, are currently managed by the Nature Reserves Management Committee, two appointed by the Government and the others by the Government. In practice, the Nature Reserves Department and the Nature Reserves Rangers supervise the reserves.

Despite the recommendations, two quarries are still active. The Nature Reserve has been expanded horizontally and vertically. There have been added to the Nature Reserve but more than half of the Nature Reserve. Malay villages. With the expansion of the reserve is now 7,000 ha. The water catchment area of the Nature Reserve forest but, unfortunately, it does not provide an effective protection for the forest and Bukit Timah.

The integrity of the Nature Reserve protection of that part of the Nature Reserve between the expressway and the Nature Reserve Hill. The rest of the Nature Reserve precipitous drop into the Nature Reserve farms. Some villagers have been encouraged to plant and domestic chicken. There is a Police radio room V.H.F. transmitter looking the southern Nature Reserve roads which are narrow. An additional area of Nature Reserve cleared last century, is the Nature Reserve. There are smaller clearings provided for visitors, but 'proved' by felling trees. Numerous walking trails in the Nature Reserve. Some of these are concrete and metal. A plan to subdivide the forest into smaller units. The effects of the external Nature Reserve. Only about 60% of the forest (in the sense of habitat) is secondary forest or scrub. The reserve was visited by the Nature Reserve (Anon., 1987), and covers, school groups, a

gapore-based and visiting scientists carry out research there. Current research projects include studies of angiosperms, ferns, bryophytes, Algae, mycorrhizal Fungi, the macaques, birds, insects, and freshwater crabs.

CHANGES IN THE FLORA AND FAUNA

Changes in the biota of the Reserve area since isolation could potentially result from either immigration or extinction. Immigration, however, except of weedy non-forest species, will have become increasingly difficult as the surrounding rain-forest was cleared. Rain-forest plants and animals are notoriously poor at crossing non-forest areas. Today, a few small patches of disturbed primary forest, scattered in the water catchment area, provide the only local source of potential rain-forest immigrants. The nearest substantial remnants of the rapidly disappearing rain-forest of Johor, West Malaysia, are more than 30 km away. A few rain-forest bird species apparently travel between Johor and Singapore (C.J. Hails, pers. comm.) and, of these, the Thick-billed Green Pigeon (*Trogon olax*), Little Green Pigeon (*T. curvirostris*), and Jambu Fruit Dove (*Ptilinopus jambu*), are frugivores. The green pigeons are apparently seed-destroyers (Leighton & Leighton, 1983), but the Fruit Doves can pass large seeds intact through their digestive systems (Pratt & Stiles, 1985). However, it is doubtful if large seeds are retained in the gut during migratory flights. Fern spores and the tiny seeds of epiphytic orchids could theoretically be blown many kilometres, and some invertebrate species may be able to cross the intervening area in the same way.

Extinction the Principal Determinant of Change

Whether the immigration rate of rain-forest species is actually zero or just very low, it is clear that the major determinant of the present and future biota of the Reserve is extinction. An accurate assessment of species loss from the Reserve since its isolation is hindered by the incompleteness of present-day species lists. The birds and mammals are the best-known groups, and reasonable pre-isolation species lists of them can be constructed on the assumption that all native forest species recorded in Singapore inhabited (or, in the case of widely-ranging species, visited) the Reserve area.

For the birds, a list of species present at Bukit Timah recently has been published by Hails (1985). Comparison with the forest birds listed in Gibson-Hill (1949), who also included species known to have become extinct earlier, suggests that more than half of the Reserve's bird fauna has been lost, including all the trogons, hornbills, and broad-bills, all but one barbet, more than half of the babblers and woodpeckers, and a variety of other species. Although large species are over-represented in the extinction list, it includes species from the full range of sizes, habitats, and diets, represented in the rain-forest.

For the mammals (excluding bats), a list of species that were probably present before isolation can be compiled from Hartson (1974) and Medway (1978). Unfortunately, our present knowledge of the mammalian fauna is incomplete, particularly as regards nocturnal species. Certainly extinct are the Tiger (*Panthera tigris*) (last shot at Bukit

Labador, on the south coast, and two areas of mangrove forest, respectively at Pandan (219 ha) and Kranji (20 ha). The two mangrove reserves were subsequently reduced in area and finally deleted, Pandan in 1962 and Kranji in 1973.

Current Legislation and Administration

The Nature Reserves Act gives explicit protection only to plants and vertebrates, although invertebrates are probably implicitly protected under the more general provisions of the Act. Birds receive triple protection, as they are covered also by the Wild Animals and Birds Act (which protects all birds but the House Crow anywhere in Singapore) and the Bird Sanctuaries Order (which protects birds in designated sanctuaries, including Bukit Timah Nature Reserve). Subsequent changes in the legislation applying to the Reserve have been minor. The reserves, including Bukit Timah, are currently administered by a Board of Management consisting of a Chairman and nine Trustees, two appointed by the President of the Republic of Singapore and the others by the Minister of National Development. In practice, Bukit Timah is run by the Parks and Recreations Department for the Board. Five permanent rangers supervise the Reserve.

Despite the recommendations of the Select Committee, two quarters are still active, although they are no longer being expanded horizontally. An additional five hectares have been added to the Reserve in the south-west corner, but more than half of this extra area is occupied by two Malay villages. With other minor adjustments, the area of the reserve is now 71 hectares (cf. Fig. 2). The adjacent water catchment area is now largely covered by tall secondary forest but, unfortunately, a new six-lane expressway provides an effective barrier between most of the catchment forest and Bukit Timah (cf. Fig. 1).

The integrity of the Reserve depends on the continued protection of that portion of the catchment area lying between the expressway and the summit of Bukit Timah Hill. The rest of the margins are mostly marked either by a precipitous drop into a quarry or by villages and small farms. Some villagers harvest firewood within the Reserve, and domestic chickens, cats, and dogs, enter its margins. There is a Police radio station on the summit and a Tele-com V.H.F. transmitter on a 2 hectares' plot of land overlooking the southernmost quarry. These are served by tar-mac roads which are not open to use by private vehicles. An additional area of about one hectare on the summit, cleared last century, is grassed and planted with exotics. There are smaller cleared areas around some of the shelters provided for visitors, and several views have been 'improved' by felling trees.

Numerous walking trails provide access to all parts of the Reserve. Some of these trails have steps reinforced with concrete and metal. All these non-forest areas serve to subdivide the forest into smaller blocks and bring the drying effects of the external environment into the heart of the Reserve. Only about 60% of the Reserve is under primary forest (in the sense of having never been cleared): the rest is secondary forest or scrub.

The reserve was visited by 78,000 people in the last year reported (Anon., 1987), including walkers, joggers, Nature lovers, school groups, and tourists. In addition, many Sin-



are ground to the right of figures indicate the scale.

trees and carried out

esses but, on the whole,

(1981). This was largely

charge of the Singapore

ious threat to Bukit

removed vast quantities

in places, encroached

appointed a Select

quarters and the

committee, in 1951,

the quarters at Bukit

station to protect the

Nature Reserves

for the dedication and

the purposes of pro-

of the indigenous

the preservation of

concrete and metal. All

subdivide the forest into

ing effects of the external

conditions in which

was created to admin-

Original Nature reserve

water catchment area

6 ha of cliff face at

Timah in 1924), Leopard (*Panthera pardus*), Clouded Leopard (*Neofelis nebulosa*), Pig-tailed Macaque (*Macaca nemestrina*), Sambar Deer (*Cervus unicolor*), Barking Deer (*Muntiacus muntjak*), and Wild Pig (*Sus scrofa*).

Probably extinct are Horsfield's Flying Squirrel (*Iomys horsfieldii*), Prevost's Squirrel (*Callosciurus prevostii*), the Three-striped Ground Squirrel (*Lariscus insignis*), the Slow Loris (*Nycticebus coucang*), the Porcupine (*Hystrix brachyura*), and the Large Mouse-deer (*Tragulus napu*). The Leopard Cat (*Felis bengalensis*), the Cream Giant Squirrel (*Ratufa affinis*), and the Red Giant Flying Squirrel (*Petaurista petaurista*), have been seen in the water catchment area in recent years and may also survive at Bukit Timah. At least one individual Leaf Monkey (*Presbytis femoralis femoralis*) still occurred at this writing* in Bukit Timah—a survivor of the troupe which persisted until the late nineteen-sixties.

Of the four or more viverrids that have been recorded in Singapore in the past, there have been recent definite sightings of only the Common Palm Civet (*Paradoxurus hermaphroditus*) at Bukit Timah, though the others may still occur. In contrast, the Common Tree-shrew (*Tupaia glis*), Long-tailed Macaque (*Macaca fascicularis*), Plantain Squirrel (*Callosciurus notatus*), and Slender Squirrel (*Sundasciurus tenuis*), are still abundant, while the Flying Lemur (*Cynocephalus variegatus*), Pangolin (*Manis javanica*), Shrew-faced Ground Squirrel (*Rhinosciurus laticaudatus*), and the Lesser Mouse-deer (*Tragulus javanicus*), are still occasionally seen.

The mammalian extinctions are mostly large and/or primary forest specialists, while most of the known survivors can also thrive in secondary habitats. Despite this, it seems probable that the immediate cause of extinction for the majority of bird and mammal species was not isolation or habitat modification but the direct effects of hunting and trapping. At Lima Belas, Perak, Malaysia, a similar 70 ha area of rain-forest has been left largely undisturbed while the surrounding area was cleared and planted with oil palm. Sixty years after isolation, this reserve still contains many of the mammalian species that have been lost at Bukit Timah, including the Pig-tailed Macaque, the Slow Loris, and the Large Mouse-deer, as well as species which were never found in Singapore, such as the White-handed Gibbon (*Hylobates lar*) and Dusky Leaf-monkey (*Presbytis obscura*) (Bennet & Caldecott, 1981).

Invertebrate Animals and Plants

For the invertebrates, there is no group for which there are sufficiently complete early and recent records for changes to be identified. The butterflies—which were both conspicuous and well-collected in the nineteenth century—would be the easiest group to study, but unfortunately there is no recent lepidopteran list for the Reserve. All that can be said with certainty for the invertebrate fauna is that it is still incredibly diverse and there is no evidence of significant invasion by exotic or non-forest species (D.H. Murphy, pers. comm.).

* Found dead in late October 1987 and now preserved in the Zoological Reference Collection at the National University of Singapore.



FIG. 5. Below on right a typical plank-buttress of an emergent tree and, on left, a large fallen tree in the Bukit Timah Nature Reserve. The long, gaping slit in the trunk of the fallen tree suggests that its death was due to lightning strike, and, with further deaths from other causes, helps to give the impression that tree-fall is commoner in the Reserve than is usual in similar forests elsewhere. Photo: Dr Ivan Polunin, 1986.

More than 850 species of vascular plants have been recorded from Bukit Timah during the last century (R.T. Corlett, unpublished list). I have seen nearly 300 of these during a year-long phenological study, covering no more than a small proportion of the Reserve. Only a complete survey of the Reserve—a difficult, if not impossible, task—would establish how many others survive. There is, anyway, no evidence of a general floristic collapse. Except along the margins of the road and other artificial openings, the Reserve has not been invaded by either native or exotic weedy species. Woody pioneers are dominant in the younger areas of secondary forest, and are scattered as mature individuals in the areas of primary forest—perhaps a reflection of wartime disturbance—but are regenerating only around the margins and in large tree-fall gaps. The only obvious negative signs are the unnatural abundance of several small tree species, particularly *Pellacalyx saccardianus* (Rhizophoraceae) and *Gironniera parviflora* (Ulmaceae), which have apparently benefited from disturbance, and a high mortality rate among emergent trees—tree-fall being from old age, lightning strike (cf. apparently Fig. 5), and doubtless other causes. Subjectively, the core areas of the Reserve appear little different from undisturbed rain-forest in Malaysia.

After more than 100 years of disturbance, the Reserve still contains high biodiversity. It has experienced a high degree of species diversity as predicted for continental islands (MacArthur, 1965). Isolation *per se* was probably not the cause of extinction in most cases, as yet for the predicted extinctions due to the general collapse of flora and invertebrate fauna, and extinction and replacement of species.

It is possible that the current state simply reflects the long-term stability of the forest which has made up the forest skyline. The delayed 'collapse' in the structure of the forest would suggest that regeneration of hummocks and stumps of most of the trees of reproduction in some of the certain tree species, *Mezzetia leptopoda*, which are barely touched by the disturbance, species are apparently still present.

The major current threat is internal decay but from human disturbance. Within the ground cables beside the road, possible damage to the park by visitors. Much more serious is the Telecom tower in the Reserve, a centimetre of copper wire, which is the Reserve's primary forest, which is relatively harmless (300 metres of copper, which is h

Whatever the ultimate cause, it is obvious that the Reserve is still a rich and diverse. It contains more than 850 species, much of which is not found elsewhere, as an extremely rich and diverse. It is an important recreation area in a country that is rapidly changing and close-mown grasslands. It is a balance these competing forces in the Reserve's conservation future.

Bukit Timah is one of the smallest rain-forest reserves in Singapore, protected margins and a small area with no history of logging, and should time shelling, and should not be doubtedly be even more valuable. It has received by many people, reflect, in part, a big contribution to conservation biology. It is a viable populations of many species, including probably mammals between reserves.

It has not yet been shown convincingly, however, that the loss of many large vertebrate species from a reserve leads inevitably to a general collapse of biotic diversity. In any case, some of the 'functions' of large vertebrates—such as control of herbivore populations and seed dispersal—could probably be taken over by humans in small reserves if necessary. I suggest that reserves of the order of one square kilometre in area are not just of educational or recreational value but can have an important conservation role in the tropics, as they do in the temperate zone. Moreover, the establishment and management of such reserves should be within the means and abilities of many local conservation organizations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Dr P.W. Lucas, Dr C.D. Hails, and Professor D.H. Murphy, for many useful suggestions, and to Professor H. Keng, Mr Ahmed Samsum, and Dr H.T.W. Tan, for introducing me to the flora of Bukit Timah. The elemental analysis of the copper slag was done by the Microanalytical Laboratory at the National University of Singapore.

SUMMARY

The 71 hectares mainly of rain-forest on Bukit Timah Hill have been isolated for more than 130 years. During most of this period, the forest has received some form of protection: initially for climatic reasons, then as a forest reserve, and finally as a Nature reserve. It has also suffered a great deal of disturbance from illegal logging, firewood collection, hunting, wartime shelling, and recreational activity. Despite this, the Reserve still supports an immensely rich flora and fauna, although many bird and mammal species have been lost.

The example of Bukit Timah suggests that, contrary to most predictions, small rain-forest reserves can play a significant role in biological conservation, as well as satisfying educational and recreational needs.

REFERENCES

- AIKEN, S.R. & LEIGH, C.H. (1985). On the declining fauna of peninsular Malaysia in the post-colonial period. *Ambo*, 14(1), pp. 15-22.
- ANON. (1987). *Ministry of National Development, Singapore. Annual Report 1986*. Ministry of National Development, Singapore. 17 pp., illustr.
- BENNETT, E.L. & CALDECOTT, J.O. (1981). Unexpected abundance of the trees and wildlife of the Lima Belas Forest Reserve, near Slim River, Perak. *The Planter*, 57, pp. 516-8, illustr.
- BUCKLEY, C.B. (1984). *An Anecdotal History of Old Times in Singapore*. Oxford University Press, Singapore: x + 790 pp., illustr.
- BURKILL, H.M. (1961). Protection of wildlife on Singapore Island. Pp. 152-64 in *Nature Conservation in Western Malaysia* (Eds J. WYATT-SMITH & P.R. WYCHERLEY). Malayan Nature Society, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: 260 pp.
- CANTLEY, N. (1884). *Report on the Forests of the Straits Settlements*. Singapore Printing Office, Singapore: 24 pp.
- CHASEN, F.N. (1923). An introduction to the birds of Singapore Island. *Singapore Naturalist*, 1(2), pp. 87-110.
- CORNER, E.J.H. (1981). *The Mangroves: a Tale of Symon-to*. Heinemann Asia, Singapore: x + 186 pp.

PROSPECTS

After more than 130 years of isolation and considerable disturbance, the Reserve still retains much of its original diversity. It has experienced the rapid collapse of vertebrate diversity as predicted by theory and the study of continental islands (Frankel & Soule, 1981), although isolation *per se* was probably not the immediate cause of extinction in most cases. In contrast, there is little evidence yet for the predicted 'domino effect' (Howe, 1984), leading to the general collapse of biotic diversity. The very rich flora and invertebrate fauna show no signs of massive extinction and replacement by widespread weedy species.

It is possible that the apparent resilience of the flora simply reflects the long life-span of the tree species that make up the forest skeleton. If this is so, we should expect a delayed 'collapse' in the next few decades. The opening up of the forest would be expected to affect adversely the regeneration of humidity-sensitive species, while the extinction of most of the larger frugivores may lead to failure of reproduction in some other species. It is noticeable that certain tree species, for instance *Canarium littorale* and *Messelia leptopoda*, produce large crops of large fruits which are barely touched by vertebrates. Yet both these species are apparently regenerating well.


The major current threat to the reserve is not, however, internal decay but frequent—and usually avoidable—human disturbance. Within the past year, the laying of underground cables beside the main road has caused considerable damage to the part of the forest which is most visible to visitors. Much more seriously, however, shot-blasting of the Telecom tower has led to the deposition of up to a centimetre of copper slag over several hectares of the Reserve's primary forest. Although mostly composed of relatively harmless (?) iron silicate, this slag contains 0.54% of copper, which is highly toxic to many organisms.

CONCLUSIONS

Whatever the ultimate fate of Bukit Timah's biota, it is obvious that the Reserve still has great conservation value. It contains more than 40% of Singapore's native flora, much of which is not found elsewhere on the island, as well as an extremely rich invertebrate fauna. It also serves an important recreational, educational, and scientific function in a country that is dominated by high-rise buildings and close-mown grass. The challenge for the future is to balance these competing uses for the Reserve with its ultimate conservation function.

Bukit Timah is somewhat of a 'worst case' example for a small rain-forest reserve. A circular area of forest with protected margins and no roads or clearings within it, and with no history of log-poaching, firewood collection, wartime shelling, and spraying with copper slag, would undoubtedly be even more effective as a reserve. The bad press received by small reserves in the tropics seems to reflect, in part, a bias towards large vertebrates among conservation biologists. The long-term conservation of viable populations of such vertebrates will undoubtedly require either very large areas or very active management, including probably captive breeding and movement of animals between reserves.

... of an emergent tree
Timah Nature Reserve.
Timah tree suggests that its
with further deaths from
at tree-fall is common
... elsewhere. Photo: Dr



- FRANKEL, O.H. & SOULE, M.E. (1981). *Conservation and Evolution*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, UK: viii + 327 pp., illustr.
- GIBSON-HILL, C.A. (1949). A checklist of the birds of Singapore Island. *Bulletin Raffles Museum*, 21, pp. 132-3.
- HAILS, C.J. (1985). Birds. Pp. 90-107 in *A guide to the Bukit Timah Nature Reserve*. Singapore Science Centre, Singapore: 138 pp., illustr.
- HARRISON, J.L. (1974). *An Introduction to the Mammals of Singapore and Malaysia*. Malayan Nature Society (Singapore Branch), Singapore: xi + 340 pp., illustr.
- HOWE, H.F. (1984). Implications of seed dispersal by animals for tropical reserve management. *Biological Conservation*, 30, pp. 261-81.
- LEIGHTON, M. & LEIGHTON, D.R. (1983). Vertebrate responses to fruiting seasonality within a Bornean rainforest. Pp. 181-96 in *Tropical Rain Forest: Ecology and Management* (Eds S.L. SUTTON, T.C. WHITMORE & A.C. CHADWICK). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, England, UK: ix + 498 pp.
- LEWIN, R. (1984). Parks: how big is enough? *Science*, 225(4662), pp. 611-2.
- LOGAN, J.R. (1848). The probable effects on the climate of Pinang of the continued destruction of the hill jungle. *J. Indian Archipelago and East Asia*, 2, pp. 534-6.
- LOVEJOY, T.E., BIERREGAARD, R.O., RANKIN, J.M. & SCHUBART, H.O.R. (1983). Ecological dynamics of tropical forest fragments. Pp. 377-84 in *Tropical Rain Forest: Ecology and Management* (Eds S.L. SUTTON, T.C. WHITMORE & A.C. CHADWICK). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, England, UK: ix + 498 pp.
- MEDWAY, Lord (1978). *The Wild Mammals of Malaya and Singapore*, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: xxiii + 131 pp., illustr.
- MOORE, D. (1975). *The Magic Dragon: the Story of Singapore Panther*. St Albans, England, UK: 252 pp.
- OXLEY, T. (1847). Gutta Percha. *J. Indian Archipelago and East Asia*, 1, pp. 22-9.
- POLUNIN, N. (1981). Bukit Timah Nature Reserve. Singapore *Environmental Conservation*, 8(4), p. 274, 3 figs.
- PRATT, T.K. & STILES, E.W. (1985). The influence of fruit size and structure on the composition of frugivore assemblages in New Guinea. *Biotropica*, 17(4), pp. 314-21.
- THOMSON, J.T. (1850). General report on the residency of Singapore drawn up principally with a view to illustrating its agricultural statistics. *J. Indian Archipelago and East Asia*, 4, pp. 41-77, 102-6, 134-43, & 206-19.
- WALLACE, A.R. (1869). *The Malay Archipelago*. Macmillan, London, England, UK: 515 pp., illustr.
- WEE, Y.C. & CORLETT, R.T. (1986). *The Forest and the City: Plant Life in Urban Singapore*. Singapore University Press, Singapore: 199 pp., illustr.
- WILCOX, B.A. (1980). Insular ecology and conservation. Pp. 95-117 in *Conservation Biology* (Eds M.E. SOULE & B.A. WILCOX). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA: xvi + 395 pp., illustr.

The recent listing of *Phrynosoma munitz* by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service in conclusion of one of the longest legal battles since the enactment of the Endangered Species Act seven years elapsed, finally resulting in the conferral of formal protection. The process was first halted in 1973 by the United States Supreme Court, but was restarted under threat of a lawsuit. It is contended that the listing is essential for the security of the species. The final outcome is a length in the US Federal Register. The available evidence' in support of the listing is the result of more control.

One very positive result of the listing is the merits special attention given to the species among Waste Management and Environmental Protection Agency Fish and Wildlife Service. The listing in California, was designed to provide a mechanism for the 'take' or kill of the species during the construction of a waste landfill in and around the habitat of the insect.

Utilizing language of the Endangered Species Act and the National Environmental Policy Act information previously obtained from the listing (Ehrlich *et al.* 1975; Ehrlich *et al.* 1975) the conservation agreement was negotiated for the landfill. Particular attention was given to the plan was designed and implemented before formal recognition of the species during a period in which there was a great deal of controversy. Through the listing of California, Inc. the response from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service permitted limited loss of the species and disturbance of its habitat. The species has benefited from a

* The capital initial is in our set style to signify a new